

their respective international certificate authority; and, pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 40109, requests transfer of their outstanding international exemption authority.

Cynthia L. Hatten,

Federal Register Liaison.

[FR Doc. 02-2042 Filed 1-25-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-62-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

Petition for Waiver of Compliance

In accordance with part 211 of Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), notice is hereby given that the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) received a request for a waiver of compliance from certain requirements of its safety regulations. The individual petition is described below including, the party seeking relief, the regulatory provisions involved, the nature of the relief being requested, and the petitioner's arguments in favor of relief.

The Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company

[Docket Number FRA-2001-10660]

The Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company (BNSF) seeks a waiver of compliance from certain provisions of the *Railroad Operating Practices* regulations, 49 CFR part 218, regarding blue signal protection of workers. Specifically, to permit train and yard crew members, and utility employees to remove and replace batteries in two-way end-of-train telemetry devices (EOT), while the EOT is in place on the rear of the train the individual has been called to operate, without establishing any blue signal protection.

Section 218.5 defines worker as, any railroad employee assigned to inspect, test, repair, or service railroad rolling equipment or their components, including brake systems. Members of train and yard crews are excluded except when assigned such work on railroad rolling equipment that is not part of the train or yard movement they have been called to operate (or assigned to as "utility employees"). Utility employees assigned to and functioning as temporary members of a specific train or yard crew (subject to the conditions set forth in § 218.22 of this chapter), are excluded only when so assigned and functioning. Both §§ 218.25 and 218.27, requires blue signal protection when workers are on, under, or between rolling equipment on main track or

other than main track. Section 218.22(b) states in part: A utility employee may be assigned to serve as a member of a train or yard crew without the protection otherwise required by subpart D of part 218 of this chapter only under the following conditions * * * (5) The utility employee is performing one or more of the following functions: * * * inspect, test, install remove or replace a rear marking device or end of train device. Under all other circumstances a utility employee working on, under, or between railroad rolling equipment must be provided with blue signal protection in accordance with §§ 218.23 through 218.30 of this part.

The FRA has determined that removing or replacing a battery in an EOT, while the device is in place on the rear of a train, requires blue signal protection since this task is a service and repair to the device. Therefore, the only way a utility employee or a train and yard crew member can legally remove or replace the EOT battery, without establishing blue signal protection, is to remove the EOT from the rear of the train and perform the battery work outside the area normally protected by the blue signal.

BNSF contends that safety would be enhanced if the individual were allowed to perform the battery work without removing the device from the rear of the train. Exposure to injury is greatly reduced because the individual would be handling a battery pack that weighs less than 10 pounds, as opposed to lifting the EOT device that weighs 32-34 pounds. Also, it takes approximately five minutes to remove and then re-install the EOT device, as opposed to removing and replacing a battery pack that takes less than one minute. Coupling and uncoupling the air hose between the car and EOT also poses a risk of a striking injury from the air hose, if the air pressure has not been completely released. BNSF also believes that there is potential for reduction in train delays if this waiver is granted. In analyzing safety risks and benefits, BNSF believes that there are no adverse consequences or costs that will accrue from granting this petition.

Interested parties are invited to participate in these proceedings by submitting written views, data, or comments. FRA does not anticipate scheduling a public hearing in connection with these proceedings, since the facts do not appear to warrant a hearing. If any interested party desires an opportunity for oral comment, they should notify FRA in writing, before the end of the comment period and specify the basis for their request.

All communications concerning these proceedings should identify the appropriate docket number (e.g., Waiver Petition Docket Number FRA-2001-10660) and must be submitted to the Docket Clerk, DOT Central Docket Management Facility, Room PL-401, Washington, DC 20590-0001. Communications received within 45 days of the date of this notice will be considered by FRA before final action is taken. Comments received after that date will be considered as far as practicable. All written communications concerning these proceedings are available for examination during regular business hours (9 a.m.-5 p.m.) at the above facility. All documents in the public docket are also available for inspection and copying on the Internet at the docket facility's Web site at <http://dms.dot.gov>.

Issued in Washington, DC on January 22, 2002.

Grady C. Cothen, Jr.,

Deputy Associate Administrator for Safety Standards and Program Development.

[FR Doc. 02-2043 Filed 1-25-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-06-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

Reports, Forms and Recordkeeping Requirements; Agency Information Collection Activity Under OMB Review

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this notice announces that the Information Collection Request (ICR) abstracted below has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and comment. The ICR describes the nature of the information collections and their expected burden. The **Federal Register** Notice with a 60-day comment period was published on September 26, 2001 [66 FR 49253-49254].

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before February 27, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Louis Molino at the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Office of Safety Performance Standards (NPS-20), 202-366-1833, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Room 6240, Washington, DC 20590.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

Title: Part 585—Advanced Air Bag Phase-In Reporting Requirement.

OMB Number: 2127–0599.

Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: 49 U.S.C. 30111, 30112, and 30117 authorize the issuance of Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (FMVSS) and the collection of data, which support their implementation. Using this authority, the agency issued a modification to FMVSS 208, Occupant Crash Protection, to require advanced air bags in accordance with the Transportation Equity Act of the 21st Century (TEA 21), which was enacted by the United States Congress in 1998.

A two-stage phase-in is included in FMVSS 208 to allow for the introduction of advanced air bags. Manufacturers must equip a certain percentage of their new vehicle fleets with advanced air bags and report their production to NHTSA. Each report will contain, in addition to the identity, addresses, etc., several numerical items of information. The information includes, but is not limited to, the following items.

Total number of vehicles manufactured for sale during the preceding production year; and total number of vehicles manufactured during the production year that are in compliance with the regulatory requirements.

Affected Public: Business of other for profit organizations.

Estimated Total Annual Burden: 1,260

ADDRESSES: Send comments, within 30 days, to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725–17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20503, Attention NHTSA Desk Officer.

Comments are invited on: Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; the accuracy of the Department's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. A Comment to OMB is most effective if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication.

Issued in Washington, DC, on January 18, 2002.

Delmas Johnson,

Associate Administrator for Administration.

[FR Doc. 02–2040 Filed 1–25–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–59–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[STB Finance Docket No. 34163]

Martin Marietta Materials, Inc.— Continuance in Control Exemption— Fredonia Valley Railroad, Inc.

Martin Marietta Materials, Inc. (MMM), a noncarrier, has filed a verified notice of exemption to continue in control of Fredonia Valley Railroad, Inc. (FVRR) upon FVRR's becoming a rail carrier. MMM previously controlled Alamo Gulf Coast Railroad Company (AGCRC).¹ FVRR owns and operates approximately 9.65 miles of railroad line between milepost 87.60 near Fredonia and milepost 97.25 near Princeton in Caldwell County, KY; and AGCRC leases and operates a rail line from milepost 252 to milepost 257, near Beckmann Station, in Bexar County, TX.

FVRR became a carrier on or about December 17, 1998. Due to an apparent oversight, MMM did not file its verified notice of exemption with the Board until December 28, 2001. Thus, the effective date of the exemption is January 4, 2002 (7 days after the exemption was filed).²

MMM states that: (i) the railroads do not connect with each other or any railroad in their corporate family; (ii) the continuance in control is not part of a series of anticipated transactions that would connect the two railroads with each other or any railroad in their corporate family; and (iii) the transaction does not involve a Class I carrier. Therefore, the transaction is exempt from the prior approval requirements of 49 U.S.C. 11323. See 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(2).

Under 49 U.S.C. 10502(g), the Board may not use its exemption authority to relieve a rail carrier of its statutory obligation to protect the interests of its employees. Section 11326(c), however, does not provide for labor protection for transactions under sections 11324 and

¹ See *Fredonia Valley Railroad, Inc.—Acquisition and Operation Exemption—in Caldwell County, KY*, STB Finance Docket No. 33695 (STB served Jan. 6, 1999); and *Alamo Gulf Coast Railroad Company—Lease and Operation Exemption—Certain Lines of Southern Pacific Transportation Company*, Finance Docket No. 32855 (STB served Jan. 26, 1996).

² The class exemption invoked by MMM does not provide for retroactive effectiveness.

11325 that involve only Class III rail carriers. Because this transaction involves Class III rail carriers only, the Board, under the statute, may not impose labor protective conditions for this transaction.

If the notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the transaction.

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to STB Finance Docket No. 34163, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, Office of the Secretary, Case Control Unit, 1925 K Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20423–0001. In addition, a copy of each pleading must be served on Fritz R. Kahn, P.C., 1920 N Street, N.W. 8th Floor, Washington, DC 20036–1601.

Board decisions and notices are available on our website at www.stb.dot.gov.

Decided: January 22, 2002.

By the Board, David M. Konschnik,
Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–2036 Filed 1–25–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915–00–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

Proposed Collection; Comment Request for Form 3115

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Treasury, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). Currently, the IRS is soliciting comments concerning Form 3115, Application for Change in Accounting Method.

DATES: Written comments should be received on or before March 29, 2002 to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to George Freeland, Internal Revenue Service, room 5575, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20224.