

reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewers' position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could have been raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, (9th Circuit, 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp.1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45-day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at the time it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns about the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

In the final EIS, the Forest Service is required to respond to substantive comments and responses received during the comment period that pertain to the environmental consequences discussed in the draft EIS and applicable laws, regulations, and policies considered in making a decision regarding the proposal. The Responsible Official will document the decision and rationale for the decision in a Record of Decision. The final EIS is scheduled for completion in September, 2004. The decision will be subject to review under Forest Service Appeal Regulations.

Dated: February 23, 2004.

Robert A. Russell,

Forest Supervisor, Dixie National Forest.
[FR Doc. 04-4586 Filed 3-1-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Snohomish County Resource Advisory Committee (RAC)

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of meetings.

SUMMARY: The Snohomish County Resource Advisory Committee (RAC) has scheduled two upcoming meetings at the Snohomish County Administration Building, 3000 Rockefeller Ave., Everett, WA 98201. The first meeting will be Tuesday, March 23, 2004, in the Willis Tucker Conference Room, 3rd floor. The second meeting will be Tuesday, March 30, 2004, in the Planning Conference Room, 4th Floor.

Both meetings will begin at 9 a.m. and continue until about 4 p.m. The agenda item to be covered at both meetings is the review and recommendation of Title II projects for FY 2004.

All Snohomish County Resource Advisory Committee meetings are open to the public. Interested citizens are encouraged to attend.

The Snohomish County Resource Advisory Committee advises Snohomish County on projects, reviews project proposals, and makes recommendations to the Forest Supervisor for projects to be funded by Title II dollars. The Snohomish County Resource Advisory Committee was established to carry out the requirements of the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Direct questions regarding this meeting to Barbara Busse, Designated Federal Official, USDA Forest Service, Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie National Forest, 74920NE. Stevens Pass Hwy, P.O. Box 305, Skykomish, WA 98288 (phone: 360-677-2414) or Terry Skorheim, District Ranger, USDA Forest Service, Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie National Forest, 1405 Emens St., Darrington, WA 98241 (phone: 360-436-1155).

Dated: February 23, 2004.

Barbara Busse,

Designated Federal Official.

[FR Doc. 04-4556 Filed 3-1-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Willamette Province Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Willamette Province Advisory Committee (PAC) will meet in Salem, Oregon. The purpose of the meeting is to discuss issues pertinent to the implementation of the Northwest Forest Plan (NFP) and to provide advice to federal land managers in the Province. The specific topics to be covered at the meeting include planning for the 2004 Province Implementation monitoring; the FS and BLM status in meeting the terms of the Settlement Agreement of the lawsuit *American Forest Resource Council v. BLM* involving the Northwest Forest Plan, and the eighth year evaluation of BLM Resource Management Plans.

DATES: The meeting will be held March 18, 2004.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Red Lion Hotel, 3301 Market Street, Salem, Oregon. Send written comments to Neal Forrester, Willamette Province Advisory Committee, c/o Willamette National Forest, P.O. Box 10607, Eugene, Oregon 97440, (541) 225-6436 or electronically to nforrester@fs.fed.us.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Neal Forrester, Willamette National Forest, (541) 225-6436.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meeting is open to the public. Committee discussion is limited to PAC members. However, persons who wish to bring matters to the attention of the Committee may file written statements with the PAC staff before or after the meeting. A public forum will be provided and individuals will have the opportunity to address the PAC. Oral comments will be limited to three minutes.

Dated: February 24, 2004.

H. "Woody" Fine,

Acting Forest Supervisor, Willamette National Forest.

[FR Doc. 04-4557 Filed 3-1-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Notice of Proposed Changes to Section IV of the Field Office Technical Guide (FOTG) of the Natural Resources Conservation Service in Indiana

AGENCY: Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS).

ACTION: Notice of availability of proposed changes in Section IV of the FOTG of the NRCS in Indiana for review and comment.

SUMMARY: It is the intention of NRCS in Indiana to issue one (1) new conservation practice standards in Section IV of the FOTG. The new standard is: Drainage Water Management (554). This practice may be used in conservation systems that treat highly erodible land and/or wetlands.

DATES: Comments will be received for a 30-day period commencing with this date of publication.

ADDRESSES: Address all requests and comments to Jane E. Hardisty, State Conservationist, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), 6013 Lakeside Blvd., Indianapolis, Indiana 46278. Copies of this standard will be made available upon written request. You may submit your electronic requests and comments to darrell.brown@in.usda.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jane E. Hardisty, 317-290-3200.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 343 of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996 states that after enactment of the law, revisions made to NRCS state technical guides used to carry out highly erodible land and wetland provisions of the law, shall be made available for public review and comment. For the next 30 days, the NRCS in Indiana will receive comments relative to the proposed changes. Following that period, a determination will be made by the NRCS in Indiana regarding disposition of those comments and a final determination of changes will be made.

Dated: February 19, 2004.

Jane E. Hardisty,
State Conservationist, Indianapolis, Indiana.
[FR Doc. 04-4602 Filed 3-1-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-16-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Task Force on Agricultural Air Quality

AGENCY: Natural Resources Conservation Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of meeting; correction.

Correction

In FR Doc. 04-3457, in the issue of February 18, 2004, make the following correction to the **ADDRESSES**. On page 7616, in the third column, in the second through fourth lines of the **ADDRESSES** section, correct "Sheraton Imperial Hotel, Page Road, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709; telephone: (919) 941-5050" to read "EPA

Headquarters Campus, Room 111 A, B, & C, 109 T.W. Alexander Drive, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711; telephone: (919) 541-5436."

Dated: February 26, 2004.

Helen V. Huntington,
Federal Register Liaison, Natural Resources Conservation Service.

[FR Doc. 04-4603 Filed 3-1-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1310-16-U

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-602-805, A-484-802, A-419-802, A-588-864, A-791-818, A-570-889]

Notice of Termination of Antidumping Duty Investigations: Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide From Australia, Greece, Ireland, Japan, South Africa

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 2, 2004.

SUMMARY: On February 20, 2004, Kerr-McGee Chemical LLC (Kerr-McGee or Petitioner) withdrew its antidumping petitions, filed on July 31, 2003, regarding Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide (EMD) from Australia, Greece, Ireland, Japan, South Africa. Based on this withdrawal, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is now terminating these investigations.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joseph Welton (Australia) at 202-482-0165, Doug Kirby (Greece) at 202-482-3782, John Drury (Ireland) at 202-482-0195, Mark Flessner (Japan) at 202-482-6312, Matthew Renkey (South Africa) at 202-482-2312, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Case History

On July 31, 2003, the Department received antidumping duty petitions (petitions) filed in proper form by Kerr-McGee. The Petitioner is a domestic producer of EMD. The Department initiated these investigations on August 20, 2003. *See Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide From Australia, Greece, Ireland, Japan, South Africa and the People's Republic of China*, 68 FR 51551 (August 27, 2003) (Initiation Notice). On September 22, 2003, the United States International Trade Commission (the ITC) preliminarily determined "that there is a reasonable indication that an industry

in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports from Australia, Greece, Ireland, Japan, and South Africa of electrolytic manganese dioxide." *See Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide from Australia, China, Greece, Ireland, Japan, and South Africa*, 68 FR 55062 (September 22, 2003). On February 20, 2004, Kerr-McGee withdrew its antidumping petitions by putting on the record of the investigation a letter to the Department. The only other two U.S. companies which are known to produce EMD, Energizer Battery Manufacturing Inc. (Energizer) and Erachem Comilog (Erachem,) both filed letters dated February 20, 2004, stating that each "has no interest in the continuation of these investigations."

Scope of the Investigation

This investigation covers all manganese dioxide (MnO₂) that has been manufactured in an electrolysis process, whether in powder, chip or plate form. Excluded from the scope are natural manganese dioxide (NMD) and chemical manganese dioxide (CMD), including high-grade chemical manganese dioxide (CMD-U). The merchandise subject to this investigation is classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) at subheading 2820.10.0000. The tariff classifications are provided for convenience and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) purposes; however, the written description of the scope of the investigation is dispositive.

Termination of the Investigation

On February 20, 2004 the Department received a letter from the Petitioner notifying the Department that the Petitioner is no longer interested in seeking relief and is withdrawing its antidumping petitions, filed on July 31, 2003, regarding EMD from Australia, Greece, Ireland, Japan, South Africa. Under section 734(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Tariff Act), upon withdrawal of a petition, the administering authority may terminate an investigation after giving notice to all parties to the investigation. We have notified all parties to the investigation and the ITC of Petitioner's withdrawal and our intention to terminate. Section 351.207(b)(1) of the Department's regulations states the Department may terminate provided it concludes that termination is in the public interest. We have determined that termination would be in the public interest given that the Petitioner is no longer interested in seeking relief.