

All comments received will be posted without change; we do not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly.

The Commission, based on the information submitted to it, will issue an order granting the application after the date mentioned above, unless the Commission determines to order a hearing on the matter.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>5</sup>

Nancy M. Morris,  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6-1845 Filed 2-9-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 1-06351]

### Issuer Delisting; Notice of Application of Eli Lilly and Company To Withdraw Its Common Stock, No Par Value, From Listing and Registration on the Pacific Exchange, Inc.

February 2, 2006.

On December 23, 2005, Eli Lilly and Company, an Indiana corporation ("Issuer"), filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to section 12(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 12d2-2(d) thereunder,<sup>2</sup> to withdraw its common stock, no par value ("Security"), from listing and registration on the Pacific Exchange, Inc. ("PCX").

On June 24, 2005, the Board of Directors ("Board") of the Issuer adopted resolutions to withdraw the Security from listing and registration on PCX. The Issuer stated that it determined to withdraw the Security from PCX for the followings reasons: (i) The Issuer maintains its primary listing on the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NYSE") as well as its secondary listings on the London Stock Exchange and the SWX Swiss Stock Exchange; (ii) the Security is widely traded on several electronic exchanges; (iii) in light of the strong liquidity and visibility of the trading market for the Security on NYSE and other exchanges, the additional expenses and administrative burden of maintaining a secondary listing on PCX outweigh the benefits of maintaining the listing on PCX.

The Issuer stated in its application that it has complied with applicable rules of PCX by providing PCX with the required documents governing the withdrawal of securities from listing and registration on PCX. The Issuer's application relates solely to the withdrawal of the Security from listing on PCX, and shall not affect its continued listing on NYSE or its obligation to be registered under section 12(b) of the Act.<sup>3</sup>

Any interested person may, on or before February 28, 2006, comment on the facts bearing upon whether the application has been made in accordance with the rules of PCX, and what terms, if any, should be imposed by the Commission for the protection of investors. All comment letters may be submitted by either of the following methods:

#### Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/delist.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include the File Number 1-06351 or;

#### Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Nancy M. Morris, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number 1-06351. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help us process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/delist.shtml>). Comments are also available for public inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. All comments received will be posted without change; we do not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly.

The Commission, based on the information submitted to it, will issue an order granting the application after the date mentioned above, unless the Commission determines to order a hearing on the matter.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>4</sup>

Nancy M. Morris,  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6-1844 Filed 2-9-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-53227; File No. PCAOB-2005-01]

### Public Company Accounting Oversight Board; Order Approving Proposed Auditing Standard No. 4, *Reporting on Whether a Previously Reported Material Weakness Continues to Exist*

February 6, 2006.

#### I. Introduction

On July 28, 2005, the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (the "Board" or the "PCAOB") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") proposed Auditing Standard No. 4, *Reporting on Whether a Previously Reported Material Weakness Continues to Exist*, pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the "Act")<sup>1</sup> and Section 19(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act").<sup>2</sup> Auditing Standard No. 4 establishes requirements that apply when an auditor is engaged to report on whether a previously reported material weakness in internal control over financial reporting continues to exist.<sup>3</sup> Also, in connection with proposed Auditing Standard No. 4, the Board adopted a proposed conforming amendment to AT sec. 101, which encompasses *agreed-upon procedures* engagements in which an auditor reports findings based on specific procedures performed on a subject matter. AT sec. 101, *Attest Engagements*, is one of the interim attestation standards adopted by the PCAOB in April 2003.<sup>4</sup> Notice of proposed Auditing Standard No. 4 and proposed amendment to AT sec. 101 (collectively referred to as the "Proposed Standard") was published in

<sup>1</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 15 U.S.C. 7202 *et seq.*

<sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b).

<sup>4</sup> A previously reported material weakness, in the context of the proposed auditing standard, means a material weakness that was described previously in an auditor's report issued pursuant to PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 2, *An Audit of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Performed in Conjunction with an Audit of Financial Statements*.

<sup>5</sup> The Commission approved the PCAOB's adoption of the interim standards in Release No. 34-47745, *Order Regarding Section 103(a)(3)(B) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002* (April 25, 2003).

<sup>5</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(1).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78l(d).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.12d2-2(d).

<sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78l(b).

the **Federal Register** on December 30, 2005,<sup>5</sup> and the Commission received six comment letters. For the reasons discussed below, the Commission is granting approval of the Proposed Standard.

## II. Description

The Act establishes the PCAOB to oversee the audits of public companies and related matters, to protect investors, and to further the public interest in the preparation of informative, accurate and independent audit reports.<sup>6</sup> Section 103(a) of the Act directs the PCAOB to establish auditing and related attestation standards, quality control standards, and ethics standards to be used by registered public accounting firms in the preparation and issuance of audit reports as required by the Act or the rules of the Commission.

The Proposed Standard is applicable to engagements tailored solely to report on whether a previously reported material weakness continues to exist. Such an engagement is voluntary in nature at the election of management, and may be performed as of any reasonable date selected by management. The auditor may report on the remediation of one or more material weaknesses as part of a single engagement, and the engagement need not be performed in conjunction with an audit or review of the company's financial statements. In order to perform such an engagement, the auditor must receive a written report from management that contains several elements, including a statement from management that the identified material weakness no longer exists as of the date specified by management. If the auditor determines that the material weakness continues to exist, the company may re-address remediation efforts and re-engage the auditor to opine on whether the material weakness continues to exist. The Proposed Standard also includes illustrative auditor's reports (Appendix A) and additional guidance (Appendix B—"Background and Basis for Conclusions").

The Proposed Standard states that, if approved by the Commission, it would be effective as of the date of Commission approval.

## III. Discussion

The Commission's comment period on the Proposed Standard ended on January 20, 2006, and the Commission received six comment letters. The comment letters came from four

registered public accounting firms and two professional associations.

None of the comment letters received were from issuers or investors. In general, the respondents expressed support for the Proposed Standard.

As part of their comment letters, two accounting firms and a professional organization representing the internal audit profession requested guidance on questions regarding the acceptable forms for use in filing management's report and the auditor's report. In response to these questions, the following is noted:

- Since the Commission's rules do not specifically address the filing of such voluntary information, if an issuer wishes to publicly disseminate the reports of management and the auditor on whether a previously reported material weakness continues to exist, an issuer can use any Exchange Act form it believes is appropriate.

- Our rules do not specify the form of disclosure that management should use when describing the circumstances surrounding the remediation of a previously reported material weakness, and our general disclosure principle and requirements would apply. However, the disclosure should not amend management's conclusion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of the end of the fiscal year (performed pursuant to the Commission's rules implementing Section 404 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002).<sup>7</sup> Further, management can only conclude that internal control over financial reporting is effective if as of the time of remediation of a material weakness (or as of any other time) an assessment of effectiveness pursuant to those rules is performed as of that time.

- If the remediation was completed between the end of the fiscal year and the filing of the Form 10-K, management may include a single, combined report on the results of the annual assessment of internal control over financial reporting and the subsequent conclusion related to the remediation of a material weakness identified in the annual assessment.

## IV. Conclusion

The Commission believes that the proposed rules provide a reasonable format for assessing whether a material weakness in a company's internal controls that has been, or is being, reported to investors continues to exist. However, to facilitate implementation of

the standard, the Commission expects the PCAOB, within 90 days of the issuance of this order, to issue a clear and concise outline of the affirmative audit steps set forth in the standard.

On the basis of the foregoing, the Commission finds that proposed Auditing Standard No. 4 and the proposed amendment to AT sec. 101 are consistent with the requirements of the Act and the securities laws and are necessary and appropriate in the public interest and for the protection of investors.

*It is therefore ordered*, pursuant to Section 107 of the Act and Section 19(b)(2) of the Exchange Act, that proposed Auditing Standard No. 4, *Reporting on Whether a Previously Reported Material Weakness Continues to Exist* and a proposed Conforming Amendment to Interim Attestation Standard—AT sec. 101, *Attest Engagements* (File No. PCAOB-2005-01) be and hereby is approved.

By the Commission.

Nancy M. Morris,  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6-1841 Filed 2-9-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-53220; File No. SR-Amex-2005-100]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; American Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change and Amendments No. 1 and 2 Thereto Relating to the Establishment of a New Class of Registered Options Trader Called a Remote Registered Options Trader ("RROT")

February 3, 2006.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on September 30, 2005, the American Stock Exchange LLC ("Amex" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Amex. On January 13, 2006, the Amex filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.<sup>3</sup> On January 26, 2006, the Amex filed Amendment No. 2 to the proposed

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> Amendment No. 1, which replaced and superseded the original filing in its entirety, is incorporated in this notice.

<sup>5</sup> Release No. 34-52990 (December 21, 2005) [70 FR 77602].

<sup>6</sup> Section 101(a) of the Act.

<sup>7</sup> Release No. 34-47986, *Management's Reports on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and Certification of Disclosure in Exchange Act Periodic Reports* (June 5, 2003).