

Possessors of classified: 4,623.  
*Non-Possessors of classified:* 8,517.  
*Responses per respondent:* 1.  
*Average burden hours per respondent:*  
*Possessors of classified:* 5.3 hours.  
*Non-Possessors of classified:* 2 hours.  
*Frequency:* Periodic (e.g.,

Possessors—annually, Non-Possessors—18 months, compliance reviews, or when directed).

*Industrial security facility clearance survey data:*

*Total annual burden hours:* 4,902 hours.

*Number of respondents:* 2,451.

*Responses per respondent:* 1.

*Average burden hours per respondent:* 2 hours.

*Frequency:* On occasion (e.g., initial eligibility determination and when condition significantly changes, such as a change in ownership).

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Summary of Information Collection**

Executive Order (EO) 12829, “National Industrial Security Program (NISP),” January 6, 1993, as amended by EO 12885, December 14, 1993, established the NISP to safeguard federal government classified information released to contractors, licensees, and grantees of the US government. Section 202(a) of EO 12829 stipulates that the Secretary of Defense shall serve as the Executive Agent for inspecting and monitoring the contractors, licensees, and grantees who require or will require access to or who store or will store classified information; and for determining the eligibility for access to classified information of contractors, licensees, grantees, and their respective employees. The specific requirements necessary to protect classified information released to private industry are set forth in DoD 5220.22M, “National Industrial Security Program Operating Manual (NISPOM),” February 28, 2006. The Executive Agent has the authority to issue, after consultation with affected agencies, standard forms or other standardization that will promote the implementation of the NISP. Contractors operating under DoD security cognizance are subject to an initial facility clearance survey and periodic government security reviews to determine their eligibility to participate in the NISP and ensure that safeguards employed are adequate for the protection of classified information.

DoD Directive 5105.42, “Defense Security Service,” August 30, 2010, incorporating Change 1, March 31, 2011, delineates the mission, functions, and responsibilities of DSS. DSS is an agency of the DoD under authority, direction, and control of the Under

Secretary of Defense for Intelligence. DSS functions and responsibilities include the administration and implementation of the Defense portion of the NISP pursuant to EO 12829.

DSS is the office of record for the maintenance of information pertaining to contractor facility clearance records and industrial security information regarding cleared contractors under its cognizance. To the extent possible, information required as part of the survey or security review is obtained as a result of observation by the representative of the Cognizant Security Agency or its designated Cognizant Security Office. Some of the information may be obtained based on conferences with Key Management Personnel and/or other employees of the company. The information is used to respond to all inquiries regarding the facility clearance status and classified information storage capability of cleared contractors. It is also used to assess and/or advise Government Contracting Activities regarding any particular contractor’s continued ability to protect classified information.

Dated: November 10, 2011.

**Aaron Siegel,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 2011-29532 Filed 11-15-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-06-P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

### **Department of the Army**

#### **Environmental Impact Statement for Disposition of Hangars 2 and 3, Fort Wainwright, AK**

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Army announces its intent to conduct public scoping under the National Environmental Policy Act to gather information to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) related to the disposition of Hangars 2 and 3 at Fort Wainwright, Alaska. Hangars 2 and 3 are contributing elements to the Ladd Field World War II National Historic Landmark (NHL). The Department of the Army will use the analysis in the EIS to determine which alternative to implement. There may be significant impacts to historic properties.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be forwarded to Ms. Carrie McEnteer, Directorate of Public Works, Attention: IMPC-FWA-PWE (McEnteer), 1060 Gaffney Road #4500, Fort Wainwright,

AK 99703-4500; fax (907) 361-9867; email: [carrie.mcenteer@us.army.mil](mailto:carrie.mcenteer@us.army.mil).

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Please contact Ms. Linda Douglass, Public Affairs Office (PAO), IMPC-FWA-PAO (Douglass), 1060 Gaffney Road #5900, Fort Wainwright, AK 99703-5900; telephone (907) 353-6701, email: [linda.douglass@us.army.mil](mailto:linda.douglass@us.army.mil).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The decision to be made by the Army is regarding the disposition of two historic aircraft hangars located at Fort Wainwright. The EIS will assess the direct, indirect, and cumulative environmental impacts associated with various proposed facility disposition options to meet safety, funding, facilities management, land use, and cultural resources management objectives. The condition of the facilities warrants a decision on the disposition that meets the aforementioned management objectives.

The implementation of the proposed action would determine the disposition for the historic hangars. Based on the decision, a management strategy would be developed. A range of reasonable alternatives, including a No Action Alternative, will be developed and analyzed in the EIS. Alternatives to be considered include converting both hangars to another use, demolishing both hangars, demolishing one hangar and retaining one hangar, indefinite mothballing of the buildings, and transferring facilities and management responsibility to another agency. Other reasonable alternatives raised during the scoping process and capable of meeting the project purpose and need and criteria will be considered for evaluation in the EIS.

*Scoping and public comments:* Native Americans, Alaska Natives, federal, state, and local agencies, organizations, and the public are invited to be involved in the scoping process for the preparation of this EIS by participating in scoping meetings and/or submitting written comments. The scoping process will help identify possible alternatives, potential environmental impacts, and key issues of concern to be analyzed in the EIS. Written comments will be accepted within 60 days of publication of the Notice of Intent in the **Federal Register**. Scoping meetings will be held in Fairbanks, AK. Notification of the times and locations for the scoping meetings will be locally published.

**Brenda S. Bowen,**

*Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2011-29410 Filed 11-15-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3710-08-P**