

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Copies of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling AmeriCorps, Elizabeth Appel, 202-606-3614, or by email at EAppel@americorps.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of CNCS, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions;
- Propose ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Propose ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments

Title of Collection: Recordkeeping for National Service Criminal History Checks.

OMB Control Number: 3045-0150.

Type of Review: Renewal.

Respondents/Affected Public: Businesses and Organizations and State, Local, or Tribal Governments.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 337,071.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours: 758,410.

Abstract: The National and Community Service Act of 1990, as amended by the Serve America Act of 2009, requires AmeriCorps grantees and subgrantees to conduct a National Service Criminal History Check on individuals in covered positions before they begin service and to maintain documentation that the individuals were screened according to statutory requirements and are not prohibited from serving in the covered position. AmeriCorps and its grantees must ensure that national service beneficiaries are protected from harm, and the recordkeeping requirements of the final rule are critical to that responsibility. AmeriCorps also seeks to continue using the currently approved information collection until the revised information collection is approved by OMB. The currently approved

information collection is due to expire on August 31, 2025.

Jana Maser,

Acting General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2025-14894 Filed 8-5-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6050-28-P

U.S. INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT FINANCE CORPORATION

[DFC-001A, DFC001B]

Submission for OMB Review; Comments Request; Correction

AGENCY: U.S. International Development Finance Corporation (DFC).

ACTION: Notice of information collection; correction.

SUMMARY: DFC published a document in the *Federal Register* on July 24, 2025, concerning requests for comments on this notice to allow an additional thirty (30) days for public comments to be submitted. The 60-day date was an error.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Correction**

In the *Federal Register* of July 24, 2025, in FR Doc. 2025-13939, on page 34852, in the first column, correct the **DATES** caption to read: **DATES:** Comments must be received by September 5, 2025.

Lisa Wischkaemper,

Administrative Counsel, Office of the General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2025-14936 Filed 8-5-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3210-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**Department of the Army****Record of Decision for Army Training Land Retention at Pōhakuloa Training Area in Hawai'i (ID# EISX-007-21-001-1734439520)**

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DOD.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Army (Army) signed the Record of Decision (ROD) on July 31, 2025, for the Army Training Land Retention at Pōhakuloa Training Area (PTA) Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). The Army selected Alternative 2 (the preferred alternative in the Final EIS), retention of 19,700 acres of state-owned land at PTA. The ROD completes the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process for the action.

ADDRESSES: The ROD, Final EIS, and informational materials are available on

the EIS website at: <https://home.army.mil/hawaii/ptaeis/project-home>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: U.S. Army Garrison Hawai'i, Mr. Nathan Wilkes, Public Affairs Office, by telephone at (808) 787-2140 or by email at usarmy.hawaii.nepa@army.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the ROD identifies the Army's selected alternative, the basis for its selection, and the mitigation measures the Army commits to implement with the selected alternative. The ROD is based on the results of the Final EIS, which analyzed the environmental impacts associated with the proposed action. The Army published the Final EIS on April 18, 2025.

The Final EIS evaluated the potential impacts of a range of reasonable alternatives: (1) Maximum Retention (of approximately 22,750 acres); (2) Modified Retention (of approximately 19,700 acres); and (3) Minimum Retention and Access (of approximately 10,100 acres and 11 miles of roads and training trails). The Final EIS also analyzed the potential impacts of the No Action Alternative, under which Army use of the land would cease altogether when the lease expires in 2029. The Army selects Alternative 2.

The Final EIS analyzed: land use; biological resources; historic and cultural resources and cultural practices; hazardous substances and hazardous wastes; air quality and greenhouse gases; noise; geology, topography, and soils; water resources; socioeconomic; environmental justice; transportation and traffic; airspace; electromagnetic spectrum; utilities; and human health and safety.

The Final EIS was prepared according to certain Executive Orders, the Council on Environmental Quality's NEPA implementing regulations, and the Army's NEPA implementing regulations, which are now rescinded. Because analysis regarding greenhouse gases and environmental justice was already provided to the public for comment in the Second Draft EIS, such analysis was included in the Final EIS for transparency and continuity.

The Army's decision to select Alternative 2 was based on consideration of the full analyses of all alternatives contained in the Final EIS, comments provided during formal public comment and review periods, and an evaluation of the ability of each alternative to meet the purpose of and need for the proposed action. The Army will proceed with Alternative 2, as