have a need to access the records in the performance of their official duties, and each user's access is restricted to only the functions and data necessary to perform that person's job responsibilities. System administrators and authorized users are trained and required to follow established internal security protocols and must complete all security, privacy, and records management training and sign the DOI Rules of Behavior. A Privacy Impact Assessment was conducted on the BISS to ensure that Privacy Act requirements are met and appropriate privacy controls were implemented to safeguard the PII contained in the system, derived from the file folder labels.

## RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:

An individual requesting access to their records should send a written inquiry to the System Manager identified above. Inactive historical records subject to the Privacy Act of 1974 will be processed in accordance with 43 CFR part 2, subpart K, as described in the applicable SORN. DOI forms and instructions for submitting a Privacy Act request may be obtained from the DOI Privacy Act Requests website at https://doi.gov/privacy/ privacy-act-requests. The request must include a general description of the records sought and the requester's full name, current address, and sufficient identifying information such as date of birth or other information required for verification of the requester's identity. Records that are retired to the AIRR remain under the ownership of the Bureau/Office that sent them to the AIRR. Privacy Act requests will require collaboration between the System Manager, Associate Privacy Officer, and in coordination with the staff at the AIRR. The request must be signed and dated and be either notarized or submitted under penalty of perjury in accordance with 28 U.S.C. 1746. The request must include the specific bureau or office that maintains the record to facilitate location of the applicable records. Requests submitted by mail must be clearly marked "PRIVACY ACT REQUEST FOR ACCESS" on both the envelope and letter. A request for access must meet the requirements of 43 CFR 2.238.

# CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:

An individual requesting amendment of their records should send a written request to the System Manager as identified above. Inactive historical records subject to the Privacy Act of 1974 will be processed in accordance with 43 CFR part 2, subpart K, as described in the applicable SORN. DOI

instructions for submitting a request for amendment of records are available on the DOI Privacy Act Requests website at https://doi.gov/privacy/privacy-actrequests. The request must clearly identify the records for which amendment is being sought, the reasons for requesting the amendment, and the proposed amendment to the record. The request must include the requester's full name, current address, and sufficient identifying information such as date of birth or other information required for verification of the requester's identity. Records that are retired to the AIRR remain under the ownership of the Bureau/Office that sent them to the AIRR. Privacy Act requests will require collaboration between the System Manager, Associate Privacy Officer, and in coordination with the staff at the AIRR. The request must be signed and dated and be either notarized or submitted under penalty of perjury in accordance with 28 U.S.C. 1746. Requests submitted by mail must be clearly marked "PRIVACY ACT REQUEST FOR AMENDMENT" on both the envelope and letter. A request for amendment must meet the requirements of 43 CFR 2.246.

### **NOTIFICATION PROCEDURES:**

An individual requesting notification of the existence of records about them should send a written inquiry to the System Manager as identified above. Inactive historical records subject to the Privacy Act of 1974 will be processed in accordance with 43 CFR part 2, subpart K, as described in the applicable SORN. DOI instructions for submitting a request for notification are available on the DOI Privacy Act Request website at https://doi.gov/privacy/privacy-actrequests. The request must include a general description of the records and the requester's full name, current address, and sufficient identifying information such as the date of birth or other information required for verification of the requester's identity. Records that are retired to the AIRR remain under the ownership of the Bureau/Office that sent them to the AIRR. Privacy Act requests will require collaboration between the applicable System Manager, Associate Privacy Officer, and in coordination with the staff at the AIRR. The request must be signed and dated and be either notarized or submitted under penalty of perjury in accordance with 28 U.S.C. 1746. Requests submitted by mail must be clearly marked "PRIVACY ACT INQUIRY" on both the envelope and letter. A request for notification must meet the requirements of 43 CFR 2.235.

### **EXEMPTIONS PROMULGATED FOR THE SYSTEM:**

None.

#### HISTORY:

70 FR 43899 (July 29, 2005); modification published at 73 FR 8342 (February 13, 2008).

#### Teri Barnett,

Departmental Privacy Officer, U.S. Department of the Interior.

[FR Doc. 2024-19117 Filed 8-23-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4334-98-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

#### **National Park Service**

[NPS-WASO-NRNHL-38369; PPWOCRADP2, PCU00RP14.R50000]

## National Historic Landmarks Committee of the National Park System Advisory Board Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Park Service. **ACTION:** Meeting notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act that a meeting of the National Historic Landmarks Committee (Committee) of the National Park System Advisory Board (Board) will meet as indicated below.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on Wednesday, September 18, 2024, from 10:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (EASTERN).

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held virtually at the date and time noted above and instructions and access information will be provided online at https://www.nps.gov/subjects/national historiclandmarks/nhl-committee-meetings.htm. Please check the program website at https://www.nps.gov/subjects/nationalhistoriclandmarks/index.htm for the most current meeting information.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: $\mathrm{Dr.}$

Lisa Davidson, Program Manager, National Historic Landmarks Program, National Park Service, 1849 C Street NW, Mail Stop 7228, Washington, DC 20240, at (202) 354–2179, or email *Lisa* Davidson@nps.gov. Individuals in the United States who are deaf, deafblind, hard of hearing, or have a speech disability may dial 711 (TTY, TDD, or TeleBraille) to access telecommunications relay services. Individuals outside the United States should use the relay services offered within their country to make international calls to the point-ofcontact in the United States.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The purpose of the meeting of the Committee is to evaluate nominations of

historic properties in order to advise the Board of the qualifications of each property being proposed for National Historic Landmark designation, and to make recommendations regarding the possible designation of those properties as National Historic Landmarks to the Board at a future meeting. The Committee also makes recommendations to the Board regarding amendments to existing designations and proposals for withdrawal of designation. The members of the Committee are:

Dr. Lindsay Robertson, Chair

Dr. David G. Anderson

Dr. Ethan Carr

Dr. Julio Cesar Capó

Dr. Cynthia G. Falk

Dr. Victor Galan

Dr. Richard Longstreth

Dr. Alexandra M. Lord

Dr. Vergil E. Noble

Mr. Adam Smith

Dr. Sharita Jacobs Thompson

Dr. Carroll Van West

Dr. Richard Guy Wilson

Request for Accommodations: Please make requests in advance for sign language interpreter services, assistive listening devices, language translation services, or other reasonable accommodations. We ask that you contact the person listed in the FOR

**FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this notice at least seven (7) business days prior to the meeting to give the Department of the Interior sufficient time to process your request. All reasonable accommodation requests are managed on a case-by-case basis.

The meeting will be open to the public. Pursuant to 36 CFR part 65, any member of the public may file, for consideration by the Committee, written comments concerning the National Historic Landmark nominations, amendments to existing designations, or proposals for withdrawal of designation.

Comments should be submitted to Sherry A. Frear, Chief, National Register of Historic Places and National Historic Landmarks Program, National Park Service, 1849 C Street NW, Mail Stop 7228, Washington, DC 20240, or email to nhl\_info@nps.gov. All comments received will be provided to the Committee and the Board.

Purpose of the Meeting: The Board and its Committee may consider the following nominations:

Iowa

REEVE REA POWER GENERATING PLANT, Hampton, IA New Hampshire

LUCKNOW (CASTLE IN THE CLOUDS), Moultonborough, NH New Mexico

HURD, PETER AND HENRIETTE

WYETH, HOUSE AND STUDIOS, San Patricio, NM

Proposed Amendments to Existing Designations:

Alaska

FORT WILLIAM H. SEWARD (Updated Documentation), Port Chilkoot, AK

Michigan

FAIR LANE (HENRY FORD ESTATE) (Updated Documentation), Dearborn, MI

Pennsylvania

CARRIE BLAST FURNACES NUMBER 6 AND 7 (Updated Documentation), multiple, Alleghany County, PA

Public Disclosure of Comments:
Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Authority: 36 CFR 65.5.

## Alma Ripps,

Chief, Office of Policy.

[FR Doc. 2024–18991 Filed 8–23–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-52-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

### **National Park Service**

[NPS-WASO- NPS0038238; 24XP103905— PPWONRADE2—PMP00EI05.YP0000]

Finding of No Significant Impact for the Use of Electric Bicycles in the National Park System Programmatic Environmental Assessment

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior. **ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** The National Park Service (NPS) announces the availability of the Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for a programmatic environmental assessment (PEA) that evaluates, on a nationwide scale, use of electric bicycles (e-bikes) within National Park System units.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jay Calhoun, Chief, Division of Regulations, Jurisdiction, and Special Park Uses, National Park Service; waso\_regulations@nps.gov; (202) 281–0734.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On December 2, 2020, the NPS promulgate

December 2, 2020, the NPS promulgated a final rule (rule) governing use of e-

bikes within the National Park System (85 FR 69175). On May 24, 2022, the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia issued an opinion finding that the NPS had improperly relied upon a categorial exclusion to comply with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) for the rule. The Court remanded the rule to the NPS and directed the NPS to conduct additional NEPA analysis for the rule. Pub Emps. For Env't Responsibility v. Nat'l Park Serv., 605 F. Supp. 3d 28 (D.D.C. 2022). The rule remained in place pending the outcome of the required NEPA analysis. The PEA was prepared consistent with the Court's May 24, 2022 opinion.

The PEA evaluates the environmental impacts, on a nationwide scale, of a noaction alternative and the proposed action (the rule). The no-action alternative assumes that the rule has not been promulgated and that there is no nationwide policy about the use of ebikes. Under the no-action alternative, superintendents would have no specific authority to allow e-bike use in System units and no policy direction about how to use existing authorities to manage ebikes. This would result in inconsistent management of e-bikes use across the National Park System. In most System units, visitors would likely be allowed to use e-bikes on public roads and parking lots where motor vehicle use is allowed. In some System units, e-bike use also could occur on administrative roads and trails. Under the proposed action (the rule), e-bikes are defined uniformly and subject to a standard set of operating requirements, while superintendents have the discretion to allow e-bike use in National Park System units on a case-by-case basis, on public roads, parking lots, administrative roads, and trails where traditional bicycle use is allowed. The proposed action has been identified as the NPS preferred alternative. The PEA analyzes impacts to soils, vegetation, visitor use and experience, and wildlife.

The NPS has selected the proposed action (the rule) for implementation. Based on the analysis in the PEA and the discussion in the FONSI, the NPS has determined that implementation of the selected alternative will not result in significant impacts to the quality of the human environment. Therefore, an EIS will not be prepared. The FONSI is available online at: https://parkplanning.nps.gov/e-bikes.

# Raymond M. Sauvajot,

Associate Director, Natural Resource Stewardship and Science.

[FR Doc. 2024-18426 Filed 8-23-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-52-P