

on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technical collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Comments may be sent to: F. Lamont Heppe, Jr., Director, Program Development and Regulatory Analysis, Rural Utilities Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, STOP 1522, 1400 Independence Ave., SW., Washington, DC 20250-1522. FAX: (202) 720-4120.

Title: 7 CFR part 1728, Electric Standards and Specifications for Materials and Construction.

Type of Request: New collection.

Abstract: The Rural Utilities Service makes loans and loan guarantees in accordance with the Rural Electrification Act of 1936, 7 U.S.C. 901 *et seq.* (RE Act). Section 4 of the RE Act requires that RUS make or guarantee a loan only if there is reasonable assurance that the loan, together with all outstanding loans and obligations of the borrower, will be repaid in full within the time agreed. In order to facilitate the programmatic interests of the RE Act, and, in order to assure that loans made or guaranteed by RUS are adequately secure, RUS, as a secured lender, has established certain standards and specifications for materials, equipment, and the construction of electric systems. The use of standards and specifications for materials, equipment and construction units helps assure RUS that: (1) Appropriate standards and specifications are maintained; (2) RUS loan security is not adversely affected; and (3) loan and loan guaranter funds are used effectively and for the intended purposes. 7 CFR 1728 establishes Agency policy that materials and equipment purchased by RUS electric borrowers or accepted as contractor-

furnished material must conform to RUS standards and specifications where they have been established and, if included in RUS IP 202-1, "List of Materials Acceptable for Use on Systems of RUS Electrification Borrowers" (List of Materials), must be selected from that list or must have received technical acceptance from RUS.

Estimate of Burden: This collection of information is estimated to average 20 hours per response.

Respondents: Businesses or other for profits.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 38.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 2.30.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 1,760 hours.

Copies of this information can be obtained from Michele Brooks, Program Development and Regulatory Analysis, at (202) 690-1078. FAX: (202) 720-4120.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: December 18, 2002.

Blaine D. Stockton,

Acting Administrator, Rural Utilities Service.

[FR Doc. 02-32462 Filed 12-24-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) has received requests to conduct administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with November anniversary dates. In accordance with the Department's regulations, we are initiating those administrative reviews.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 24, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Holly A. Kuga, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-4737.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department has received timely requests, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(2002), for administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with November anniversary dates.

Initiation of Reviews:

In accordance with section 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we are initiating administrative reviews of the following antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings. We intend to issue the final results of these reviews not later than November 30, 2003.

	Period to be Reviewed
Antidumping Duty Proceedings	
Mexico: Circular Welded Non-alloy Steel Pipe, A-201-805	11/1/01-10/31/02
Hysla, S.A. de C.V.	
Niples Del Norte, S.A. de C.V.	
Netherlands: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products, A-421-807	5/3/01-10/31/02
Corus Staal BV	
Republic of Korea: Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe, A-580-809	11/1/01-10/31/02
Hyundai Hysco (formerly Hyundai Steel Pipe Company)	
Husteel Co., Ltd. (formerly Shinho Steel Co., Ltd.)	
SeaH Steel Corporation, Ltd.	
Romania: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products, A-485-806	5/3/01-10/31/02
Sidex Trading, SRL & Sidex International, PLC	
Metanef, S.A.	
Metagrimex, S.A.	
Thailand: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products A-549-817	5/3/01-10/31/02
Nakornthai Strip Mill Public Co., Ltd.	
Sahaviriya Steel Industries Public Co., Ltd.	
Siam Strip Mill Public Co., Ltd.	
The People's Republic of China: Fresh Garlic,* ¹ A-570-831	11/1/01-10/31/02
Clipper Manufacturing Ltd.	

	Period to be Reviewed
Fook Huat Tong Kee Pte., Ltd. Huaiyang Hongda Dehydrated Vegetable Company Jinan Yipin Corporation, Ltd. Shandong Heze International Trade and Developing Company Top Pearl Ltd. Wo Hing (H.K.) Trading Co. Golden Light Trading Company, Ltd. Good Fate International Phil-Sino International Trading Inc. Mai Xuan Fruitex Co., Ltd.	

* If one of the above named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

¹ While the petitioners requested a review of Zhengzhou Harmoni Spice Co., Ltd. and Xiangcheng Yisheng Foodstuffs Co., Ltd., the companies requested that the Department initiate new shipper reviews. Based upon our initial examination of their new shipper review request, we believe that they are new shippers of subject merchandise. If this turns out not to be the case, we will include Zhengzhou Harmoni Spice Co., Ltd. and Xiangcheng Yisheng Foodstuffs Co., Ltd. in the normal administrative review.

Countervailing Duty Proceedings

None.

Suspension Agreements

None.

During any administrative review covering all or part of a period falling between the first and second or third and fourth anniversary of the publication of an antidumping duty order under § 351.211 or a determination under § 351.218(f)(4) to continue an order or suspended investigation (after sunset review), the Secretary, if requested by a domestic interested party within 30 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the review, will determine whether antidumping duties have been absorbed by an exporter or producer subject to the review if the subject merchandise is sold in the United States through an importer that is affiliated with such exporter or producer. The request must include the name(s) of the exporter or producer for which the inquiry is requested.

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305.

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)), and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: December 19, 2002.

Holly A. Kuga,

Senior Office Director, Group II, Office 4,
Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 02-32570 Filed 12-24-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-427-814]

Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From France

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review of stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from France.

SUMMARY: On August 7, 2002, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from France. The merchandise covered by the order is stainless steel sheet and strip in coils ("SSSS") as described in the "Scope of the Review" section of the Federal Register notice. This review covers imports of subject merchandise from Ugine, S.A. ("Ugine") and Imphy Ugine Precision ("IUP")¹. The period of review ("POR") is July 1, 2000, through June 30, 2001.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes in the margin calculation. Therefore, the final results differ from

¹ Ugine, in the instant review, refers to Ugine, S.A. and Imphy Ugine Precision ("IUP") as a single entity as they were collapsed by Ugine prior to submitting its antidumping duty questionnaire response. We note that Ugine and IUP were also treated as a collective entity during the first administrative review. See *Notice of Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from France and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum* ("First Review Final") 67 FR 6493 (February 12, 2001) at Comment 1.

the preliminary results of review. The final weighted-average dumping margin for Ugine is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of the Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 26, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alex Villanueva, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3208.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 7, 2002, the Department published *Notice of Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review for Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from France*, 67 FR 51210 (August 7, 2002) ("Prelim Results"). In accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(c)(ii), we invited parties to comment on our *Prelim Results*. On September 20, 2002, Ugine and the Petitioners² filed comments. On September 27, 2002, Ugine and the Petitioners filed rebuttal comments. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(d)(2), the Petitioners were asked to re-submit their rebuttal comments and omit certain arguments that were not raised by Ugine. See Letter from the Department to the Petitioners, dated October 4, 2002. Consequently, the Petitioners submitted their revised rebuttal comments on October 7, 2002. We have now completed the administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act. On December 12, 2002, we extended the deadline for issuing the final results. See *Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of the*

² The Petitioners in this case are Allegheny Ludlum Corporation, AK Steel, Inc., North American Stainless, United Steelworkers of America, AFL-CIO/CLC, Butler Armco Independent Union and Zanesville Armco Independent Organization.