

TABLE 1—ANNUALIZED ESTIMATED BURDEN FOR 2025 NSDUH

Instrument	Number of respondents	Responses per respondent	Total number of responses	Hours per response	Total burden hours
Household Screening	285,894	1	285,894	0.083	23,729
Interview	67,507	1	67,507	1.008	68,047
Screening Verification	6,004	1	6,004	0.067	402
Interview Verification	7,088	1	7,088	0.067	475
Total	366,493		366,493		92,653

Exploratory Pilot Testing in the U.S. Territories

SAMHSA is interested in expanding NSDUH data collection to include U.S. territories. This will involve conducting several pilot tests and implementing a phased approach before expanding data collection full scale into the U.S. Territories. The initial phase will explore logistical considerations in Puerto Rico and in the U.S. Virgin Islands, followed by various data collection pilot efforts that will assess the ease or difficulty with recruiting field staff, potential travel difficulties due to terrain, internet reliability, differences in address conventions, language dialect differences, and differences in demographic characteristics. The results of the pilot testing will provide SAMHSA with insights into the feasibility of successfully conducting full-scale data collection in future NSDUH surveys.

Mental Illness Calibration Study

In addition, the Mental Illness Calibration Study (MICS) will continue to be embedded within the NSDUH main study for the remainder of 2024 to recalibrate the estimates of serious mental illness (SMI) for the NSDUH using the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM), fifth edition (DSM-5) criteria published by the American Psychiatric Association (APA). The 2023 and 2024 MICS will be sampled from the main study NSDUH using completed mental health items as screeners.

During MICS data collection from January 2023 through December 2024, approximately 17,180 NSDUH adult main study interview respondents (aged 18+) will be selected for a follow-up clinical interview at the end of the main study interview in order to produce a final sample size of at least 4,000 adult MICS follow-up clinical interviews (2,000 interviews per year). These follow-up clinical interviews will be conducted virtually via Zoom (video and/or phone) within four weeks following the NSDUH main study interview using the NetSCID, a

computerized version of the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-5 (SCID) that calculates skip logic in real-time based on responses.

Many of the procedures and protocols in the MICS are based upon those previously employed as part of the 2008–2012 NSDUH Mental Health Surveillance Study (approved as an add-on to NSDUH under OMB No. 0930–0110). The total annual burden for the 2023 and 2024 MICS was approved under previous NSDUH ICRs (OMB No. 0930–0110).

Send comments to Carlos Graham, SAMHSA Reports Clearance Officer, 5600 Fisher Lane, Room 15E57A, Rockville, MD 20852 or email him a copy at carlos.graham@samhsa.hhs.gov. Written comments should be received by May 3, 2024.

Alicia Broadus,

Public Health Advisor.

[FR Doc. 2024–04429 Filed 3–1–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4162–20–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

[Docket No USCG–2024–0021]

Recertification of Prince William Sound Regional Citizens' Advisory Council

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of recertification.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard announces the recertification of the Prince William Sound Regional Citizens' Advisory Council (PWSRCAC) as an alternative voluntary advisory group for Prince William Sound, Alaska. This certification allows the PWSRCAC to monitor the activities of terminal facilities and crude oil tankers under an alternative composition, other than prescribed, the Prince William Sound Program established by the Oil Terminal and Oil Tanker Environmental Oversight and Monitoring Act of 1990.

DATES: This recertification is effective for the period from March 1, 2024 through February 28, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information about this document, call or email LT Case Kuikhoven, Seventeenth Coast Guard District (dpi), by phone at (907) 463–2809 or email at case.a.kuikhoven@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background and Purpose: The Coast Guard published guidelines on December 31, 1992 (57 FR 62600), to assist groups seeking recertification under the Oil Terminal and Oil Tanker Environmental Oversight and Monitoring Act of 1990 (33 U.S.C. 2732) (the Act). The Coast Guard issued a policy statement on July 7, 1993 (58 FR 36504), to clarify the factors that the Coast Guard would be considering in making its determination as to whether advisory groups should be certified in accordance with the Act, and the procedures which the Coast Guard would follow in meeting its certification responsibilities under the Act. Most recently, on September 16, 2002 (67 FR 58440), the Coast Guard changed its policy on recertification procedures for regional citizen's advisory council by requiring applicants to provide comprehensive information every three years. For each of the two years between the triennial application procedures, applicants submit a letter requesting recertification that includes a description of any substantive changes to the information provided at the previous triennial recertification. Further, public comment is only solicited during the triennial comprehensive review.

The Alyeska Pipeline Service Company pays the PWSRCAC \$3.7 million annually in the form of a long-term contract. In return for this funding, the PWSRCAC must annually show that it “fosters the goals and purposes” of OPA 90 and is “broadly representative of the communities and interests in the vicinity of the terminal facilities and Prince William Sound.” The PWSRCAC is an independent, nonprofit organization founded in 1989. Though it

receives federal oversight like many independent, nonprofit organizations, it is not a federal agency. The PWSRCAC is a local organization that predates the passage of OPA 90. The existence of the PWSRCAC was specifically recognized in OPA 90 where it is defined as an “alternative voluntary advisory group.” Alyeska Pipeline Service Company funds the PWSRCAC, and the Coast Guard ensures the PWSRCAC operates in a fashion that is broadly consistent with OPA 90.

Recertification: By letter dated February 27, 2024, the Commander, Seventeenth Coast Guard District, certified that the PWSRCAC qualifies as an alternative voluntary advisory group under 33 U.S.C. 2732(o). This recertification terminates on February 28, 2025.

Dated: February 27, 2024.

M.M. Dean,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Seventeenth Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 2024-04489 Filed 3-1-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-7092-N-17]

Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records

AGENCY: Office of General Counsel, HUD.

ACTION: Notice of a modified system of records.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), Office of General Counsel (OGC), is issuing a public notice of its intent to modify a system of records entitled, “eDiscovery Management System” (EDMS). This System of Records Notice (SORN) covers two systems: the eDiscovery Management System (EDMS) and Relativity. Both systems will exist simultaneously as part of the eDiscovery process. These systems are cloud and client-server based, respectively and rely on workflow management from the EDMS SharePoint instance hosted in the HUD SharePoint environment. The modification makes updates to the Categories of Individuals, Record Source Categories, and Routine Use. The updates are explained in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this notice. Specific modification includes the following: changes to record source categories, and updated routine use sections.

DATES: Comments will be accepted on or before April 3, 2024. The proposed new routine use actions will be effective on the date following the end of the comment period unless comments are received which result in a contrary determination.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by docket number by one method:

Federal e-Rulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions provided on that site to submit comments electronically.

Fax: 202-619-8365.

Email: www.privacy@hud.gov.

Mail: Attention: Privacy Office; Mr. LaDonne White, Chief Privacy Officer; The Executive Secretariat; 451 Seventh Street SW, Room 10139; Washington, DC 20410-0001.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number for this rulemaking. All comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov> including any personal information provided.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received go to <http://www.regulations.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

LaDonne White, 451 Seventh Street SW, Room 10139; Washington, DC 20410-0001; telephone number 202-708-3054 (this is not a toll-free number). HUD welcomes and is prepared to receive calls from individuals who are deaf or hard of hearing, as well as individuals with speech or communication disabilities. To learn more about how to make an accessible telephone call, please visit <https://www.fcc.gov/consumers/guides/telecommunications-relay-service-trs>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: HUD, Office of General Counsel in conjunction with the eDiscovery contractor maintains the eDiscovery Management System (EDMS) and Relativity system of records. eDiscovery is the process in which attorneys overseeing court-ordered discovery or litigation may request electronically stored information (ESI), tangible data, and other evidence relevant to the case for specified individuals for litigation purposes. The eDiscovery process consists of two systems that are closely interrelated, and both are consistently used in the eDiscovery process. EDMS is the system utilized to issue and track various eDiscovery templates and allows users to submit data preservation/collection and keyword search requests, and for specific data (email, G:drive/One Drive, J:drive,

C:drive, SharePoint, Teams data, etc.) to be preserved or collected in accordance with the user request. EDMS provides the Department with a method to initiate, track, preserve, collect to produce data in response to discovery requests, court-ordered discovery/litigation, Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, Officer of Inspector General (OIG) investigations, Office of Special Counsel (OSC) and Congressional Oversight Committee requests. EDMS also includes secure folders to create and store various eDiscovery templates, including Litigation Hold memoranda, eDiscovery Certifications, Closure Letters, and any other documents related to the discovery process as well as a workflow for users to submit ESI data collection requests and ESI search requests. EDMS relies on tracking and workflow management from the EDMS SharePoint instance hosted in the HUD SharePoint environment. The Relativity system is the litigation review tool portion of the eDiscovery process that allows users to review data for relevance and privilege before producing data to a court or other outside party. The two systems are closely interrelated; if a case proceeds to discovery/litigation, the data that was previously collected in a network storage location by the HUD eDiscovery contractor via the EDMS system is processed and provided to the user for review in Relativity. The user can then request an export from Relativity to produce the data for a court or other outside party in response to discovery/litigation obligations. The following are updates since the previous SORN publication:

Records Source Categories: Updated to cover all electronic record sources for internal and external systems to HUD.

Routine Use of Records: Updated to cover routine uses that are new, modified, or removed. Routine Use 1 has not changed. Routine Use 2 has been rewritten to avoid duplicating permissible disclosures under 5 U.S.C. 552a(b)(6) and to permit disclosures to the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS), National Archives and Records Administration (NARA), in connection with OGIS's responsibilities under the Freedom of Information Act. Former Routine Use 3 has been split into two distinct routine uses and rewritten to reflect OMB guidance. Specifically, Routine Use 3 was modified to reflect OMB's guidance from May 24, 1985. The second half of former Routine Use 3 was renumbered as Routine Use 5 and modified to reflect OMB's guidance from July 9, 1975 (40 FR 28948). Former Routine Uses 4 and 6 have been removed as unnecessary for