

survey of the workers' firm's declining customers. The investigation revealed that all vacuum cleaner motors produced by the subject firm were exported to Mexico and the subject firm had no domestic customers. The investigation further revealed that there was no shift in production from that firm to a foreign country nor did the subject firm import vacuum cleaner motors in 2005, 2006 and January through July 2007.

The petitioner attached a letter from the subject firm's customer indicating that this customer "discontinued use of the Molon motors in favor of an Asian sourced motor" and that this customer "was the sole customer using the motors produced" at the subject firm.

The Department contacted the sole customer of the subject firm for further clarification. The customer confirmed that even though his firm is a U.S. based company, the production facility for which the vacuum cleaner motors were purchased is located in Mexico. The customer stated that all vacuum cleaner motors purchased from the subject firm were shipped directly to the Mexican facility and thus were exports. This facility in Mexico is now purchasing vacuum cleaner motors from Asia and there was no increase in imports of vacuum cleaner motors into the United States by this customer. Therefore, the loss of business at the subject firm is attributed to a loss in export sales.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 19th day of October, 2007.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7-21187 Filed 10-25-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-61,555]

National Braid Manufacturing Co., Also Known As Long Island City Trim, Long Island City, NY; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), and Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on June 15, 2007, applicable to workers of National Braid Manufacturing Co., Long Island City, New York. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on June 28, 2007 (72 FR 35516).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers were engaged in the production of trimmings for textiles.

New information shows that the correct name of the subject firm should read National Braid Manufacturing Co., also known as Long Island City Trim. Workers separated from employment at the subject firm had their wages reported under a separate unemployment insurance (UI) tax accounts for National Braid Manufacturing Co., also known as Long Island City Trim.

Accordingly, the Department is amending this certification to correctly identify the name of the subject firm.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of National Braid Manufacturing Co., Long Island City, New York, who were adversely affected by increased company imports of trimmings for textiles.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-61,555 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of National Braid Manufacturing Co., also known as Long Island City Trim, Long Island City, New York, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after May 15, 2006, through June 15, 2009, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, DC this 23rd day of October 2007.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7-21186 Filed 10-25-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Notice of Permit Application Received Under the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978

AGENCY: National Science Foundation.

ACTION: Notice of permit applications received under the Antarctic Conservation Act.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the National Science Foundation (NSF) has received a waste management permit application for operation of a remote field support and emergency provisions helicopter flight seeing for the Motor Vessel, *Octopus* for the 2006-2007 austral summer season. The application is submitted to NSF pursuant to regulations issued under the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978.

DATES: Interested parties are invited to submit written data, comments, or views with respect to this permit application by November 26, 2007. Permit applications may be inspected by interested parties at the Permit Office, address below.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to Permit Office, Room 755, Office of Polar Programs, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22230.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Polly A. Penhale, Environmental Officer, at the above address or (703) 292-8030.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: NSF's Antarctic Waste Regulation, 45 CFR part 671, requires all U.S. citizens and entities to obtain a permit for the use or release of a designated pollutant in Antarctica, and for the release of waste in Antarctica. NSF has received a permit application under this Regulation for a team of eight traveling with the *S/V Pelagic Australis* that will spend four weeks traveling by sailboat, sea kayak, and foot along the northeastern coast of the Antarctic Peninsula and certain outlying islands. Some camping ashore will occur and any and all trash generated will be returned to the *Pelagic* for disposal in accordance with the vessel's permitted procedures. Fuel for cook stoves will be transferred to appropriate fuel bottles prior to leaving South America. Any batteries taken

ashore will be removed and non-rechargeable batteries will be returned to South America for disposal. Conditions of the permit would include requirements to report on the removal of materials and any accidental releases, and management of all waste, including human waste, in accordance with Antarctic waste regulations.

Application for the permit is made by: Jon S. Bowermaster, OCEANS 8 Productions, Box 730, Stone Ridge, NY 12484.

Location: Antarctic Peninsula.

Dates: December 1, 2007 to January 30, 2008.

Nadene G. Kennedy,

Permit Officer.

[FR Doc. E7-21176 Filed 10-25-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Advisory Panel for Integrative Activities; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463 as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

Name: Advisory Panel for Integrative Activities (#1373).

Dates & Times: November 14, 2007—1 p.m.–5:30 p.m.; November 15, 2007—8 a.m.–4:30 p.m.; November 16, 2007—7:30 a.m.–12:30 p.m.

Places:

Hilton Arlington Hotel, 950 North Stafford Street, Arlington, Virginia.

Science and Technology Policy Institute (STPI), 1899 Pennsylvania Ave, NW., Washington, DC.

Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP), Old Executive Office Building, Washington, DC.

Type of Meeting: Part-Open.

Contact Person: Connie Della-Piana, PhD. Office of Integrative Activities, Office of the Director, Room 1270, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22230. Telephone: 703/292-8040.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice and recommendations concerning the use of and need for the Science and Technology Policy Institute.

Agenda

Wednesday, November 14, 2007

1 p.m.–4 p.m. Hilton Arlington Hotel. Open—Overview and history of Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDC); Briefings and Panel Discussions.

4:30 p.m.–5:30 p.m. Hilton Arlington, Hotel. Closed—Review of contract.

Thursday, November 15, 2007

8 a.m.–9 p.m. Hilton Arlington Hotel. Open—Briefings and Panel Discussions with Deputy Director, NSF.

9:30 a.m.–12n Science and Technology Policy Institute. Open—Presentations and briefing by STPI.

12n–2 p.m. Science and Technology Policy Institute. Closed—Review and evaluation of the use and need for the Institute.

2:30 p.m.–4:30 p.m. Office of Science and Technology Policy. Closed—Briefings and discussions with OSTP representatives.

Friday, November 16, 2007

8 a.m.–1:30 p.m. Hilton Arlington Hotel. Closed—Meetings with NSF representatives and other government clients. Review and prepare report.

Reason for Closing: The contract being reviewed includes information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the contract. Discussions will include the development of negotiating and implementing strategies. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c), (4) and (6) and (9)(B) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: October 23, 2007.

Susanne Bolton,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. E7-21164 Filed 10-25-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 030-10346; License No. 50-16084-01; EA-07-261]

In the Matter of Alaska Industrial X-Ray, Inc., Anchorage, AK; Order Suspending Licensed Activities (Effective Immediately)

I

Alaska Industrial X-Ray, Inc., (AIX or Licensee) is the holder of NRC License No. 50-16084-01 issued by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) pursuant to 10 CFR Part 34. The license authorizes the Licensee to conduct industrial radiography at temporary job sites anywhere in the United States where the NRC maintains jurisdiction for regulating licensed material. The license was issued on October 24, 1990, was most recently renewed on March 13, 2001, and is due to expire on March 31, 2011.

II

On June 4, 2007, the NRC's Office of Investigation (OI) initiated an investigation into AIX's activities at a facility, not owned by AIX, located in Anchorage, Alaska. This off-site facility is owned by an AIX client, and AIX has been performing radiography at that location since the 1990s. Based on information obtained during the investigation, serious concerns were identified concerning the AIX senior managers' oversight of licensed activities and AIX's ability to protect the public health and safety. Specifically, AIX radiographers, including the company Radiation Safety Officer, knowingly conducted radiography activities without the two individuals required by 10 CFR 34.41(a) (sometimes called the 2-person rule). This occurred on numerous instances over a period of up to three years and presented unnecessary risk to the public health and safety.

In the 1990s, AIX constructed a facility that was referred to as a "vault" at its client's facility. AIX applied to the NRC to have the "vault" approved as a Permanent Radiographic Installation as described in 10 CFR 34.33. Radiography conducted in an authorized permanent radiographic installation could be conducted with a single radiographer; otherwise, 10 CFR 34.41(a) requires, in part, that at least two qualified individuals be present during radiography. However, the NRC never approved the "vault" as a Permanent Radiographic Installation because of serious deficiencies in the design and requested further information from AIX. One of the design deficiencies was that the "vault" had very little shielding on most of the front of the vault; the shielding consisted of a lead-lined steel frame gate that was only about 3½ feet tall and an industrial tarp that was pulled across the front. As such, AIX estimated radiation exposures 20 feet in front of the "vault" exceeded many hundred times the NRC's 2 mR/hr limit. In addition, the NRC believes there was access to the roof of the facility, which was made of ¾ inch plywood (very limited shielding material), resulting in dose rates in excess of the NRC's 2 mR/hr limit. The licensing action was closed in 2002 after AIX did not make the structural changes necessary to obtain approval.

The investigation revealed that on numerous occasions over a period of up to three years, AIX would send two qualified individuals to the off-site facility to perform radiography, and that AIX would commence radiography in compliance with 10 CFR 34.41(a).