

Proposed Rules

Federal Register

Vol. 77, No. 82

Friday, April 27, 2012

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

7 CFR Part 319

[Docket No. APHIS–2011–0028]

RIN 0579–AD61

Importation of Fresh Bananas From the Philippines Into the Continental United States

Correction

In proposed rule document 2012–9063 appearing on pages 22510 through 22514 in the issue of Monday, April 16, 2012, make the following correction:

On page 22513, in the second column, under *Responses per Respondent*, “5.456” should read “5.456”.

[FR Doc. C1–2012–9063 Filed 4–26–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1505–01–D

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

10 CFR Part 50

[Docket No. PRM–50–102; NRC–2011–0189]

Petition for Rulemaking; Submitted by the Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Petition for rulemaking; consideration in the rulemaking process.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the Commission) will consider the issues raised in the petition for rulemaking (PRM), PRM–50–102, submitted by the Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc. (NRDC or the petitioner), in the rulemaking process. The petitioner requested that the NRC amend its regulations to require more realistic, hands-on training and exercises on Severe Accident Management Guidelines (SAMGs) and

Extensive Damage Mitigation Guidelines (EDMGs). The NRC determined that the issues raised in the PRM are appropriate for consideration and will consider them in the ongoing Fukushima Near Term Task Force (NTTF) Recommendation 8 rulemaking.

DATES: The docket for the petition for rulemaking, PRM–50–102, is closed on April 27, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Further NRC action on the issues raised by this petition will be accessible on the Federal rulemaking Web site, <http://www.regulations.gov>, by searching on Docket ID NRC–2012–0031, which is the rulemaking docket for the NTTF Recommendation 8 rulemaking.

You can access publicly available documents related to the petition, which the NRC possesses and is publicly available, using the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Web Site:* Supporting materials related to this petition can be found at <http://www.regulations.gov> by searching on the Docket IDs for PRM–50–102 or the NTTF Recommendation 8 rulemaking, NRC–2011–0189 and NRC–2012–0031, respectively. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher, telephone: 301–492–3668, email: Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov.

- *NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR):* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC’s PDR, O1–F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

- *NRC’s Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may access publicly available documents online in the NRC Library at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select “ADAMS Public Documents” and then select “Begin Web-based ADAMS Search.” For problems with ADAMS, contact the NRC’s PDR reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by email to PDR.resource@nrc.gov. The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced in this notice (if that document is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that a document is referenced.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert Beall, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555; telephone: 301–415–3874; email:

Robert.Beall@nrc.gov; or Scott Sloan, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555; telephone: 301–415–1619; email: Scott.Sloan@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Petition

On September 20, 2011, the NRC published a notice of receipt (76 FR 58165) of six PRMs filed by the NRDC, including PRM–50–102. The petitioner solely and specifically cited the “Recommendations for Enhancing Reactor Safety in the 21st Century: The Near-Term Task Force Review of Insights from the Fukushima Dai-ichi Accident” (NTTF Report, ADAMS Accession No. ML111861807), dated July 12, 2011, as the rationale for the PRMs. For PRM–50–102, the petitioner cites Section 4.2.5, pages 46–50, of the NTTF Report, regarding the strengthening and integration of onsite emergency response capabilities such as emergency operating procedures (EOPs), SAMGs, and EDMGs. At the time of receipt of the PRMs, the Commission was still in the process of reviewing the NTTF Report, and the NRC did not institute a public comment period for the PRMs.

In PRM–50–102, the petitioner requests the NRC to institute a rulemaking proceeding applicable to nuclear facilities licensed under Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) parts 50, 52, and other applicable regulations to revise 10 CFR 50.63 to require more realistic, hands-on training and exercises on SAMGs and EDMGs for all staff expected to implement the strategies and those licensee staff expected to make decisions during emergencies, including emergency coordinators and emergency directors.

Reasons for Consideration

The Commission has established a process for addressing a number of the recommendations in the NTTF Report, and the NRC determined that the issues raised in PRM–50–102 are appropriate for consideration and will consider them in the ongoing NTTF Recommendation 8 rulemaking based on Section 4.2.5 of the NTTF Report.

The public will have the opportunity to provide comments on the issues raised by the petitioner in PRM–50–102 as part of the NTTF Recommendation 8 rulemaking. The NRC will consider the

issues raised by the remaining NRDC PRMs through the process the Commission established for addressing the remaining recommendations in the NTTF Report. This PRM docket is closed.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 13th day of April 2012.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

R.W. Borchardt,

Executive Director for Operations.

[FR Doc. 2012–10193 Filed 4–26–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Office of the Secretary

14 CFR Parts 234 and 241

RIN 2139–AA13

[Docket No. DOT–RITA–2011–0001]

Reporting of Ancillary Airline Passenger Revenues

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary (OST), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Notice of Public Meeting.

SUMMARY: This document announces a public meeting on a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) issued on July 15, 2011. The NPRM proposed changes regarding reporting of airline ancillary passenger revenues, computation of mishandled baggage rates, and collection of separate statistics for mishandled wheelchairs and scooters used by passengers with disabilities. During the public meeting, DOT staff will provide a summary of the proposals in the NPRM and seek input on costs and benefits associated with the implementation of the proposals.

DATES: *Meeting Date and Time:* The public meeting is scheduled for May 17, 2012, from 9:30 a.m. to 11:30 a.m. and from 1 p.m. to 3 p.m., Eastern Time.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held in the Oklahoma City Conference Room (located on the lobby level of the West Building) at the U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC. Attendance is open to the public; however, since access to the U.S. DOT headquarters building is controlled for security purposes, any member of the general public who plans to attend this meeting must notify the Department contacts noted below at least ten (10) calendar days prior to the meeting.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Charles E. Smith, Trial Attorney, Office of the Assistant General Counsel for Aviation Enforcement and Proceedings,

U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE., Washington, DC 20590, 202–366–9342 (phone), 202–366–7152 (fax), Charles.Smith@dot.gov. You may also contact Blane A. Workie, Deputy Assistant General Counsel, Office of the Assistant General Counsel for Aviation Enforcement and Proceedings, U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE., Washington, DC 20590, 202–366–9342 (phone), 202–366–7152 (fax), Blane.Workie@dot.gov. TTY users may reach these individuals via the Federal Relay Service toll-free at 800–877–8339. You may obtain copies of this notice in an accessible format by contacting the above named individuals.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On July 15, 2011, the Department of Transportation (DOT or Department) published a notice of proposed rulemaking in the **Federal Register** proposing to collect airline ancillary revenue information in a more detailed manner, change the way mishandled baggage rates are computed from mishandled baggage reports per unit of domestic enplanements to mishandled bags per unit of checked bags, and fill a data gap by collecting separate statistics on mishandled wheelchairs and scooters used by passengers with disabilities. See 76 FR 41726. You may review comments to this NPRM at www.regulations.gov, docket no. DOT–RITA–2011–0001. The Department is holding the public meeting primarily for the purpose of obtaining additional information about current industry practices for processing and accounting for baggage and wheelchairs. This information is critical to determining the cost associated with the proposal to change the manner in which the mishandled baggage rate is calculated and the proposal to report on the number of mishandled wheelchairs/scooters. We are also interested in learning more about the costs associated with the proposal to report airline ancillary fee revenue. Interested persons may provide oral comments at the meeting. The Department will also accept written materials at the public meeting. We will place, in the public docket for this rulemaking, any materials received at the meeting, as well as a summary of the meeting.

Below are examples of questions that the Department intends to pose at the public meeting.

1. Reporting of Ancillary Fee Revenue

- What is the current industry practice regarding ancillary fee revenue reporting for the Form 41 financial data? The Department would like to

understand the process and logistics of how ancillary revenues are collected and transferred into reporting formats. It would also be helpful to know the amount of staff time required to develop the quarterly and semi-annual Form 41 reports.

- How would the aforementioned systems and processes be adapted for carriers to comply with the proposed reporting requirement?

- What new systems and processes would be necessary for carriers to comply with the proposed reporting requirement?

- What other resource requirements, e.g. additional personnel and training, would be necessary for carriers to comply with the proposed reporting requirement? What would be the dollar cost of providing those resources?

- The Department assumes that, as a matter of good business practice, airlines already collect the ancillary fee revenue identified in the proposed reporting requirement. Under this assumption, the costs of compliance with the new reporting requirement are estimated to be the necessary one-time programming costs to adapt existing computer systems (about 40 hours of programming for each carrier to capture the ancillary revenue items), in addition to any recurring annual expenses (e.g. staff time) for developing the additional reports. Are there airlines that don't already gather information about the ancillary fee revenue identified in the NPRM?

- How much lead time is necessary to implement the proposed reporting requirement?

- Is there any other information that the Department should consider regarding the reporting of ancillary fee revenue?

2. The Metric Used To Calculate Mishandled Baggage

- What is the current industry practice regarding processing and accounting for checked bags that are checked at the check-in counter, at the self-service bag drop, at the gate, or at the jet bridge? The Department would like to understand the entire process from what happens on the ground and the associated data systems when passengers check a bag, to what happens on the ground and the associated data systems when passengers claim the bag upon arrival, whether that is at the baggage carousel or at the gate or jet bridge.

- What are the existing processes and data systems associated with reporting mishandled bags? The Department would like to understand the reporting process from the time the passenger