

Executive Order. The meetings are open to the public. Please contact the Office of Personnel Management at the address shown below if you wish to present material to the Council at the meeting. The manner and time prescribed for presentations may be limited, depending upon the number of parties that express interest in presenting information.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Tim Curry, Deputy Associate Director for Partnership and Labor Relations, Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street NW., Room 7H28, Washington, DC 20415. Phone (202) 606–2930 or email at [PLR@opm.gov](mailto:PLR@opm.gov).

For the National Council.

**Katherine Archuleta,**  
Director.

[FR Doc. 2014–25291 Filed 10–22–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6325–39–P**

## OFFICE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICY

### Achieving Interoperability for Latent Fingerprint Identification: A Report

**ACTION:** Request for public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The National Science and Technology Council's Committee on Science requests public comment on the draft report *Achieving Interoperability for Latent Fingerprint Identification in the United States*. The draft report will be posted at [www.whitehouse.gov/administration/eop/ostp/library/shareyourinput](http://www.whitehouse.gov/administration/eop/ostp/library/shareyourinput). Comments of approximately three pages or fewer in length (12,000 characters) are requested and must be received by November 26, 2014 to be considered.

**DATES:** Responses must be received by November 26, 2014 to be considered.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

- *Email:* [NSTC\\_latent@ostp.gov](mailto:NSTC_latent@ostp.gov).

Include [AFIS Interoperability] in the subject line of the message.

- *Fax:* (202) 456–6040, Attn: Tania Simoncelli.

- *Mail:* Attn: Tania Simoncelli, Office of Science and Technology Policy, Eisenhower Executive Office Building, 1650 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20504.

**Instructions:** Respondents may submit their comments (3 pages or fewer) through one of the above methods. Submission via email is preferred. Responses to this request for public comment may be posted without change online. OSTP therefore requests that no business proprietary information, copyrighted information, or sensitive

personally identifiable information be submitted in response to this request. Please note that the U.S. Government will not pay for response preparation, or for the use of any information contained in the response.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Tania Simoncelli, (202) 456–4444, [NSTC\\_latent@ostp.eop.gov](mailto:NSTC_latent@ostp.eop.gov), OSTP.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This request for public comment offers the opportunity for interested individuals and organizations to comment on the National Science and Technology Council's Committee on Science draft report entitled *Achieving Interoperability for Latent Fingerprint Identification in the United States*. The report is available at [www.whitehouse.gov/administration/eop/ostp/library/shareyourinput](http://www.whitehouse.gov/administration/eop/ostp/library/shareyourinput).

In 2010, the NSTC created a Subcommittee on Forensic Science (SoFS) to assess the challenges of and opportunities for implementing recommendations made by the National Research Council (NRC) in its 2009 report, *Strengthening Forensic Science in the United States: A Path Forward* [www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/228091.pdf](http://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/228091.pdf). Among its recommendations, the NRC called on the Federal Government to launch a “broad-based effort to achieve nationwide fingerprint data interoperability.” In response to this recommendation, the SoFS chartered the AFIS Interoperability Task Force with the goal of coordinating the development of a strategic plan for achieving this goal. This report, *Achieving Interoperability for Latent Fingerprint Identification in the United States*, evolved out of the work of the Task Force. The report describes the current state of latent interoperability among Automated Fingerprint Identification Systems (AFIS) and identifies a series of actions that can be taken by Federal agencies to implement the standards needed to achieve interoperability, develop an overarching national connectivity strategy and infrastructure, and support State and local agencies in building connections across jurisdictions.

**Ted Wackler,**

*Deputy Chief of Staff and Assistant Director.*

[FR Doc. 2014–25298 Filed 10–22–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3270–F5–P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–73383; File No. 4–678]

### Program for Allocation of Regulatory Responsibilities Pursuant to Rule 17d–2; Notice of Filing of Proposed Plan for the Allocation of Regulatory Responsibilities Between the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. and Miami International Securities Exchange, LLC

October 17, 2014.

Pursuant to Section 17(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 17d–2 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on October 14, 2014, Miami International Securities Exchange, LLC (“MIAX”) and the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. (“FINRA”) (together with MIAX, the “Parties”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission” or “SEC”) a plan for the allocation of regulatory responsibilities, dated October 13, 2014 (“17d–2 Plan” or the “Plan”). The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the 17d–2 Plan from interested persons.

#### I. Introduction

Section 19(g)(1) of the Act,<sup>3</sup> among other things, requires every self-regulatory organization (“SRO”) registered as either a national securities exchange or national securities association to examine for, and enforce compliance by, its members and persons associated with its members with the Act, the rules and regulations thereunder, and the SRO's own rules, unless the SRO is relieved of this responsibility pursuant to Section 17(d) or Section 19(g)(2) of the Act.<sup>4</sup> Without this relief, the statutory obligation of each individual SRO could result in a pattern of multiple examinations of broker-dealers that maintain memberships in more than one SRO (“common members”). Such regulatory duplication would add unnecessary expenses for common members and their SROs.

Section 17(d)(1) of the Act<sup>5</sup> was intended, in part, to eliminate unnecessary multiple examinations and regulatory duplication.<sup>6</sup> With respect to

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78q(d).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.17d–2.

<sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(g)(1).

<sup>4</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78q(d) and 15 U.S.C. 78s(g)(2), respectively.

<sup>5</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78q(d)(1).

<sup>6</sup> See Securities Act Amendments of 1975, Report of the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs to Accompany S. 249, S. Rep. No. 94–75, 94th Cong., 1st Session 32 (1975).