## **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

#### **Bureau of Indian Affairs**

# Colorado River Irrigation Project— Irrigation Division, Arizona, Irrigation Rate Adjustment

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

**ACTION:** Final notice of rate adjustment.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) is adjusting irrigation rates for customers of Colorado River Irrigation Project, Irrigation Division, for the 2001 irrigation season. The Notice of Proposed Rate Adjustment was published in the Federal Register on October 5, 2000 (65 FR 59461). The public and interested parties were provided an opportunity to submit written comments during the 60-day period subsequent to October 5, 2000. No comments were received.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** The new rates for the 2001 irrigation season are effective on January 12, 2001.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Regional Director, Bureau of Indian Affairs, Western Region, P.O. Box 10, Phoenix, Arizona 85001, Telephone (602) 379–6956.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The authority to issue this document is vested in the Secretary of the Interior by 5 U.S.C. 301; the Act of August 14, 1914 (38 Stat. 583; 25 U.S.C. 385). The Secretary has delegated this authority to the Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs pursuant to part 209 Departmental Manual, Chapter 8.1A and memorandum dated January 25, 1994, from Chief of Staff, Department of the Interior, to Assistant Secretaries, and Heads of Bureaus and Offices.

The new rates are specified in the following schedule.

# Irrigation Rate Per Assessable Acre— 2001 Irrigation Season

1. When Does This Schedule Apply to Me?

This schedule applies to you if you irrigate lands within the CRIP/ID for the 2001 irrigation season.

2. What Will BIA Charge for the 2001 Irrigation Season?

The following table shows how we will bill you.

For * * *	We will bill you * * *
<ul><li>(1) Zero to 5 acrefeet/acre.</li><li>(2) Excess Water above 5 acrefeet.</li></ul>	\$37.00 per assess- able acre. \$17.00 per acre foot.

Regulatory Planning and Review (E.O. 12866): This rate adjustment is not a significant regulatory action and has been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866.

Regulatory Flexibility Act: This rate making is not a rule for the purposes of the Regulatory Flexibility Act because it is "a rule of particular applicability relating to rates." 5 U.S.C. 601(2).

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995: This rate adjustment imposes no unfunded mandates on any governmental or private entity and is in compliance with the provisions of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995.

Takings (E.O. 12630): The Department has determined that this rate adjustment does not have significant "takings" implications.

Federalism (E.O. 13132): The Department has determined that this rate adjustment does not have significant Federalism effects because it pertains solely to Federal-tribal relations and will not interfere with the roles, rights, and responsibilities of states.

Civil Justice Reform (E.O. 12988): In accordance with Executive Order 12988, the Office of the Solicitor has determined that this rule does not unduly burden the judicial system and meets the requirements of sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of the Order.

Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995: This rate adjustment does not contain collections of information requiring approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

NEPA Compliance: The Department has determined that this rate adjustment does not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment and that no detailed statement is required under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969.

Dated: December 20, 2000.

## Kevin Gover,

Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.
[FR Doc. 01–1041 Filed 1–11–01; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–02–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

#### **Bureau of Land Management**

[NV-030-1220-PD; Closure Notice No. NV-030-2001-001]

# **Emergency Closure of Federal Lands**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management (BLM).

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that certain BLM public lands in Alpine

County, CA, west of Foothill Road, which include the mouth of Faye Canyon and Luther Creek, are closed to all motorized vehicles. The recent opening of a Forest Service parking lot and trailhead adjacent to the subject BLM lands has dramatically increased the potential for motorized public access. This temporary closure is necessary to preclude potential adverse effects to soils, vegetation, cultural, wildlife and riparian resources.

**EFFECTIVE DATES:** This closure goes into effect upon publication in the **Federal Register**, and will remain in effect until the Manager, Carson City Field Office, determines it is no longer needed.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION OR TO COMMENT CONTACT: Arthur Callan, Outdoor Recreation Planner, 5665 Morgan Mill Road, Carson City, Nevada 89701. Telephone (775) 885–6000 or email: acallan@nv.blm.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The lands included in this closure are those public lands within Mt. Diablo Meridian, Sections 26 and 35, T. 12 N., R. 19 E. The authorities for this closure are 43 CFR 8341.2 and 8364.1. Any person failing to comply with the closure order is subject to arrest and fines in accordance with the applicable provisions of 18 USC 3571 and/or imprisonment not to exceed 12 months. This order applies to all motorized vehicles excluding (1) any emergency, law enforcement or agency vehicles while being used for emergency or administrative purposes, and (2) any vehicle whose use is expressly authorized in writing by the Manager, Carson City Field Office.

Dated: December 20, 2000.

## John O. Singlaub,

Carson City Field Manager.

[FR Doc. 01–1074 Filed 1–11–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-HC-M

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

## Bureau of Land Management

[OR-030-01-1020-PE: GP1-0068]

# Notice of Meeting of John Day/Snake Resource Advisory Council

**AGENCY:** Vale District, Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Meeting of John Day/Snake Resource Advisory Council (RAC): Pendleton, Oregon February 12–13, 2001.

**SUMMARY:** On February 12, 2001 at 11 a.m. there will be a meeting of the John Day/Snake RAC at the Red Lion Hotel, 304 Southeast Nye Avenue in

Pendleton, Oregon. The meeting is open to the public. Public comments will be received at 10 a.m. on February 13, 2001. The following topics will be discussed by the council: RAC membership update; Hells Canyon Subgroup update; Blue Mountain Subgroup update; ICBEMP Subgroup update; OHV Subgroup update; Noxious Weeds Subgroup udpate; Program of work review; Counties Payment Act (1608 Act); and a 15 minute round table for general issues.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sandy L. Guches, Bureau of Land Management, Vale District Office, 100 Oregon Street, Vale, Oregon 97918, Telephone (541) 473–3144

# Sandy L. Guches,

Acting District Manager.
[FR Doc. 01–1005 Filed 1–11–01; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–33–M

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

#### **National Park Service**

Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement, Yosemite Valley Plan, Yosemite National Park, Mariposa, Madera, and Tuolumne Counties, California; Notice of Approval of Record of Decision

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Pub. L. 91-190, as amended) and the regulations promulgated by the Council on Environmental Quality (40 CFR 1505.2), the Department of the Interior, National Park Service has prepared and approved a Record of Decision for the Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement on the Yosemite Valley Plan for Yosemite National Park. The decisions reached are consistent with the Revised Record of Decision for the Merced Wild and Scenic River Comprehensive Management Plan/Final Environmental Impact Statement.

**DECISION:** The National Park Service (NPS) will implement actions, strategies, and programs encompassed in Alternative 2 as described in the Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement on the Yosemite Valley Plan. The selected alternative provides for an overall combination of actions to restore natural processes in Yosemite Valley, preserve cultural resource values, reduce harmful environmental impacts (including those related to traffic congestion), and continue to provide opportunities for high-quality visitor experiences based upon resource values. This course of

action and four alternatives were identified and analyzed in the Draft and Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statements (issued April 2000 and November 2000 respectively). The NPS identified Alternative 2 as presented in the Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement as the "environmentally preferred" alternative. Elements of the selected alternative are to be implemented as soon as practical. PUBLIC REVIEW AND CONSULTATION: The Draft and Final Supplemental **Environmental Impact Statements** (SEIS) on the Yosemite Valley Plan were prepared by the NPS pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act. A Notice of Intent to initiate this conservation planning and environmental impact analysis process was published in the Federal Register on December 16, 1998. General issues and specific concerns already raised during previous relevant planning processes were provided to the public. Scoping comments were received through February 1, 1999. The Draft Yosemite Valley Plan/SEIS was formally announced for public review per Notice of Availability published in the Federal Register on April 13, 2000. The Final Yosemite Valley Plan/SEIS was announced on November 21, 2000. From initiation of the scoping process through December 26, 2000 when the "No Action" period for the Final Yosemite Valley Plan/SEIS officially concluded, almost 11,000 written responses were received (all written comments will be archived and available for public review in the park's research library). In addition, over 150 public meetings, discussions, and briefings (attended by over 1500 individuals and representatives of organizations, Tribes, elected officials, and congressional delegations) were conducted in the park, throughout California, and in Seattle, Washington; Denver, Colorado; Chicago, Illinois; and

Washington, DC.
The NPS also consulted with various regulatory and resource protection agencies including the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, State Historic Preservation Office, and the US Fish and Wildlife Service. As a result of these collaborations, as well as the public involvement indicated above, four action alternatives and appropriate mitigation strategies were identified, compared, and refined.

**COPIES:** Interested parties can review the Record of Decision on the NPS website at *www.nps.gov/yose/planning*. Copies can also be obtained by contacting the Superintendent, Yosemite National Park, P.O. Box 577, Yosemite, California

95389; via telephone request at (209) 372–0261; or via email request at yose planning@nps.gov.

Dated: January 5, 2001.

#### William C. Walters,

Acting Regional Director, Pacific West Region. [FR Doc. 01–1110 Filed 1–11–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–70–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

## **National Park Service**

Notice of Inventory Completion for Native American Human Remains and Associated Funerary Objects in the Possession of the Utah Museum of Natural History, Salt Lake City, UT, and in the Control of the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Upper Colorado Region, Salt Lake City, UT

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior. **ACTION:** Notice.

Notice is hereby given in accordance with provisions of the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), 43 CFR 10.9, of the completion of an inventory of human remains and associated funerary objects in possession of the Utah Museum of Natural History, Salt Lake City, UT, and in control of the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Upper Colorado Region, Salt Lake City, UT.

This notice is published as part of the National Park Service's administrative responsibilities under NAGPRA, 43 CFR 102.2(c). The determinations within this notice are the sole responsibility of the museum, institution, or Federal agency that has control of these cultural items. The National Park Service is not responsible for the determinations within this notice.

A detailed assessment of the human remains was made by the Bureau of Reclamation and Utah Museum of Natural History professional staff in consultation with representatives of the Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah; Kaibab Band of Paiute Indians of the Kaibab Indian Reservation, Arizona; San Juan Southern Paiute Tribe of Arizona; White Mesa Ute Tribe; Ute Indian Tribe of the Uintah & Ouray Reservation, Utah: Southern Ute Indian Tribe of the Southern Ute Reservation, Colorado: and the Ute Mountain Tribe of the Ute Mountain Reservation, Colorado, New Mexico & Utah.

In 1962, human remains representing one individual were collected from a site near Bicknell, Wayne County, UT, under a memorandum of agreement between the Department of