

Boston, MA 02114-2023, (617) 918-1668, cooke.donald@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, whenever “we,” “us” or “our” is used, we mean EPA.

Today’s action is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. EPA New England sent a letter to the Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection on June 2, 2008, stating that the 2008, 2009 and

2012 motor vehicle emissions budgets (MVEBs) in the Connecticut portion of the New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ-CT (Southwest Connecticut) and the Greater Connecticut 8-hour ozone nonattainment areas are adequate. Connecticut submitted the budgets on February 1, 2008, as part of the 8-hour ozone attainment demonstration and reasonable further progress plan for Southwest and Greater Connecticut.

This submittal was announced on EPA’s conformity Web site, and received no comments. (See <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm>. Once there, click on “What SIP submissions are currently under EPA adequacy review?”)

The 2008, 2009, and 2012 MVEBs, in tons per summer day (tpsd), for volatile organic compounds (VOC) and oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) for Southwest and Greater Connecticut, are as follows:

2008, 2009 AND 2012 ADEQUATE MOTOR VEHICLE EMISSIONS BUDGETS

Year	Connecticut portion of the New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ-CT (Southwest CT) Area		Greater Connecticut area	
			VOC (tpsd)	NO _x (tpsd)
Year 2008	29.7	60.5	28.5	54.3
Year 2009	27.4	54.6	26.3	49.2
Year 2012	20.6	38.2	19.8	34.8

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act. EPA’s conformity rule requires that transportation plans, programs, and projects conform to state air quality implementation plans and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP’s motor vehicle emissions budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). We have described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in our July 1, 2004, preamble starting at 69 FR 40038, and we used the information in these resources while making our adequacy determination. Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA’s completeness review, and it also should not be used to prejudice EPA’s ultimate approval of the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: June 4, 2008.

Robert W. Varney,

Regional Administrator, EPA New England.
[FR Doc. E8-13224 Filed 6-11-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-R05-OAR-2007-0520, EPA-R05-OAR-2007-0585; FRL-8578-6]

Adequacy Status of the Indiana and Ohio Portions of the Cincinnati-Hamilton, Ohio/Kentucky/Indiana, Submitted 8-Hour Ozone Attainment Demonstration for Transportation Conformity Purposes

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of adequacy.

SUMMARY: In this notice, EPA is notifying the public that we have found that the motor vehicle emissions budgets (MVEBs) for volatile organic compounds (VOC) and oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) in the Indiana and Ohio portions of the Cincinnati-Hamilton, Ohio/Kentucky/Indiana area are adequate for use in transportation conformity determinations. Ohio submitted the Cincinnati-Hamilton budgets with an 8-hour ozone attainment demonstration on April 22, 2008. As a result of our finding, the Indiana and Ohio portions of the Cincinnati-Hamilton, Ohio/Kentucky/Indiana area must use the MVEBs from the submitted 8-hour ozone attainment demonstration for future transportation conformity determinations.

DATES: This finding is effective June 27, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Anthony Maietta, Life Scientist, Criteria Pollutant Section (AR-18J), Air Programs Branch, Air and Radiation Division, United States Environmental

Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353-8777, Maietta.anthony@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, whenever “we,” “us” or “our” is used, we mean EPA.

Background

Today’s notice is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. On May 6, 2008, EPA Region 5 sent a letter to the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency stating that the 2008 MVEBs for the Indiana and Ohio portions of the Cincinnati-Hamilton, Ohio/Kentucky/Indiana area, which were submitted with the state’s 8-hour ozone attainment demonstration, are adequate. Receipt of these MVEBs was announced on EPA’s transportation conformity Web site, and no comments were submitted. The finding is available at EPA’s conformity Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm>.

The adequate 2008 MVEBs, in tons per day (tpd), for VOC and NO_x for the Indiana and Ohio portions of the Cincinnati-Hamilton, Ohio/Kentucky/Indiana area are as follows:

	2008 MVEB (tpd)
VOC	46.00
NO _x	91.36

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act. EPA’s conformity rule requires that transportation plans, programs, and

projects conform to state air quality implementation plans and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do conform. Conformity to a State Implementation Plan (SIP) means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's motor vehicle emission budgets are adequate for transportation conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). We have described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in our July 1, 2004, preamble starting at 69 FR 40038, and we used the information in these resources while making our adequacy determination. Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and it also should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval of the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved.

The finding and the response to comments are available at EPA's transportation conformity Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm>.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: June 3, 2008.

Bharat Mathur,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.

[FR Doc. E8-13225 Filed 6-11-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-8578-9]

Gulf of Mexico Program Management Committee Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: Under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), EPA gives notice of a meeting of the Gulf of Mexico Program (GMP) Management Committee (MC). For information on access or services for individuals with disabilities, please contact Gloria Car, U.S. EPA, at (228) 688-2421 or car.gloria@epa.gov. To request accommodation of a disability, please contact Gloria Car, preferably at least 10 days prior to the meeting, to give EPA as much time as possible to process your request.

DATES: The meeting will be held on Tuesday, July 8, 2008, from 1:30 p.m. to

5 p.m. and on Wednesday, July 9, 2008, from 8:30 a.m. to 12:30 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Embassy Suites Hotel, 315 Julia Street, New Orleans, LA 70130, (504) 525-1993.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gloria D. Car, Designated Federal Officer, Gulf of Mexico Program Office, Mail Code EPA/GMPO, Stennis Space Center, MS 39529-6000 at (228) 688-2421.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Proposed agenda topics include: Gulf of Mexico Alliance Update & Development of *Governors' Action Plan II*; Upcoming Alliance Implementation Workshop; Coastal America Designation of Aquarium of the Americas; Gulf Business and Industry Initiatives; Binational Harmful Algal Bloom Pilot Update; NASA Remote Sensing Initiatives; MS River Monitoring Efforts; USGS Nutrient Loading Modeling Efforts; Gulf Regional Sediment Management Plan Update; Hypoxia Update.

The meeting is open to the public.

Dated: June 5, 2008.

Gloria D. Car,

Designated Federal Officer.

[FR Doc. E8-13231 Filed 6-11-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

Meeting; Sunshine Act

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

DATE AND TIME: Wednesday, June 18, 2008, 9 a.m. Eastern Time.

PLACE: Clarence M. Mitchell, Jr. Conference Room on the Ninth Floor of the EEOC Office Building, 1801 "L" Street, NW., Washington, DC 20507.

STATUS: The meeting will be open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Open Session

1. Announcement of Notation Votes;
2. Modified Strategic Plan for FY 2007-2012;
3. Systemic Program Update; and
4. State and Local Program Update.

Note: In accordance with the Sunshine Act, the meeting will be open to public observation of the Commission's deliberations and voting. (In addition to publishing notices on EEOC Commission meetings in the **Federal Register**, the Commission also provides a recorded announcement a full week in advance on future Commission sessions.)

Please telephone (202) 663-7100 (voice) and (202) 663-4074 (TTY) at any time for information on these meetings. The EEOC provides sign language interpretation at Commission meetings for the hearing impaired. Requests for other reasonable accommodations may be made by using the voice and TTY numbers listed above.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Stephen Llewellyn, Executive Officer on (202) 663-4070.

This Notice Issued: June 9, 2008.

Stephen Llewellyn,

Executive Officer, Executive Secretariat.

[FR Doc. E8-13233 Filed 6-11-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6570-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[WC Docket No. 07-21; DA 08-1361]

Comment Sought on Request of Verizon and Qwest to Extend Forbearance Relief From Cost Assignment Rules

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice; comments requested.

SUMMARY: On April 24, 2008, the Commission conditionally granted AT&T's and BellSouth's (collectively AT&T) petitions for forbearance. On May 23, 2008, Verizon, on behalf of itself and Qwest, requested that the Commission grant the same forbearance to Verizon and Qwest. This document seeks comment on the issues raised in the Verizon/Qwest Request.

DATES: Submit comments on or before June 26, 2008. Submit reply comments on or before July 7, 2008.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by WC Docket No. 07-21, by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- *Agency Web Site:* <http://www.fcc.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments on the Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS) / <http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/ecfs/>.
- *E-mail:* to christi.shewman@fcc.gov. Include WC Docket 07-21 in the subject line of the message.
- *Fax:* To the attention of Christi Shewman at 202-418-1587. Include WC Docket 07-21 on the cover page.
- *Mail:* All filings must be addressed to the Commission's Secretary, Marlene H. Dortch, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC