

Rules and Regulations

Federal Register

Vol. 68, No. 241

Tuesday, December 16, 2003

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect, most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each week.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

7 CFR Part 51

[Docket Number FV-03-301]

RIN 0581-AB63

Revision of Fees for the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Terminal Market Inspection Services

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule revises the regulations governing the inspection and certification for fresh fruits, vegetables and other products by increasing by approximately 15 percent certain fees charged for the inspection of these products at destination markets. The fees for inspecting multiple lots of the same product during inspections will be increased from \$14.00 to \$45.00, and the per package fees for dock-side inspections will be changed from a three interval schedule, based on weight, to a two interval schedule based on different weight thresholds. These revisions are necessary in order to recover, as nearly as practicable, the costs of performing inspection services at destination markets under the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (AMA of 1946). The fees charged to persons required to have inspections on imported commodities in accordance with the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937 and for imported peanuts under section 1308 of the Farm Security and Rural Investigation Act of 2002.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 15, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rita Bibbs-Booth, USDA, 1400 Independence Ave., SW., Room 0640-S, Washington, DC 20250-0295, or call (202) 720-0391.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Executive Order 12866 and Regulatory Flexibility Act

This rule has been determined to be “non-significant” for the purposes of Executive Order 12866. Therefore, it has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget.

Also, pursuant to the requirements set forth in the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), AMS has considered the economic impact of this action on small entities. Accordingly, AMS has proposed this final regulatory flexibility analysis.

The purpose of the RFA is to fit regulatory actions to the scale of businesses subject to such actions in order that small businesses will not be unduly or disproportionately burdened. The action described herein is being taken for several reasons, including that additional user fee revenues are needed to cover the costs of: (1) Providing current program operations and services; (2) improving the timeliness with which inspection services are provided; and (3) improving the work environment.

AMS regularly reviews its user-fee financed programs to determine if the fees are adequate. The Fresh Products Branch (FPB) has and will continue to seek out cost saving opportunities and implement appropriate changes to reduce its costs. Such actions can provide alternatives to fee increases. However, even with these efforts, FPB's existing fee schedule will not generate sufficient revenues to cover program costs while maintaining the Agency mandated reserve balance. Current revenue projections for FPB's destination market inspection work during FY-03 are \$12.0 million with costs projected at \$18.3 million and an end-of-year reserve of \$14.8 million. However, this reserve balance is due to appropriated funding received in October 2001, for infrastructure, workplace, and technological improvements. FPB's costs of operating the destination market program are expected to increase to approximately \$18.9 million during FY-04 and to approximately \$19.4 million during FY-05. The current fee structure with the infusion of the appropriated funding is expected to fund the terminal market inspection services until FY-2006, when FPB will fall below the Agency's mandated four-month reserve level.

This fee increase should result in an estimated \$1.8 million in additional revenues per year (effective in FY 04, if the fees are implemented by October 1, 2003). This will not cover all of FPB's costs. FPB will need to continue to increase fees bi-yearly in order to cover the program's operating cost and maintain the required reserve balance. FPB believes that increasing fees incrementally is appropriate at this time. Additional fee increases beyond FY-2004 will be needed to sustain the program in the future.

Employee salaries and benefits are major program costs that account for approximately 80 percent of FPB's total operating budget. A general and locality salary increase for Federal employees, ranging from 4.02 to 4.87 percent depending on locality, effective January 2003, has significantly increased program costs. This salary adjustment will increase FPB's costs by over \$700,000 per year. Increases in health and life insurance premiums, along with workers compensation will also increase program costs. Since FPB's last fee increase, many employees have converted to or were hired under the Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS), which has also contributed to the increase in program costs. In addition, inflation also impacts FPB's non-salary costs. These factors have increased FPB's costs of operating this program by over \$600,000 per year.

Additional funds of approximately \$155,000 are necessary in order for FPB to continue to cover the costs associated with additional staff and to maintain office space and equipment. Additional revenues are also necessary to improve the work environment by providing training and purchasing needed equipment. In addition, FPB began in 2001, developing (with appropriated funds) an automated system recently named the Fresh Electronic Inspection Reporting/Resource System (FEIRS) to replace its manual paper and pen inspection reporting process. Approximately \$200,000 in additional funds are needed to complete the development and deployment of FEIRS, and it will take approximately \$10,000 per month to maintain the system. This system has been put in place to enhance FPB's fruit and vegetable inspection processes.

This rule should increase user fee revenue generated under the destination

market program by approximately \$1.8 million or 15 percent. While most of the fees will increase by approximately 15 percent, the fee for inspections of multiple lots of the same product during inspections, commonly referred to as "sublots," would be increased from \$14 to \$45 because FPB's current fee does not nearly cover the costs of performing these inspections (between 30 to 35 percent of the destination market inspections conducted by FPB involve sublots). In addition, the per package rates for dock-side inspections would be increased and changed from a three interval schedule (based on package weight) to a two interval schedule (based on different weight thresholds). The two interval schedule would be simpler to administer and more appropriate given current packaging trends. This action is authorized under the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (AMA of 1946) (*see* 7 U.S.C. 1622(h)), which provides that the Secretary of Agriculture may assess and collect "such fees as will be reasonable and as nearly as may be to cover the costs of services rendered * * *." There are more than 2,000 users of FPB's destination market grading services (including applicants who must meet import requirements¹—inspections which amount to under 2.5 percent of all lot inspections performed). A small portion of these users are small entities under the criteria established by the Small Business Administration (13 CFR 121.201). There would be no additional reporting, recordkeeping, or other compliance requirements imposed upon small entities as a result of this rule. In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35), the information collection and recordkeeping requirements in part 51 have been approved previously by OMB and assigned OMB No. 0581-0125. FPB has not identified any other

¹ Section 8e of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), requires that whenever the Secretary of Agriculture issues grade, size, quality or maturity regulations under domestic marketing orders for certain commodities, the same or comparable regulations on imports of those commodities must be issued. Import regulations apply during those periods when domestic marketing order regulations are in effect. Section 1308 of the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 (Public Law 107-171), 7 U.S.C. 7958, required USDA among other things to develop new peanut quality and handling standards for imported peanuts marketed in the United States.

Currently, there are 14 commodities subject to 8e import regulations: Avocados, dates (other than dates for processing), filberts, grapefruit, kiwi fruit, olives (other than Spanish-style green olives), onions, oranges, potatoes, prunes, raisins, table grapes, tomatoes and walnuts. A current listing of the regulated commodities can be found under 7 CFR parts 944, 980, 996, and 999.

Federal rules which may duplicate, overlap or conflict with this rule.

The destination market grading services are voluntary (except when required for imported commodities) and the fees charged to users of these services vary with usage. However, the impact on all businesses, including small entities, is very similar. Further, even though fees will be raised, the increase is not excessive and should not significantly affect these entities. Finally, except for those persons who are required to obtain inspections, most of these businesses are typically under no obligation to use these inspection services, and, therefore, any decision on their part to discontinue the use of the services should not prevent them from marketing their products.

Executive Order 12988

This rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. This action is not intended to have retroactive effect. This rule will not preempt any state or local laws, regulations or policies, unless they present an irreconcilable conflict with this rule. There are no administrative procedures which must be exhausted prior to any judicial challenge to the provisions of this rule.

Action

The AMA of 1946 authorizes official inspection, grading, and certification, on a user-fee basis, of fresh fruits, vegetables and other products such as raw nuts, Christmas trees and flowers. The AMA of 1946 provides that reasonable fees be collected from the users of the services to cover, as nearly as practicable, the costs of the services rendered. This rule would amend the schedule for fees and charges for inspection services rendered to the fresh fruit and vegetable industry to reflect the costs necessary to operate the program.

The Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) regularly reviews its user-fee programs to determine if the fees are adequate. While the Fresh Products Branch (FPB) of the Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, continues to search for opportunities to reduce its costs, the existing fee schedule will not generate sufficient revenues to cover program costs while maintaining the Agency mandated reserve balance. Current revenue projections for destination market inspection work during FY-03 are \$12.0 million with costs projected at \$18.3 million and an end-of-year reserve of \$14.8 million. However, this reserve balance is due to appropriated funding received from Congress in October of 2001. These funds were established to

build up the terminal market inspection reserve fund and for infrastructure improvements including development and maintenance of the inspector training center, workplace and technological improvements, including digital imaging and automation of the inspection process. However, by FY-07, without increasing fees, FPB's trust fund balance for this program will be below the agency mandated four-months of operating reserve (approximately \$4.6 million) deemed necessary to provide an adequate reserve balance in light of increasing program costs. Further, FPB's costs of operating the destination market program are expected to increase to approximately \$18.9 million during FY-04 and to approximately \$19.4 million during FY 05. These cost increases (which are outlined below) will result from inflationary increases with regard to current FPB operations and services (primarily salaries and benefits), increased inspection demands, and the acquisition and maintenance of computer technology (*i.e.*, FEIRS).

This rule should increase user fee revenue generated under the destination market program by approximately \$1.8 million or 15 percent per year. While most of the fees will increase by approximately 15 percent, the fee for inspections of multiple lots of the same product during inspections, commonly referred to as "sublots," would be increased from \$14 to \$45 because FPB's current fee does not nearly cover the costs of performing these inspections (between 30 to 35 percent of the destination market inspections conducted by FPB involve sublots). In addition, the per package rates for dock-side inspections would be increased and changed from a three interval schedule (based on package weight) to a two interval schedule (based on different weight thresholds). The two interval schedule would be simpler to administer and more appropriate given current packaging trends.

Employee salaries and benefits are major program costs that account for approximately 80 percent of FPB's total operating budget. A general and locality salary increase for Federal employees, ranging from 4.02 to 4.87 percent depending on locality, effective January 2003, has significantly increased program costs. This salary adjustment will increase FPB's costs by over \$700,000 per year. Increases in health and life insurance premiums, along with workers compensation will also increase program costs. Since FPB's last fee increase, many employees have converted to or were hired under the Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS), which has also contributed to

the increase in program costs. In addition, inflation also impacts FPB's non-salary costs. These factors have increased FPB's costs of operating this program by over \$600,000 per year.

Additional revenues (approximately \$155,000) are necessary in order for FPB to continue to cover the costs associated with additional staff and to maintain office space and equipment. Additional revenues are also necessary to continue to improve the work environment by providing training and purchasing needed equipment. In addition, FPB began in 2001, developing (with appropriated funds) an automated

system recently named the Fresh Electronic Inspection Reporting/Resource System (FEIRS) to replace its manual paper and pen inspection reporting process. Approximately \$200,000 in additional revenue is needed to complete the development and deployment of FEIRS, and it will take approximately \$10,000 per month to maintain the system. This system has been put in place to enhance FPB's fruit and vegetable inspection processes.

Based on the aforementioned analysis of this program's increasing costs, AMS to increase the fees for destination market inspection services. The

following table compares current fees and charges with the fees and charges for fresh fruit and vegetable inspection as found in 7 CFR 51.38. This table also reflects the change to the per package fees for dock-side inspections that are currently on a three interval schedule based on weight, to a two interval schedule based on different weight thresholds. Unless otherwise provided for by regulation or written agreement between the applicant and the Administrator, the charges in the schedule of fees as found in § 51.38 are:

Service	Current	Proposed
Quality and condition inspections of products each in quantities of 51 or more packages and unloaded from the same land or air conveyance:		
—Over a half carlot equivalent of each product	\$86.00	\$99.00
—Half carlot equivalent or less of each product	\$72.00	\$83.00
—For each additional lot of the same product*	\$14.00	\$45.00
Condition only inspections of products each in quantities of 51 or more packages and unloaded from the same land or air conveyance:		
—Over a half carlot equivalent of each product	\$72.00	\$83.00
—Half carlot equivalent or less of each product	\$66.00	\$76.00
—For each additional lot of the same product*	\$14.00	\$45.00
Quality and condition and condition only inspections of products each in quantities of 50 or less packages unloaded from the same land or air conveyance:		
—For each product	\$43.00	\$45.00
—For each additional lot of any of the same product*	\$14.00	\$45.00
—Lots in excess of carlot equivalents will be charged proportionally by the quarter carlot		
Dock side inspections of an individual product unloaded directly from the same ship:		
—For each package weighing N/A less than 15 pounds	1.1 cent	N/A
—For each package weighing less than 30 pounds (previously 15–29 pounds)	2.2 cents	2.5 cents.
—For each package weighing 30 or more pounds	3.3 cents	3.8 cents.
—Minimum charge per individual product	\$86.00	\$99.00
—Minimum charge for each additional lot of the same product	\$14.00	\$45.00
Hourly rate for inspections performed for other purposes during the grader's regularly scheduled work week.	\$43.00	\$49.00
—Hourly rate for other work performed during the graders regular scheduled work week will be charged at a reasonable rate		
Overtime or holiday premium rate (per hour additional) for all inspections performed outside the grader's regularly scheduled work week.	\$21.50	\$25.00
Hourly rate for inspections performed under 40 hour contracts during the grader's regularly scheduled work week*.	\$40.00	\$49.00
Rate for billable mileage	\$1.00	\$1.00

A notice of proposed rulemaking was published in the **Federal Register** on September 8, 2003 (7 CFR part 51). The workplan for the proposed FPB fee increase was classified as non-significant and approved by OMB on June 10, 2003. The comment period ended on October 8, 2003, and FPB received two comments during this period.

The first comment was received from the Washington State Potato Commission (WSPC) opposed raising inspection fees at this time. WSPC asked "is it necessary to raise salaries" and the answer is yes. General and locality salary increases for Federal employees are mandated by Federal law. WSPC also recommended that FPB use its reserve funds. FPB is indeed utilizing its

reserve fund to sustain the Federal market inspection program. However, if fees are not increased, the reserve fund would become depleted. The market inspection program reserve level is set by the Agency. A fee increase is necessary in order to prevent falling below the mandated four-month reserve level in FY–2007.

The second comment received from the California Grape and Tree Fruit League (CGTFL) did not oppose the proposed fee increase. CGTFL recommended that FPB make every effort to minimize costs and maximize the efficiency of the program, to maintain training programs for inspections and oversight, to make more inspection data available to the industry, and to seek input from the

produce industry. FPB has been and remains committed to such recommendations. Further, FPB has and will continue to seek out cost saving opportunities within the program. Accordingly, in light of the continuing need to maintain the inspection program on a financially sound basis, the Agency has decided to proceed with the fee increase as set forth in the proposal.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 51

Agricultural commodities, Food grades and standards, Fruits, Nuts, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Trees, Vegetables.

■ For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 51 is amended as follows:

PART 51—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 51 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 1621–1627.

■ 2. Section 51.38 is revised to read as follows:

§ 51.38 Basis for fees and rates.

(a) When performing inspections of product unloaded directly from land or air transportation, the charges shall be determined on the following basis:

(1) Quality and condition inspections of products in quantities of 51 or more packages and unloaded from the same land or air conveyance:

- (i) \$99 for over a half carlot equivalent of an individual product;
- (ii) \$83 for a half carlot equivalent or less of an individual product;
- (iii) \$45 for each additional lot of the same product.

(2) Condition only inspection of products each in quantities of 51 or more packages and unloaded from the same land or air conveyance:

- (i) \$83 for over a half carlot equivalent of an individual product;
- (ii) \$76 for a half carlot equivalent or less of an individual product;
- (iii) \$45 for each additional lot of the same product.

(3) For quality and condition inspection and condition only inspection of products in quantities of 50 or less packages unloaded from the same conveyance:

- (i) \$45 for each individual product;
- (ii) \$45 for each additional lot of any of the same product. Lots in excess of carlot equivalents will be charged proportionally by the quarter carlot.

(b) When performing inspections of palletized products unloaded directly from sea transportation or when palletized product is first offered for inspection before being transported from the dock-side facility, charges shall be determined on the following basis:

(1) Dock side inspections of an individual product unloaded directly from the same ship:

- (i) 2.5 cents per package weighing less than 30 pounds;
- (ii) 3.8 cents per package weighing 30 or more pounds;
- (iii) Minimum charge of \$99 per individual product;
- (iv) Minimum charge of \$45 for each additional lot of the same product.

(2) [Reserved]

(c) When performing inspections of products from sea containers unloaded directly from sea transportation or when palletized products unloaded directly from sea transportation are not offered for inspection at dock-side, the carlot

fees in paragraph (a) of this section shall apply.

(d) When performing inspections for Government agencies, or for purposes other than those prescribed in paragraphs (a) through (c) of this section, including weight-only and freezing-only inspections, fees for inspection shall be based on the time consumed by the grader in connection with such inspections, computed at a rate of \$49 an hour: *Provided*, That:

(1) Charges for time shall be rounded to the nearest half hour;

(2) The minimum fee shall be two hours for weight-only inspections, and one-half hour for other inspections;

(3) When weight certification is provided in addition to quality and/or condition inspection, a one-hour charge shall be added to the carlot fee;

(4) When inspections are performed to certify product compliance for Defense Personnel Support Centers, the daily or weekly charge shall be determined by multiplying the total hours consumed to conduct inspections by the hourly rate. The daily or weekly charge shall be prorated among applicants by multiplying the daily or weekly charge by the percentage of product passed and/or failed for each applicant during that day or week. Waiting time and overtime charges shall be charged directly to the applicant responsible for their incurrence.

(e) When performing inspections at the request of the applicant during periods which are outside the grader's regularly scheduled work week, a charge for overtime or holiday work shall be made at the rate of \$25.00 per hour or portion thereof in addition to the carlot equivalent fee, package charge, or hourly charge specified in this subpart. Overtime or holiday charges for time shall be rounded to the nearest half hour.

(f) When an inspection is delayed because product is not available or readily accessible, a charge for waiting time shall be made at the prevailing hourly rate in addition to the carlot equivalent fee, package charge, or hourly charge specified in this subpart. Waiting time shall be rounded to the nearest half hour.

Dated: December 9, 2003.

A.J. Yates,

Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 03–30999 Filed 12–15–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**Agricultural Marketing Service****7 CFR Parts 91 and 96**

[Docket Number ST02–03]

RIN 0581–AC18

Removal of Cottonseed Chemist Licensing Program, Updating of Commodity Laboratory and Office Addresses, and Adoption of Information Symbols

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule amends the Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) regulations by removing the cottonseed chemist licensing program and the related official cottonseed grading program. This regulation will update various commodity testing laboratory addresses and will adopt two information symbols in the form of approved AMS shields to indicate that products have been tested by AMS.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This rule is effective January 15, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James V. Falk, Docket Manager, USDA, AMS, Science and Technology, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Room 3521 South Agriculture Building, Mail Stop 0272, Washington, DC 20250–0272; telephone (202) 690–4089; fax (202) 720–4631, or e-mail: James.falk@usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 13, 2003, AMS published in the **Federal Register** (68 FR 48322–48326) a proposed rule with a 30-day comment period to provide an opportunity for interested individuals to comment on the removal of 7 CFR part 96, the 67-year-old USDA cottonseed chemist licensing program and the related official cottonseed grading program. The programs have been inoperative since June 3, 1999. Two information symbols in the form of approved AMS shields to indicate that products have been tested by AMS were also proposed. No comments were received. Therefore, AMS is adopting the proposed as a final rule, without change.

Executive Order 12866 and Executive Order 12988

This rule has been determined to be not significant for the purposes of Executive Order 12866, and therefore, has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

This rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice