

of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDISON-LINE) at <http://dockets.usitc.gov/eol/public>.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 22, 2001.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-21640 Filed 8-27-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Establishment of the Commission for the Review of FBI Security Programs

AGENCY: Depart of Justice.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice is published in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act and advises the public that the Attorney General of the United States has established the Commission for the Review of FBI Security Programs. The Commission will provide advice and recommendations on policy and procedural issues as they relate to the security programs of the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

ADDRESSES: The Commission meetings will be held at the U.S. Department of Justice, located at 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington DC 20530.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: George Ellard, Deputy Chief Investigative Counsel, (202) 616-1327.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App., the Attorney General of the United States has established the Commission for the Review of FBI Security Programs. The Commission will provide advice and recommendations on policy and procedural issues as they relate to the security programs of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The Attorney General has determined that establishment of the Commission is in the public interest.

Richard M. Rogers,

Deputy Chief Investigative Counsel, Commission for the Review of FBI Security Programs, Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 01-21682 Filed 8-27-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-23-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Commission for the Review of FBI Security Programs

ACTION: Notice of closed meeting.

DATES: September 18, 2001.

PLACE: Department of Justice, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20530.

STATUS: This meeting will be closed to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: The purpose of the Commission for the Review of FBI Security Programs will be to provide advice and recommendations on policy and procedural issues as they relate to the security programs of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The Attorney General of the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) has determined that the meetings of the Commission will be closed to the public in accordance with the United States Code, Title 5, Section 552b, due to the likelihood that sensitive national security information regarding intelligence and counter-intelligence investigative techniques and procedures will be reviewed and discussed in an open forum. The potential release of this information could seriously jeopardize the integrity of our internal security programs; ongoing intelligence and counter-intelligence investigations, and could also endanger the lives and safety of FBI Special Agents, other intelligence community personnel, and individuals supporting our intelligence personnel.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: George Ellard, Deputy Chief Investigative Counsel, (202) 616-1327.

Richard M. Rogers,

Deputy Chief Investigative Counsel, Commission for the Review of FBI Security Programs, Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 01-21683 Filed 8-27-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-23-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Partial Consent Decree in Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act Cost Recovery Action

In accordance with the Departmental Policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a Partial Consent Decree in *United States v. American Scrap Company*, Civil Action No. 1:99-CV-2047, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania on August 17, 2001. This Partial Consent Decree resolves the

United States' claims against City Metal Co., Inc., Cousins, Inc., Honeywell International, Inc. and NI West, Inc. ("Settling Defendants") under Section 107(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9607(a), for response costs incurred at the Jack's Creek/Sitkin Smelting Superfund Site in Mifflin County, Pennsylvania. The Partial Consent Decree requires the Settling Defendants to pay a total of \$1,736,977.10 in past response costs.

The Department of Justice will accept written comments on the proposed Partial Consent Decree for thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this notice. Please address comments to the Acting Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, P.O. Box 7611, Ben Franklin Station, Washington, D.C. 20044 and refer to *United States v. American Scrap Company*, DOJ # 90-11-2-911/1.

Copies of the proposed Partial Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Middle District of Pennsylvania, 228 Walnut Street, Harrisburg, PA 17108, and at EPA Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029. A copy of the proposed Partial Consent Decree may be obtained by mail from the U.S. Department of Justice, Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, D.C. 20044-7611. When requesting a copy of the proposed Partial Consent Decree, please enclose a check to cover the twenty-five cents per page reproduction costs payable to the "Consent Decree Library" in the amount of \$7.50, and reference *United States v. American Scrap Company*, DOJ # 90-11-2-911/1.

Robert Brook,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 01-21643 Filed 8-27-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as Amended

Consistent with Department policy, 28 CFR 50.7, 38 FR 19029, and 42 U.S.C. 9622(d), notice is hereby given that on August 15, 2001, a proposed Consent Decree as to Settling Defendants 2, concerning the Somersworth Landfill Site in Somersworth, New Hampshire,

was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of New Hampshire in the action captioned *United States v. CVS Corporation, et al.*, D.N.H., Civil No. 01–314–B. The proposed Consent Decree will resolve the claims of the United States, the State of New Hampshire, the City of Somersworth, and the General Electric Company under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (“CERCLA”), 42 U.S.C. 9601, *et seq.*, against (a) Samuel S. Mathews, (b) Facemate PL/GF, Inc., Facemate Corporation, Great Falls Bleachery and Dye Works, a division of Haffenreffer & Co., Inc., Haffenreffer & Co., Inc., Pond Lily Great Falls Company, Haffenreffer (New Hampshire), Inc., Great Falls Bleachery & Dye Works, Pond Lily Company, and Great Northern Industries, Inc. (collectively, the “Facemate Companies”), (c) CVS Corporation and CVS New York, Inc., (d) Natalie Gardner, as Administratrix of the Estate of Fred Tanzer, and Ethlyne Golub, as an individual and as Executrix of the Estate of Burton Golub, and (e) Ron Currier’s Hilltop Chevrolet, Inc., and Ronald A Currier, relating to the Somersworth Landfill Site.

Pursuant to the Consent Decree, these defendants have agreed to reimburse to the United States a total of \$197,612 for past and oversight costs incurred and to be incurred by the EPA at the Somersworth Landfill Site and to pay a total of \$1,119,796 in contribution to the City of Somersworth and the General Electric Company, the parties that are implementing the remedial design and remedial action at the Site pursuant to a previous Consent Decree entered in *United States v. City of Somersworth, et al.*, D.N.H., Civil Action No. 96–46–JD, in 1996.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this Notice comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree as to Settling Defendants 2. Any comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, U.S. Department of Justice, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should reference the following case name and number: *United States v. CVS Corporation, et al.*, Civil Action No. 01–314–B, D.J. # 90–11–3–1311/1. In addition, because the Consent Decree as to Settling Defendants 2 includes covenants not to sue the Settling Defendants 2 under Section 7003 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. 6973, the United States will provide an opportunity for a public

meeting in the affected area, if requested within the thirty (30) day public comment period. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 6973(d).

The proposed Consent Decree as to Settling Defendants 2 may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, District of New Hampshire, 55 Pleasant Street, Concord, New Hampshire 03301, c/o Gretchen Witt, Esq., (603) 225–1552, or at the Region One office of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, One Congress St., Boston, MA 02203, c/o Robert Phocas, Esq., (617) 918–1758. A copy of the proposed Consent Decree as to Settling Defendants 2 may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, c/o Peggy Fenlon-Gore, (202) 514–5245. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$10.00 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Bruce S. Gelber,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environment and Natural Resources Division.
[FR Doc. 01–21642 Filed 8–27–01; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

United States v. Premdor Inc., Premdor U.S. Holdings, Inc., International Paper Company, and Masonite Corporation; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 16(b)–(h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Hold Separate Stipulation and Order, and Competitive Impact Statement were filed with the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia in *United States v. Premdor Inc., Premdor U.S. Holdings, Inc., International Paper Company, and Masonite Corporation*, Civ. Action No. 1:01CV01696. On August 3, 2001, the United States filed a Complaint alleging that Premdor Inc.’s acquisition of Masonite Corporation and related assets would violate section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18. The proposed Final Judgment, filed the same time as the Complaint, requires defendants to divest Masonite Corporation’s doorskin manufacturing facility located in Towanda, Pennsylvania. Copies of the Complaint, proposed Final Judgment, Hold Separate Stipulation and Order, and Competitive Impact Statement are available for inspection at the U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 325 Seventh Street, NW., Room 215, Washington, DC 20530

(telephone: 202–514–2481), and at the Clerk’s Office of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, Washington, DC.

Public comment is invited within 60 days of the date of this notice. Such comments, and responses thereto, will be published in the **Federal Register** and filed with the Court. Comments should be directed to J. Robert Kramer II, Chief, Litigation II Section, Antitrust Division, U.S. Department of Justice, 1401 H Street, NW., Suite 3000, Washington, DC 20530 (telephone: 202–307–0924).

Mary Jean Moltenbrey,

Director of Civil NonMerger Enforcement.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

United States of America, Plaintiff, v. Premdor Inc., 1600 Britannia Road East, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada L4W 1J2; Premdor U.S. Holdings Inc., One North Dale Mabry Highway, Suite 950, Tampa, Florida 33609; International Paper Company, 400 Atlantic Street, Stamford, Connecticut 06921; and Masonite Corporation, 1 South Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606; Defendants.

[Civil No.: 01 1696]

Hold Separate Stipulation and Order

It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between the undersigned parties, subject to approval and entry by the Court, that:

I. Definitions

As used in this Hold Separate Stipulation and Order:

A. “Acquirer” or “Acquirers” means the entity or entities to whom the Towanda Facility is divested.

B. “Premdor” means defendant Premdor Inc., a Canadian corporation with its headquarters in Mississauga, Ontario, Canada, its successors and assigns, and its subsidiaries, divisions, groups, affiliates, partnerships and joint ventures, and their directors, officers, managers, agents, and employees.

C. “Premdor U.S.” means defendant Premdor U.S. Holdings, Inc., a Florida corporation and a wholly owned subsidiary of Premdor with its headquarters in Tampa, Florida, its successors and assigns, and its subsidiaries, divisions, groups, affiliates, partnerships and joint ventures, and their directors, officers, managers, agents, and employees.

D. “IP” means defendant International Paper Company, a New York corporation with its headquarters in Stamford, Connecticut, its successors and assigns, and its subsidiaries, divisions, groups, affiliates,