

Bureau, FOB 3, Room 3340,
Washington, DC 20233-8400, (301) 763-
3806.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

The Census Bureau plans to request clearance for the collection of data concerning the School Enrollment Supplement to be conducted in conjunction with the October 2005 CPS. The Census Bureau and the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) sponsor the basic annual school enrollment questions, which have been collected annually in the CPS for 40 years.

This survey provides information on public/private elementary school, secondary school, and college enrollment, and on characteristics of private school students and their families, which is used for tracking historical trends, policy planning, and support. This survey is the only source of national data on the age distribution and family characteristics of college students and the only source of demographic data on preprimary school enrollment. As part of the federal government's efforts to collect data and provide timely information to local governments for policymaking decisions, the survey provides national trends in enrollment and progress in school.

II. Method of Collection

The school enrollment information will be collected by both personal visit and telephone interviews in conjunction with the regular October CPS interviewing. All interviews are conducted using computer-assisted interviewing.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0607-0464.

Form Number: There are no forms.

We conduct all interviews on computers.

Type of Review: Regular.

Affected Public: Households.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 55,000.

Estimated Time Per Response: 3.0 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 2,750.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: The only cost to respondents is that of their time.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

Legal Authority: Title 13, U.S.C., section 182, and title 29, U.S.C., sections 1-9.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information

is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for the Office of Management and Budget approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: March 24, 2005.

Madeleine Clayton,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 05-6228 Filed 3-29-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-570-504

Petroleum Wax Candles from the People's Republic of China: Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is rescinding its administrative review of one company, Shangyu City Garden Candle Factory ("Garden Candle"), under the antidumping duty order on Petroleum Wax Candles from the People's Republic of China for the period August 1, 2003, through July 31, 2004. This rescission, in part, is based on the withdrawal for a request for review by Garden Candle. The Department is not rescinding its review of Shanghai R&R Import/Export Co., Ltd ("Shanghai R&R").

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 30, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nicole Bankhead or Alex Villanueva at (202) 482-9068 and (202) 482-3208, respectively, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department published in the **Federal Register** an antidumping duty order on petroleum wax candles from the People's Republic of China on August 28, 1986 (51 FR 30686). Pursuant to its *Notice of Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review*, 69 FR 46496 (August 3, 2004), and in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and section 351.213(b) of the Department's regulations, the Department received timely requests for review from two companies: Garden Candle and Shanghai R&R. No other interested party requested a review.

On September 22, 2004, the Department published its *Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, Requests for Revocation in Part*, 69 FR 56745 (September 22, 2004), initiating on both companies for which an administrative review was requested.

Rescission, in Part, of Administrative Review

Pursuant to section 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations, the Department may rescind an administrative review, "if a party that requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review." The Department may also extend this time limit if it decides that it is reasonable to do so. *Id.*

While Garden Candle's withdrawal of its own request for review was not timely, according to section 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations, the Department may extend this time limit if it decides that it is reasonable to do so. In this case, the Department has determined that rescinding the review of Garden Candle is appropriate. Continuing this review would only require Garden Candle and the Department to expend time and resources on a review in which the party that requested the review is no longer interested. The Department has only released one supplemental questionnaire with respect to Garden Candle and has not yet conducted a verification. Therefore, the Department does not believe the administrative review has proceeded to a point at which it would be "unreasonable" to rescind the review. The Department has therefore determined that it is reasonable to extend the 90-day time limit for Garden Candle to request its withdrawal from the administrative review, and is rescinding its antidumping administrative review with respect to Garden Candle in accordance

with section 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations.

The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") within 15 days of the publication of this notice. The Department will direct CBP to assess antidumping duties for this company at the cash deposit rate in effect on the date of entry for entries during the period August 1, 2003, through July 31, 2004.

Notification to Parties

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under section 351.402(f) of the Department's regulations to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this period of time. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with section 351.305(a)(3) of the Department's regulations. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 351.213(d)(4) of the Department's regulations and sections 751(a)(2)(C) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: March 16, 2005.

Barbara E. Tillman,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5-1400 Filed 3-29-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration
A-570-825

Sebacic Acid from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review and Reinstatement of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade

Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On November 26, 2004, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the changed circumstances review and intent to reinstate the Tianjin Chemicals Import and Export Corporation (Tianjin) in the antidumping duty order on exports of sebacic acid from the People's Republic of China (PRC). *See Sebacic Acid From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review and Intent to Reinstatement the Antidumping Duty Order*, 69 FR 68879 (November 26, 2004) (*Preliminary Results*). This review covers subject merchandise exported by Tianjin. The products covered by this order are all grades of sebacic acid which include, but are not limited to, CP Grade, Purified Grade, and Nylon Grade (*see* "Scope of the Review" section below). The period of review (POR) is July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2003. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes in the margin calculation. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results. We determine that Tianjin sold subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV) during the referenced period, and hereby reinstate Tianjin in the order. The final weighted-average dumping margin is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 30, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jennifer Moats or Brian Ledgerwood, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5047 or (202) 482-3836, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 26, 2004, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of changed circumstances review and intent to reinstate Tianjin in the antidumping duty order on exports of sebacic acid from the PRC. *See Preliminary Results*. This review covers subject merchandise exported by Tianjin. The POR is July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2003.

We invited interested parties to comment on the preliminary results of review. We received comments from Tianjin on January 3, 2005. On March 11, 2005, we put excerpts from the International Trade Commission's Staff

Report on the record and invited parties to comment. The hearing was held on March 15, 2005. The Department has conducted this changed circumstances review in accordance with section 751(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are all grades of sebacic acid, a dicarboxylic acid with the formula $(CH_2)_8(COOH)_2$, which include but are not limited to CP Grade (500 ppm maximum ash, 25 maximum APHA color), Purified Grade (1000 ppm maximum ash, 50 maximum APHA color), and Nylon Grade (500 ppm maximum ash, 70 maximum ICV color). The principle difference between the grades is the quantity of ash and color. Sebacic acid contains a minimum of 85 percent dibasic acids of which the predominant species is the C_{10} dibasic acid. Sebacic acid is sold generally as a free-flowing powder/flake.

Sebacic acid has numerous industrial uses, including the production of nylon 6/10 (a polymer used for paintbrush and toothbrush bristles and paper machine felts), plasticizers, esters, automotive coolants, polyamides, polyester castings and films, inks and adhesives, lubricants, and polyurethane castings and coatings.

Sebacic acid is currently classifiable under subheading 2917.13.00.30 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

Separate Rates

We initiated this changed circumstance review for the sole purpose of determining whether Tianjin has resumed dumping of sebacic acid from the PRC. We did not require Tianjin to answer questions related to separate rates because no administrative review has been initiated that would require Tianjin to substantiate a *de facto* and *de jure* absence of government control of its export activities. We have not received any other information since the *Preliminary Results* which would indicate that Tianjin is not eligible for a separate rate. Therefore, we determine that Tianjin should be assigned an individual dumping margin in this changed circumstances review.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case brief submitted by Tianjin to this changed circumstances review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum"