

requires the broker-dealer to disclose upon a customer's written request, the broker-dealer makes the information available to the customer; and

(ii) Broker-dealers are not required to comply with paragraph (e)(1)(iv) of this section until June 1, 2003.

\* \* \* \* \*

3. Section 240.11d2-1 is added to read as follows:

**§ 240.11d2-1 Exemption from Section 11(d)(2) for certain broker-dealers effecting transactions for customers security futures products in futures accounts.**

A broker or dealer registered pursuant to section 15(b)(1) of the Act (15 U.S.C. 78o(b)(1)) that is also a futures commission merchant registered pursuant to section 4f(a)(1) of the Commodity Exchange Act (7 U.S.C. 6f(a)(1)), to the extent that it effects transactions for customers in security futures products in a futures account (as that term is defined in § 240.15c3-3(a)(15)), is exempt from section 11(d)(2) of the Act (15 U.S.C. 78k(d)(2)).

By the Commission.

Dated: May 31, 2002.

**Jill M. Peterson,**  
*Assistant Secretary.*

**Appendix A**

**Note:** Appendix A to the Preamble will not appear in the Code of Federal Regulations.

**Regulatory Flexibility Act Certification**

I, Harvey L. Pitt, Chairman of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission"), based on the representations of the Division of Market Regulation provided to me, and the analysis of the Office of Economic Analysis and the Office of the General Counsel provided to me, hereby certify, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 605(b), that the proposed amendments to Rule 10b-10 and proposed new Rule 11d2-1 would not, if adopted, have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Dated: May 31, 2002.

**Harvey L. Pitt,**  
*Chairman.*  
[FR Doc. 02-14294 Filed 6-7-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8010-01-P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 52**

[CA 207-0336b; FRL-7224-2]

**Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, Great Basin Unified Air Pollution Control District and South Coast Air Quality Management District**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Great Basin Unified Air Pollution Control District (GBUAPCD) portion and the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern the emission of particulate matter (PM-10) from GBAPCD open burning/open detonation (OB/OD) of propellants, explosives, and pyrotechnics (PEP); from SCAQMD storage, handling, and transport of coke, coal, and sulfur; and from SCAQMD paved and unpaved roads and livestock operations. We are proposing to approve local rules that regulate these emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

**DATES:** Any comments on this proposal must arrive by July 10, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Mail comments to Andy Steckel, Rulemaking Office Chief (AIR-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.

You can inspect copies of the submitted rule revisions and EPA's technical support documents (TSDs) at our Region IX office during normal business hours. You may also see copies of the submitted rule revisions and TSDs at the following locations:

Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20460.

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 1001 "I" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

Great Basin Unified Air Pollution Control District, 157 Short Street, Bishop, CA 93514.

South Coast Air Quality Management District, 21865 East Copley Drive, Diamond Bar, CA 91765.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Al Petersen, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX; (415) 947-4118.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This proposal addresses the approval of local

GBUAPCD Rule 432 and SCAQMD Rules 1158 and 1186. In the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**, we are approving these local rules in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe this SIP revision is not controversial. If we receive adverse comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule. We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.

Dated: May 9, 2002.

**Alexis Strauss,**  
*Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.*  
[FR Doc. 02-14208 Filed 6-7-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 52**

[SIP NO. SD-001-0012b; FRL-7216-2]

**Approval of an Air Quality Implementation Plan Revision; South Dakota; Rapid City Street Sanding Regulations To Protect the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for PM-10**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is taking direct final action to approve a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of South Dakota for the purpose of establishing street sanding, deicing and maintenance rules for Rapid City, South Dakota. In the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial SIP revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the preamble to the direct final rule. If EPA receives no adverse comments, EPA will not take further action on this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, EPA will withdraw the direct final rule and it will not take effect. EPA will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any