impact on the availability of marine mammal stocks for subsistence uses.

Information Solicited

NMFS requests interested persons to submit comments and information concerning this request (see ADDRESSES).

Dated: February 26, 2002.

David Cottingham,

Deputy Office Director, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service. [FR Doc. 02–5101 Filed 3–1–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–22–8

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 022602C]

Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council (Council) will convene a public meeting of the Ad Hoc Red Snapper Advisory Panel (AP).

DATES: The Council's Ad Hoc Red Snapper AP will convene at 8:30 a.m. (CST) on Monday, March 18, 2002, and conclude by 3 p.m. on Wednesday, March 20, 2002.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Isle of Capri Hotel, 151 Beach Boulevard, Biloxi, MS; telephone: 866–475–3847.

Council address: Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council, 3018 U.S. Highway 301 North, Suite 1000, Tampa, FL 33619.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Wayne Swingle, Executive Director, Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council; telephone: 813–228–2815.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The AP will convene to discuss the issues related to and begin the development of an individual fishing quota (IFQ) profile for the commercial red snapper fishery. The profile will examine the benefits and consequences of using IFQs to manage the commercial red snapper fishery. When the profile is completed by the AP and Council, it will be submitted to the current participants in the fishery for a referendum to determine if the majority of the participants favor management by IFQs.

The AP members consist of commercial fishermen holding Class 1 or Class 2 commercial red snapper

licenses, and licensed commercial reef fish dealers. They are assisted by 4 nonvoting members with expertise in fishery economics, fishery biology, environmental science, and law enforcement. The completion of the profile will require several subsequent meetings of this AP.

Although other non-emergency issues not on the agenda may come before the AP for discussion, in accordance with the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, those issues may not be the subject of formal action during these meetings. Actions of the AP will be restricted to those issues specifically identified in the agendas and any issues arising after publication of this notice that require emergency action under Section 305(c) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act, provided the public has been notified of the Council's intent to take action to address the emergency.

Copies of the agenda can be obtained by calling 813–228–2815.

Special Accommodations

This meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Anne Alford at the Council (see **ADDRESSES**) by March 11, 2002.

Dated: February 27, 2002.

Richard W. Surdi,

Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service. [FR Doc. 02–5102 Filed 3–1–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–22–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 022602D]

New England Fishery Management Council; Public Meetings

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public meetings.

SUMMARY: The New England Fishery Management Council (Council) is scheduling a public meeting of its Capacity Committee and Monkfish Oversight Committee in March, 2002, to consider actions affecting New England fisheries in the exclusive economic zone (EEZ). Recommendations from these groups will be brought to the full Council for formal consideration and action, if appropriate.

DATES: The meetings will be held on March 18, 2002 and March 21, 2002. See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** for specific dates and times.

ADDRESSES: The meetings will be held at the Mystic Hilton Hotel, 20 Coogan Boulevard, Mystic, CT 06355; telephone: (860) 572–0731.

Council address: New England Fishery Management Council, 50 Water Street, Mill 2, Newburyport, MA 01950.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul J. Howard, Executive Director, New England Fishery Management Council; (978) 465–0492.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Meeting Dates and Agendas

Monday, March 18, 2002, 9:30 a.m.— Capacity Oversight Committee Meeting.

The Committee will finalize the list of capacity reduction proposals to be forwarded to the Council for further consideration and possible inclusion in Amendment 13 to the Northeast Multispecies Fishery Management Plan. Based on the Council direction, the range of proposals will provide a basis for reducing latent or unused days-atsea (DAS) and capacity to further the biological goals of Amendment 13; under consideration include Alternatives that reduce the amount of allocated DAS from approximately 150,000 to between 67,000 and 86,000 allocated DAS.

Thursday, March 21, 2002, 8:30 a.m.—Monkfish Oversight Committee Meeting.

The Committee will review Council comments on Amendment 2 Goals and Objectives and make appropriate adjustments. The Committee will review information provided by the Plan Development Team and/or Councils' staffs and outline management strategies for further development.

Although non-emergency issues not contained in this agenda may come before this group for discussion, those issues may not be the subject of formal action during this meeting. Action will be restricted to those issues specifically listed in this notice and any issues arising after publication of this notice that require emergency action under section 305 (c) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act, provided the public has been notified of the Council's intent to take final action to address the emergency.

Special Accommodations

These meetings are physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Paul J. Howard (see ADDRESSES) at least 5 days prior to the meeting dates.

Dated: February 27, 2002.

Richard W. Surdi,

Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service. [FR Doc. 02-5100 Filed 3-1-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-S

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

Announcement of a Grace Period on **Export Visa and Quota Requirements** for Certain Textile Costumes Produced or Manufactured in Various Countries, Exported Before April 1, 2002, and **Entered for Consumption or** Withdrawn from Warehouse for Consumption Before June 1, 2002

February 28, 2002.

AGENCY: Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements

ACTION: Issuing a directive to the Commissioner of Customs to allow a grace period on export visa and quota requirements for certain textile costumes.

SUMMARY: On March 1, 2002, the U.S. Customs Service published a notice in the Federal Register informing the public that certain imported textile costumes, entered for consumption or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption after March 1, 2002, are to be classified as wearing apparel in accordance with the Court of International Trade decision in Rubie's Costume Company v. United States. This announcement applied to imported textile costumes of the character covered by the Customs decision published in the **Federal Register** on December 4, 1998 (see 63 FR 67170). The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has decided to allow a grace period before imposing quota and visa requirements. Accordingly, in the letter published below, the Chairman of CITA directs the Commissioner of Customs to exempt from export visa and quota requirements goods described above that are exported before April 1, 2002, and entered for consumption or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption before June 1, 2002.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 1, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Martin Walsh, International Trade Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482 - 3400.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority Section 204 of the Agricultural Act of 1956, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1854); Executive Order 11651 of March 3, 1972, as amended.

D. Michael Hutchinson,

Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements

February 28, 2002.

Commissioner of Customs, Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC 20229.

The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has decided to allow a grace period on the export visa and quota requirements for the textile costumes of the character covered by the Customs decision published in the Federal Register on . December 4, 1998 (see 63 FR 67170).

Effective on March 1, 2002, you are directed to exempt from export visa and quota requirements goods as described above that are exported prior to April 1, 2002, and entered for consumption or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption prior to June 1, 2002.

Sincerely, D. Michael Hutchinson, Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements. [FR Doc.02–5194 Filed 2–28–02; 1:47 pm] BILLING CODE 3510-DR-S

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary: Submission for **OMB Review; Comment Request**

ACTION: Notice.

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments received by April 13, 2002. **SUMMARY:** The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for collection of

information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Title and OMB Number: Defense Suppliers Customer Satisfaction Diagnostic Survey; OMB Number 0704-[To Be Determined].

Type of Request: New Collection. Number of Respondents: 380. Responses per Respondent: 1. Annual Responses: 380.

Average Burden per Response: 15 minutes.

Annual Burden Hours: 95.

Needs and Uses: The information collection is necessary to determine the reasons for supplier satisfaction/ dissatisfaction with Defense acquisition processes. The information will be used to improve Defense acquisition processes to assure supplier satisfaction. Feedback from suppliers will be used to formulate policies, programs and

practices for improving the level of supplier satisfaction. A web-based survey is planned for the supplier diagnostic survey. The survey instrument will be posted on the web, and suppliers will be sent invitations via e-mail to access the Web site and complete the survey instrument.

Affected Public: Business or Other

For-Profit.

Frequency: Annually. Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary. OMB Desk Officer: Ms. Jackie Zeiher. Written comments and

recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Ms. Zeiher at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer for DoD, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

DoD Člearance Officer: Mr. Robert Cushing.

Written requests for copies of information collection proposal should be sent to Mr. Cushing, WHS/DIOR, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302.

Dated: February 25, 2002.

Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 02-4982 Filed 3-1-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-08-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary; Submission for **OMB Review; Comment Request**

ACTION: Notice.

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments received by April 3, 2002. **SUMMARY:** The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for collection of

information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Title and OMB Number: Defense Security Security Customer Satisfaction Survey; OMB Number 0704—[To Be Determined1.

Type of Request: New Collection. Number of Respondents: 6,000. Responses per Respondent: 1. Annual Responses: 6,000. Average Burden per Response: 25

Annual Burden Hours: 2,500. Needs and Uses: This information collection is necessary to obtain information to ascertain the level of satisfaction that private sector industrial users have with the products and services the Defense Security Service (DSS) provides. This survey is necessary to meet the requirements of the FY