

have either created baby registries through Graco, requested Graco's newsletter, or whose names have been acquired from prenatal lists. Graco believes that providing the direct Graco online registration link will allow those consumers to register their Graco-brand seats once they have received the e-mail.

#### NHTSA Decision

NHTSA regulations specify that child seat manufacturers must provide the telephone number for the Vehicle Safety Hotline so that consumers concerned about safety recalls or potential safety-related defects could contact the agency. That telephone number has been changed. A rule published on June 21, 2005, in the **Federal Register** (70 FR 35556) revised the relevant section of the regulations to state the new telephone number. The effective date for the new telephone number was June 21, 2006; however, NHTSA issued an amendment on June 21, 2006 (71 FR 35558) that changed the effective date of the new telephone number to September 1, 2006.

Although the Hotline number printed on the labels is not the correct number, Graco proposed to redirect calls made to the incorrect Hotline number to the current Hotline number for a period of 7 years. Since filing its petition, Graco has in fact redirected those calls so that anyone who calls the incorrect number is automatically connected to NHTSA's Hotline. NHTSA has confirmed that this change has been activated. Also, NHTSA has initiated appropriate measures to reacquire the incorrect Hotline number from Graco. Once NHTSA has re-acquired the rights to the old number, calls to that number will continue to be routed directly to the new Hotline. NHTSA therefore agrees with Graco that there is no adverse safety consequence from this aspect of the noncompliance because consumers who call the incorrect Hotline number will automatically be redirected to the current Hotline number.

Although the Graco models at issue do not have labels containing Graco's electronic registration Web site address, that address is shown on the registration cards that come with each new child restraint system. Those who purchase the seat are, therefore, immediately presented with the online registration option and the necessary Web site address where they are most likely to

look for it, i.e., on the product registration card. The additional requirement that the Web site address also be on the seat label provides helpful information to owners who have not already registered by using the registration card or the online option, and may be particularly helpful for subsequent owners who have not received the registration card. However, even without the Web site information, the noncompliant label provides the important information that registration is essential to being notified of a recall, explains what information needs to be submitted for registration, and provides Graco's mailing address and telephone number for that purpose. Therefore, any owner who reads the label will be informed of the importance of registration and provided with two methods for accomplishing registration. Also, Graco's company Web site address appears in every owner's manual, so a person intent on registering the seat online could readily determine how to do so.

The only risk created by the noncompliance is that someone who wished to register the seat online but either ignored the online registration information on the registration card, or never had the card, would choose not to register the seat despite the ability to do so by mail, telephone, or by locating the Web site information in the owner's manual or through a simple online search. We think the likelihood is far greater that a person interested in registering the seat would use one of the available options. Although provision of information for registration of child seats is very important and inclusion of the Web site address on the label is one way of making registration easier, we think the risk created by this particular noncompliance is very slight and inconsequential to motor vehicle safety.

In consideration of the foregoing, NHTSA has decided that Graco has met its burden of persuasion that the labeling noncompliances described are inconsequential to motor vehicle safety. Accordingly, Graco's petition is granted and the petitioner is exempted from the obligation of providing notification of, and a remedy for, the noncompliances under 49 U.S.C. 30118 and 30120.

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 30118, 30120; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.8.

Issued on: December 13, 2007.

**Daniel C. Smith,**

*Associate Administrator for Enforcement.*

[FR Doc. E7-24702 Filed 12-19-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-59-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

#### Office of Hazardous Materials Safety; Notice of Delays in Processing of Special Permits Applications

**AGENCY:** Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), DOT.

**ACTION:** List of Applications Delayed more than 180 days.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the requirements of 49 U.S.C. 5117(c), PHMSA is publishing the following list of special permit applications that have been in process for 180 days or more. The reason(s) for delay and the expected completion date for action on each application is provided in association with each identified application.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Delmer F. Billings, Director, Office of Hazardous Materials Special Permits and Approvals, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, East Building, PHH-30, 1200 New Jersey Avenue Southeast, Washington, DC 20590-0001, (202) 366-4535.

Key to "Reason for Delay".

1. Awaiting additional information from applicant.

2. Extensive public comment under review.

3. Application is technically complex and is of significant impact or precedent-setting and requires extensive analysis.

4. Staff review delayed by other priority issues or volume of special permit applications.

Meaning of Application Number Suffixes.

N—New application.

M—Modification request.

PM—Party to application with modification request.

Issued in Washington, DC, on December 14, 2007.

**Delmer F. Billings,**

*Director, Office of Hazardous Materials,  
Special Permits and Approvals.*

Application No.	Applicant	Reason for delay	Estimated date of completion
<b>Modification to Special Permits</b>			
11579-M .....	Austin Powder Company, Cleveland, OH .....	3, 4	12-31-2007
<b>New Special Permit Applications</b>			
14385-N .....	Kansas City Southern Railway Company, Kansas City, MO .....	4	12-31-2007
14402-N .....	Lincoln Composites, Lincoln, NE .....	1	12-31-2007
14436-N .....	BNSF Railway Company, Topeka, KS .....	4	12-31-2007
14500-N .....	Northwest Respiratory Services, St. Paul, MN .....	4	12-31-2007
14507-N .....	Gulf Coast Hydrostatic Testers, LLC, Denham Springs, LA .....	4	12-31-2007
14508-N .....	Gulf Coast Hydrostatic Testers, LLC, Denham Springs, LA .....	4	12-31-2007

[FR Doc. 07-6127 Filed 12-19-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-60-M

**DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY****Office of the Comptroller of the Currency****Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request****AGENCY:** Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), Treasury.**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The OCC, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on a proposed information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a respondent is not required to respond to, an information collection unless it displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number. The OCC is soliciting comments concerning an information collection titled "Bank Secrecy Act/Money Laundering Risk Assessment" (MLR). The OCC is also giving notice that it has sent the information collection to OMB for review.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted by January 22, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** Communications Division, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Public Information Room, Mailstop 1-5, Attention: 1557-0231, 250 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20219. In addition, comments may be sent by fax to (202) 874-4448, or by electronic mail to [regs.comments@occ.treas.gov](mailto:regs.comments@occ.treas.gov). You may personally inspect and photocopy comments at the OCC's Public Information Room, 250 E Street, SW., Washington, DC. For security reasons,

the OCC requires that visitors make an appointment to inspect comments. You may do so by calling (202) 874-5043. Upon arrival, visitors will be required to present valid government-issued photo identification and submit to security screening in order to inspect and photocopy comments.

Additionally, you should send a copy of your comments to OMB Desk Officer, 1557-0231, by mail to U.S. Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th St., NW., #10235, or by fax to (202) 395-6974.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** You can request additional information or a copy of the collection and supporting documentation submitted to OMB by contacting: Mary Gottlieb, (202) 874-5090, Legislative and Regulatory Activities Division, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, 250 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20219.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The OCC is proposing to extend the approval for the following information collection:

*Title:* Bank Secrecy Act/Anti-Money Laundering Risk Assessment.

*OMB Number:* 1557-0231.

*Affected Public:* Businesses or other for-profit.

*Type of Review:* Regular review.

*Abstract:* The MLR enhances the ability of examiners and bank management to identify and evaluate any Bank Secrecy Act/Anti-Money Laundering risks associated with the banks' products, services, customers, and locations. As new products and services are introduced, existing products and services change, and the banks expand through mergers and acquisitions, management's evaluation of money laundering and terrorist financing risks must evolve as well. Absent appropriate controls, such as this risk assessment, these lines of business, products, or entities could elevate Bank Secrecy Act/Anti-Money Laundering risks. The information collection only includes community banks.

**Burden Estimates:**

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 1,670.

*Estimated Number of Responses:* 1,670.

*Frequency of Response:* Annually.  
*Estimated Annual Burden:* 10,020 hours.

*Comments:* The OCC requested comments on the renewal of the information collection (72 FR 44920, August 9, 2007). Two comments were received. Comments continue to be invited on:

(a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility;

(b) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information;

(c) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected;

(d) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and

(e) Estimates of capital or startup costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information.

Dated: December 14, 2007.

**Stuart Feldstein,**

*Assistant Director, Legislative and Regulatory Activities Division, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency.*

[FR Doc. E7-24722 Filed 12-19-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-33-P

**DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY****Office of Thrift Supervision**

[Docket ID OTS-2007-0009]

**Savings and Loan Holding Company Rating System**

**AGENCY:** Office of Thrift Supervision, Treasury.