

Office of Federal Procurement Policy,
on (202) 395-3254.

Angela B. Styles,
Administrator.

To the Heads of Executive Departments and Agencies

Subject: Determination of Executive Compensation Benchmark Amount Pursuant to Section 808 of Pub. L. 105-85.

This memorandum sets forth the "benchmark compensation amount" as required by section 39 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy (OFPP) Act (41 U.S.C. 435), as amended. Under section 39, the "benchmark compensation amount" is "the median amount of the compensation provided for all senior executives of all benchmark corporations for the most recent year for which data is available." The "benchmark compensation amount" established as directed by section 39 limits the allowability of compensation costs under government contracts. The "benchmark compensation amount" does not limit the compensation that an executive may otherwise receive.

Based on a review of commercially available surveys of executive compensation and after consultation with the Director of the Defense Contract Audit Agency, I have determined pursuant to the requirements of section 39 that the benchmark compensation amount for contractor fiscal year 2003 is \$405,273. This benchmark compensation amount is to be used for contractor fiscal year 2003, and subsequent contractor fiscal years, unless and until revised by OMB. This benchmark compensation amount applies to contract costs incurred after January 1, 2003, under covered contracts of both the defense and civilian procurement agencies as specified in Section 808 of Public Law 105-85.

Questions concerning this memorandum may be addressed to Richard C. Loeb, Executive Secretary, Cost Accounting Standards Board, Office of Federal Procurement Policy, on (202) 395-3254.

Angela B. Styles,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 03-10817 Filed 5-1-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3110-01-P

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

Sunshine Act Meeting of the National Museum Service Board

AGENCY: Institute of Museum and Library Services.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice sets forth the agenda of a forthcoming meeting of the National Museum Services Board. This notice also describes the function of the board. Notice of this meeting is required under the Sunshine in Government Act and regulations of the Institute of Museum and Library Services, 45 CFR 1180.84.

TIME/DATE: 9 a.m.-12:30 p.m. on Saturday, May 17, 2003.

STATUS: Open.

ADDRESSES: Portland Art Museum, Board Room, 1219 S.W. Park Avenue (Hoffman Entrance), Portland, OR, (503) 226-2811.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth Lyons, Special Assistant to the Director, Institute of Museum and Library Services, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Room 510, Washington, DC 20506, (202) 606-4649.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The National Museum Services Board is established under the Museum Services Act, Title II of the Arts, Humanities, and Cultural Affairs Act of 1976, Pub. L. 94-462. The board has responsibility for the general policies with respect to the powers, duties, and authorities vested in the Institute under the Museum Services Act.

The meeting on Saturday, May 17, 2003 will be open to the public. If you need special accommodations due to a disability, please contact: Institute of Museum and Library Services, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20506—(202) 606-8536—TDD (202) 606-8636 at least seven (7) days prior to the meeting date.

Agenda

87th Meeting of the National Museum Services Board at Portland Art Museum, Board Room, 1219 SW. Park Avenue (Hoffman Entrance), Portland, OR

Saturday, May 17, 2003.

9 a.m.-12:30 p.m.

- I. Chairperson's Welcome
 - II. Approval of Minutes from the 86th NMSB Meeting
 - III. Director's Welcome and Remarks
 - IV. Staff Updates
 - V. Museums and Technology: Where We Are
- Presentations by:

Maxwell Anderson, Director and CEO,
Whitney Museum of American Art
Rob Semper of Exploratorium
VI. Board Discussion
VII. Closing Remarks

Dated: April 29, 2003.

Teresa LaHaie,
Administrative Officer, National Foundation on the Arts and Humanities, Institute of Museum and Library Services.

[FR Doc. 03-11082 Filed 4-30-03; 3:54 pm]

BILLING CODE 7036-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to OMB and solicitation of public comment.

SUMMARY: The NRC is preparing a submittal to OMB for review of continued approval of information collections under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

1. *The title of the information collection:* "Nuclear Material Events Database (NMED)" for the Collection of Event Report, Response, Analyses, and Follow-up Data on Events Involving the Use of Atomic Energy Act (AEA) Radioactive Byproduct Material.

2. *Current OMB approval number:* 3150-0178.

3. *How often the collection is required:* In accordance with compatible regulatory reporting requirements under formal Agreements, the Agreement States are requested to submit event reports to NRC within one month of notification from the State's licensee that an incident or event has occurred, including follow-up investigative report information. In addition, the Agreement States are requested to report events, that may pose a significant health and safety hazard, to the NRC Headquarters Operations Officer within the next working day of notification by an Agreement States licensee.

4. *Who is required or asked to report:* Current Agreement States and any State receiving Agreement State status in the future.

5. *The number of annual respondents:* 32 Agreement States.

6. *The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or*

request: 1,240 hours (an average of approximately 2.0 hours per each initial and follow-up report) for all existing Agreement States reporting.

7. **Abstract:** NRC regulations require NRC licensees to report incidents and events involving the use of radioactive byproduct, source and special nuclear material, such as those involving a radiation overexposure, leaking or contaminated sealed source(s), release of excessive contamination of radioactive material, lost or stolen radioactive material, equipment failures, and abandoned well logging sources. Medical events are required to be reported in accordance with 10 CFR 35.3045. Agreement State licensees are also required to report these events and medical events to their individual Agreement State regulatory authorities under compatible Agreement State regulations. NRC is requesting that the Agreement States provide information on the initial notification, response actions, and follow-up investigations on all events involving the use of nuclear materials regulated pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act. The event information should be provided in a uniform electronic format. The consolidation of both NRC and Agreement State incident and event information, involving the use of AEA radioactive materials, into one single national database provides the capability for assessment and trending analysis. A national database provides invaluable information for use in the identification of any facility/site specific or national generic safety concerns that could impact our ability to maintain public health and safety, and security. The identification and review of safety concerns may result in lessons learned, and may also identify generic issues for further study which could result in proposals for changes or revisions to technical or regulatory designs, processes, standards, guidance or requirements.

Submit, by July 1, 2003, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?
2. Is the burden estimate accurate?
3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?
4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the draft supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One

White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O-1F21, Rockville, Maryland 20852. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide Web site: <http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/index.html>. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the NRC Clearance Officer, Brenda Jo Shelton, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, T-6 E6, Washington, DC 20555-0001, by telephone at 301-415-7233, or by Internet electronic mail to infocollects@nrc.gov.

Dated in Rockville, Maryland, this 28th day of April, 2003.

For the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Brenda Jo Shelton,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 03-10859 Filed 5-1-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Proposed Collection; Comment Request for Review of a Revised Information Collection: RI 92-22

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, May 22, 1995), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) intends to submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request for review of a revised information collection. RI 92-22, Annuity Supplement Earnings Report, is used annually to obtain the amount of personal earnings from annuity supplement recipients to determine if there should be a reduction in benefits paid to the annuitant.

Comments are particularly invited on: Whether this information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of the Office of Personnel Management, and whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; and ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through the use of appropriate technological

collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Approximately 180 RI 92-22 forms are completed annually. Each form requires approximately 15 minutes to complete. The annual estimated burden is 45 hours.

For copies of this proposal, contact Mary Beth Smith-Toomey on (202) 606-8358, FAX (202) 418-3251 or via E-mail to mbtoomey@opm.gov. Please include a mailing address with your request.

DATES: Comments on this proposal should be received within 60 calendar days from the date of this publication.

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver comments to—

Ronald W. Melton, Chief, Operations Support Group, Center for Retirement and Insurance Services, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW., Room 3349, Washington, DC 20415-3540.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cyrus S. Benson, Team Leader, Publications Team, RIS Support Services, (202) 606-0623.

Office of Personnel Management.

Kay Coles James,

Director.

[FR Doc. 03-10865 Filed 5-1-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-50-P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Proposed Collection; Comment Request for Review of a Revised Information Collection: RI 30-2

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, May 22, 1995), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request for review of a revised information collection. RI 30-2, Annuitant's Report of Earned Income, is used annually to determine if disability retirees under age 60 have earned income which will result in the termination of their annuity benefits.

Comments are particularly invited on: Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of the Office of Personnel Management, and whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; and ways in which we can minimize the