

\$200 million available for use in purchasing specialty crops that experienced low prices during the 1998 and 1999 crop years. These include apples, black-eyed peas, cherries, citrus crops, cranberries or cranberry products, onions, melons, peaches, and potatoes. Section 816 of the Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Activities Appropriation Act of 2001 (Public Law 106–387) requires that not less than \$30 million of the total \$200 million be used for cranberry products. A significant amount of these commodities will be made available for distribution through TEFAP in FY 2001.

Purchased Commodities

Congress responded to the reduced availability of surplus commodities with section 104 of the Hunger Prevention Act of 1988, Public Law 100–435, which added sections 213 and 214 to the EFAA. Those sections require the Secretary to purchase commodities for distribution to States in addition to those surplus commodities which otherwise might be provided to States for distribution under TEFAP. Under section 871(d) of the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104–193, Congress repealed the authorization of funds for food purchases under section 214 of the EFAA. However, section 871(g) added a new section 27 to the Food Stamp Act of 1977, 7 U.S.C. 2011, *et seq.* (FSA), under which the Secretary is required to use \$100 million from the funds made available to carry out the FSA for each of FYs 1997 through 2002 to purchase a variety of nutritious and useful commodities and distribute the commodities to States for distribution through TEFAP. In addition to the \$100 million, the Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Activities Appropriation Act of 2001 (Pub. L. 106–387), provides a separate administrative funding appropriation of \$45 million that is allocated among States in the same manner as commodities. At the discretion of each State, any funds remaining after the State has met the EFAA requirement that at least 40 percent of all funds received must be provided to cover the direct expenses of emergency feeding organizations may be used by the Department to purchase additional commodities for TEFAP (7 U.S.C. 7508).

For FY 2001, the Department anticipates purchasing the following commodities for distribution through TEFAP: Dehydrated potatoes, corn syrup, egg mix, blackeye beans, great

northern beans, kidney beans, lima beans, pinto beans, prunes, raisins, bakery mix, lowfat bakery mix, egg noodles, white corn grits, macaroni, oats, peanut butter, rice, spaghetti, vegetable oil, rice cereal, corn flakes, corn squares, oat cereal, frozen ground beef, frozen chicken, frozen turkey roast, and the following canned items: Green beans, refried beans, vegetarian beans, cream corn, whole kernel corn, sliced potatoes, spaghetti sauce, tomatoes, tomato sauce, tomato soup, vegetarian soup, apple juice, grapefruit juice, orange juice, pineapple juice, tomato juice, peaches, pineapples, applesauce, pears, plums, beef, beef stew, chicken, pork, tuna, and roasted peanuts. In addition, the Department expects to purchase the following new items: Frozen ham, bran flakes, canned carrots, and cranapple juice. The amounts of each item purchased will depend on the prices the Department must pay, as well as the quantity of each item requested by the States. Changes in agricultural market conditions may result in the availability of additional types of commodities or the non-availability of one or more types listed above. State officials will be responsible for determining how to allocate the commodities each State receives among eligible organizations. States have full discretion in determining the amount of commodities that will be made available to organizations for distribution to needy households for use in home-prepared meals or for providing prepared meals to the needy at congregate feeding sites.

Dated: December 12, 2000.

George A. Braley,

Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc. 00–32287 Filed 12–18–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–30–U

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–428–801]

Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof From France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Singapore, Sweden, Thailand, and the United Kingdom; Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of amended final results of antidumping duty administrative reviews.

SUMMARY: On November 15, 2000, the Department of Commerce published the amended final results of administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on antifriction bearings (other than tapered roller bearings) and parts thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Singapore, Sweden, Thailand, and the United Kingdom (see 65 FR 68974). The classes or kinds of merchandise covered by these reviews are ball bearings and parts thereof, cylindrical roller bearings and parts thereof, and spherical plain bearings and parts thereof. The period of review is May 1, 1993, through April 30, 1994. Subsequent to publication of these results, we found that one matter, relating to the reviews of the orders on antifriction bearings and parts thereof from Germany, remains pending before the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit and that, consequently, the amended results do not reflect the final results of review for the respondent-company FAG Kugelfischer Georg Schaefer AG.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 19, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Edythe Artman or Richard Rimlinger, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–4733.

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act), are references to the provisions in effect as of December 31, 1994. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to the regulations as codified at 19 CFR part 353 (1995).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 15, 2000, the Department of Commerce published the amended final results of administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on antifriction bearings (other than tapered roller bearings) and parts thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Singapore, Sweden, Thailand, and the United Kingdom. The classes or kinds of merchandise covered by these reviews are ball bearings and parts thereof, cylindrical roller bearings and parts thereof, and spherical plain bearings and parts thereof. The period of review is May 1, 1993, through April 30, 1994.

In our notice of amended final results, we stated that all litigation pertaining to the results of the reviews was final and conclusive. This statement was erroneous; one matter relating to the administrative reviews of the orders on antifriction bearings and parts thereof from Germany remains pending before the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC). This matter concerns the final results of review for one respondent, FAG Kugelfischer Georg Schaefer AG (FAG Germany). Hence, the results for FAG Germany that we published in our notice of amended final results do not reflect the final results for this company. We will not instruct the U.S. Customs service to liquidate entries for this company until all final and conclusive action has been taken on the pending matter and after we have published amended final results of review for this respondent.

Amendment to Final Results

The amended final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on antifriction bearings (other than tapered roller bearings) and parts thereof from Germany that we published in a notice of amended final results of review on November 15, 2000, do not reflect the final results for the respondent-company FAG Germany.

This notice is published pursuant to section 751(a) of the Tariff Act.

Dated: December 11, 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-32170 Filed 12-18-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-588-824]

Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From Japan: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of rescission of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On October 2, 2000, the Department of Commerce published in the **Federal Register** (65 FR 58733) a notice announcing the initiation of an administrative review of the

antidumping duty order on Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Japan for three producers/exporters, Nippon Steel Corporation ("Nippon"), Kawasaki Steel Corporation ("Kawasaki"), and Daido Metal Corporation ("Daido") covering the period of review ("POR"), which is August 1, 1999 through July 31, 2000. The Department of Commerce is rescinding this review with respect to Nippon and Kawasaki pursuant to a timely request from petitioners, the only party that requested the review of these companies. In addition, we are rescinding this review with respect to Daido because, on November 21, 2000, its affiliated U.S. importer, Dana Glacier Daido America, LLC ("Dana"), who had requested the review, withdrew its request for this review within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). Petitioners did not request a review of Daido.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 19, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Catherine Bertrand, Brandon Farlander, or Laurel LaCivita, Office 9, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3207, (202) 482-0182, or (202) 482-4243, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, are to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department) regulations are to the regulations codified at 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

Background

The Department published in the **Federal Register** on August 16, 2000 (65 FR 49962) a "Notice of Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" of the antidumping duty order on Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Japan. On August 31, 2000, petitioners requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of this order with respect to Nippon and Kawasaki. Also, on August 31, 2000, Dana requested an administrative review for merchandise produced by Daido and imported by

Dana, pursuant to 19 U.S.C. section 1675(a)(1) and 19 CFR section 351.213(b)(3). On October 2, 2000, the Department initiated an administrative review for the period August 1, 1999 through July 31, 2000 (65 FR 58733). On October 3, 1999, the Department issued questionnaires to Nippon, Kawasaki, and Daido.

Kawasaki

On November 8, 2000, Kawasaki submitted section A of its questionnaire response. On December 6, 2000, petitioners requested that the Department rescind the review with respect to Kawasaki. Petitioners were the only party requesting the review and their request for withdrawal was made within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation in accordance with 19 CFR section 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations. The Department is therefore rescinding the review with respect to Kawasaki in accordance with that regulation.

Nippon

On October 31, 2000, Nippon submitted section A of its questionnaire response. On December 6, 2000, petitioners requested that the Department rescind the review with respect to Nippon. Petitioners were the only party requesting the review and their request for withdrawal was made within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation in accordance with 19 CFR section 351.213(d)(1). The Department is therefore rescinding the review with respect to Nippon in accordance with that regulation.

Daido

On November 21, 2000, U.S. importer Dana withdrew its request for administrative review of Daido. Dana was the only party requesting the review and its request for withdrawal was made within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation in accordance with 19 CFR section 351.213(d)(1). The Department is therefore rescinding the review with respect to Daido in accordance with that regulation.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with 19 CFR section 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: December 12, 2000.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III.

[FR Doc. 00-32172 Filed 12-18-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P