

Part, 67 FR 42573 (June 25, 2002). This review covers the period May 1, 2001 through April 30, 2002. Because the company for which the review was requested and initiated was not an exporter of the subject merchandise to the United States, the Department is rescinding this review in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 3, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Jacqueline Arrowsmith or Maureen Flannery, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-5255 or (202) 482-3020, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

The Department published in the **Federal Register** an antidumping duty order on castings from the PRC on May 9, 1986. *See Antidumping Duty Order: Iron Construction Castings from the People's Republic of China*, 51 FR 17222 (May 9, 1986). On May 30, 2002, the Department received a timely request from Powin Corporation (Powin), an importer of subject merchandise, for an administrative review of Mucun Foundry of Fangzi District (Mucun Foundry). The Department published its initiation of the administrative review for Mucun Foundry on June 25, 2002. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 67 FR 42573 (June 25, 2002) (*Initiation Notice*).

On August 26, 2002, the Department issued its antidumping duty questionnaire, and on October 11, 2002, pursuant to Powin's request, the Department extended the deadlines for the questionnaire responses to October 18, 2002, for Section A, and October 25, 2002, for Sections C and D. We received, in proper form, Section A responses on October 18, 2002, and Section C and D responses on October 25, 2002.

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department may extend the deadline for completion of the preliminary results of an administrative review if it determines that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of a review within the statutory time limit of 245 days. On December 3, 2002, in accordance with the Act, the Department extended the time limit for completion of the preliminary results of this antidumping duty administrative review until no later than May 30, 2003.

*See Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Iron Construction Castings From the People's Republic of China*, 67 FR 75845 (December 10, 2002).

**Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Castings**

Based on our review of questionnaire responses as well as information from the U.S. Bureau of Customs and Border Protection, the Department found that the subject merchandise had not been exported to the United States by Mucun Foundry, the only company for which the review was requested and initiated. On January 30, 2003, we issued a letter and memorandum to all interested parties in this review stating our intention to rescind this administrative review because the company for which the review was requested did not export to the United States during the period of review (POR). *See Memorandum from Javier Barrientos and Julio A. Fernandez through Sally C. Gannon to Barbara E. Tillman: Iron Construction Castings from the People's Republic of China: Intent to Rescind Antidumping Duty Administrative Review* (January 30, 2003). We invited all interested parties to comment on our stated intent to rescind the review.

On February 13, 2003, Powin submitted comments objecting to a rescission, and on February 25, 2003 the petitioners<sup>1</sup> submitted comments supporting a rescission. We have considered Powin's and petitioners' comments and have reached a final determination to rescind this administrative review. *See Memorandum from Matthew Renkey, Case Analyst, through Maureen Flannery, Program Manager, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VII, to Barbara Tillman, Director, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VII: Iron Construction Castings from the People's Republic of China: Rescission of the 2001-2002 Administrative Review*, dated May 27, 2003 (*Rescission Memo*), which discusses in full the comments received.

Pursuant to our regulations, the Department will rescind an administrative review if the Department determines that "during the period covered by the review, there were no entries, exports, or sales of the subject merchandise, as the case may be." *See* 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3). Given that Mucun Foundry was not the exporter, but only

a producer, the Department has determined that this administrative review should be rescinded as Mucun Foundry did not have any entries, exports or sales of subject merchandise during the current POR. *See Certain Cased Pencils From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results and Rescission in Part of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 66 FR 1638 (January 9, 2001). *See also Laizhou City Guangming Pencil-Making Co. Ltd., Et Al., v. United States*, No. 02-151 (Ct. Int'l Trade Dec. 18, 2002). Therefore, the Department has determined that it is reasonable to rescind this administrative review of castings for the period May 1, 2001 through April 30, 2002. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions to the U.S. Bureau of Customs and Border Protection.

**Notification to Importers**

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under section 351.402(f) of the Department's regulations to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This determination and notice are issued and published in accordance with 19 CFR§ 351.213(d)(4) and sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 27, 2003.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 03-13880 Filed 6-2-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

<sup>1</sup> Allegheny Foundry Co.; Deeter Foundry Inc.; East Jordan Iron Works, Inc.; LeBaron Foundry, Inc.; Municipal Castings, Inc.; Neenah Foundry Co.; Tyler Pipe Company; and U.S. Foundry Manufacturing Co.

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****International Trade Administration****[A-580-844]****Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars from the Republic of Korea: Notice of Postponement of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Postponement of Preliminary Results of Administrative Review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 3, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Richard Johns at (202) 482-2305 or Mark Manning at (202) 482-5253, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office IV, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is postponing the preliminary results of the administrative review of steel concrete reinforcing bar (rebar) from the Republic of Korea (Korea). This review covers the period from January 30, 2001 through August 31, 2002.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Statutory Time Limits**

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order/finding for which a review is requested, and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days and for the final determination to 180 days from the date of publication of the preliminary determination.

**Background**

On October 24, 2002, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on rebar from

Korea (67 FR 65336). The preliminary results are currently due no later than June 2, 2003.

**Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review**

The Department has determined that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review within the original time limit. See Decision Memorandum from Tom Futtner, Acting Director, Office IV, to Holly A. Kuga, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, dated concurrently with this notice, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the main Commerce building. Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results until no later than September 30, 2003. We intend to issue the final results no later than 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results notice.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: May 27, 2003.

**Holly A. Kuga,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary Import Administration, Group II.*

[FR Doc. 03-13877 Filed 6-2-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

**CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION****[CPSC Docket No. 03-C0001]****TGH International Trading, Inc., A Corporation Provisional Acceptance of a Settlement Agreement and Order**

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** It is the policy of the Commission to publish settlements which it provisionally accepts under the Federal Hazardous Substances Act in the **Federal Register** in accordance with the terms of 16 CFR 1118.20. Published below is a provisionally-accepted Settlement Agreement with TGH International Trading, Inc., a corporation.

**DATES:** Any interested person may ask the Commission not to accept this agreement or otherwise comment on its contents by filing a written request with the Office of the Secretary by June 18, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Persons wishing to comment on this Settlement Agreement should send written comments to the Comment 03-C0001, Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Dennis C. Kacoyanis, Trial Attorney, Office of Compliance, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207; telephone (301) 504-7587.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The text of the Agreement and Order appears below.

Dated: May 28, 2003.

**Todd A. Stevenson,**  
*Secretary.*

**Consent Order Agreement**

1. TGH International Trading, Inc. ("TGH" or "Respondent") enter into this Consent Order Agreement (hereinafter referred to as "Agreement") with the staff of the Consumer Product Safety Commission ("the staff") pursuant to the Commission's Procedures for Consent Order Agreements, 16 CFR 1118.20. The purpose of this Agreement is to settle the staff's allegations that Respondent violated sections 4(a) and (c) of the Federal Hazardous Substances Act (FHSA), 15 U.S.C. 1263(a) and (c).

*I. The Parties*

2. TGH is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California. TGH's principal place of business is 421 South Wall Street, Los Angeles, CA 90013. TGH is an importer and distributor of toys.

3. The "staff" is the "staff" of the Consumer Product Safety Commission, an independent regulatory agency established by Congress under section 4 of the Consumer Product Safety Act (CPSA), 15 U.S.C. 2053.

*II. Allegations of the Staff*

A. Violations of the Small Parts Regulation

4. On 12 occasions between May 28, 1994, and April 24, 2002, Respondent introduced or caused the introduction into interstate commerce; and received in interstate commerce and delivered or proffered delivery thereof for pay or otherwise, 30 types of toys (49,529 retail units) intended for use by children under three years old. These toys are identified and described as follows:

Sample No.	Toy	Entry/Collec * Date	Exporter	Quantity	LOA
S-867-8292 ....	Cathy Dolls .....	05/28/94	Alltrend .....	30	08/03/94