

for the Standards for the Classification of Federal Data on Race and Ethnicity.

6. An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: 17,667 agencies with 106,002 responses (including zero reports); and with an average of 6 hours and 35 minutes annually devoted to compilation of data for this information collection.

7. An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with both collections: 15,900 annually.

Public comments on this proposed information collection are strongly encouraged.

If additional information is required contract: Mr. Robert B. Briggs, Department Clearance Officer, U.S. Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 1221, National Place Building, 1331 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: July 27, 2000.

**Robert B. Briggs,**

*Department Clearance Officer, Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 00-19358 Filed 7-31-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-02-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Immigration and Naturalization Service

[INS No. 2082-00]

#### Notice of Intent To Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Implementation of Border Barriers for Enforcement Initiatives in Arizona

**AGENCY:** Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS), Justice.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent to Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS).

#### SUMMARY:

##### Proposed Action

In furtherance of its mission to gain and maintain control of the Arizona border, in 1994, the INS launched Operation Safeguard, an aggressive initiative that brought new agents, equipment, and technology to the Tucson Border Patrol Sector. The goal of Operation Safeguard is to heighten deterrence and improve control along the nearly 300 miles of international border in Arizona. The aim of INS' comprehensive border enforcement effort, which includes Operation Gatekeeper in California and Operations Hold the Line and Rio Grande in Texas, is to reduce the adverse effects of illegal

immigration and improve the quality of life for residents along the immediate border and throughout the nation. The INS will now expand Operation Safeguard by utilizing new resources and technology within the following Arizona Border Patrol stations: Ajo/Why, Casa Grande, Douglas, Naco, Nogales, Sonoita, Tucson, Wellton, Wilcox, and Yuma. The enhancements will bolster the efforts to ensure the safety of migrants, ranchers, and local residents, as well as provide increased safety of operations for agents. Enhancement will include, but not be limited to, additional Border Patrol personnel, support vehicles, air support, border barriers, lighting, border road improvements, and remote video surveillance systems.

#### Alternatives

In developing the DEIS, the options of no action and alternatives for Operation Safeguard will be fully and thoroughly examined.

#### Scoping Process

During the preparation of the DEIS, there will be numerous opportunities for public involvement in order to determine the environmental issues to be examined. The meetings will be well publicized and held at a time which will make it possible for the public and interested agencies or organizations to attend. Scoping meetings will be held in Douglas, Tucson, Yuma, and Nogales, Arizona. Notice of the Scoping meetings will be published in local newspapers prior to the meetings indicating the date, time, and location of each Scoping meeting.

#### DEIS Preparation

Public notice will be published in the **Federal Register** concerning the availability of the DEIS for public review and comment.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Manny Rodriguez, Chief Policy and Planning, Immigration and Naturalization Service, Facilities and Engineering Branch, 425 I Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20536, Room 2060, Telephone: 202-353-0383.

Dated: July 25, 2000.

**Doris Meissner,**

*Commissioner, Immigration and Naturalization Service.*

[FR Doc. 00-19335 Filed 7-31-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-10-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

#### Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA-W) issued during the period of July 2000.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of section 222 of the Act must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision, thereof, have become totally or partially separated;

That sales or production, or both, of the firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely; and

(3) That increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

#### Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-37,403; *R. Daye Limited, New York, NY*

TA-W-37,596; *The Bethlehem Corp., Easton, PA*

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

TA-W-37,837; *American General Assurance Co., Reading, PA*

TA-W-37,763; *Destination Film Distribution Co., Inc., Wheelman Products, Santa Monica, CA*

TA-W-37,762; *Hearst Entertainment, King Telpro Productions, Los Angeles, CA*

TA-W-37,623; *Lear Corp., Mold and die Shop, El Paso, TX*

TA-W-37,836; *Shenandoah Rag Co., Inc., Shenandoah, PA*

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-37,631; *Celestica Corp.*,  
Campton, KY  
TA-W-37,522; *INX International Ink Co.*,  
Warminster, PA  
TA-W-37,757; *Cutler-Hammer, Crane Transportation & Resistors*,  
Milwaukee, WI  
TA-W-37,868; *American Meter Co.*,  
Erie, PA  
TA-W-37,560; *Honeywell International, Speciality Chemicals, Commercial Roofing Systems*, Ironton, OH  
TA-W-37,706; *Fruit of The Loom, Sports and Licensing Div.*, Salem  
Sportswear, Inc., Frankfort, KY  
TA-W-37,750; *Acme Steel Co.*,  
Riverdale, IL  
TA-W-37,493; *Levi Strauss and Co.*,  
RMQ Lab, Pelicano Finishing Plant,  
El Paso, TX

Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-37,802; *Lydal-Westex*,  
Hamptonville, NC

The investigation revealed that criteria (2) has not been met. Sales or production, or both, did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification.

#### Affirmative Determinations For Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

TA-W-37,852; *Southwest Cupid Corp.*,  
Hominy, OK: June 15, 1999.  
TA-W-37,523 & A; *Sangamon, Inc.*,  
Taylorville, IL and Moultrie, GA:  
March 17, 1999.  
TA-W-37,669; *Wheeling-Labelle Nail Co.*,  
Wheeling, WV: May 2, 1999.  
TA-W-37,433; *Smithville Sportswear, including Workers of Skilstaf, Inc.*,  
Smithville, TN: February 24, 1999.  
TA-W-37,822; *Kalkstein Silk Mills, Inc.*,  
Paterson, NJ: May 25, 1999.  
TA-W-37,739; *Applied Sewing Resources, Inc.*,  
Orland, CA: May 20, 1999.  
TA-W-37,791; *Erie Controls*,  
Milwaukee, WI: May 19, 1999.  
TA-W-37,602; *Wil-Gro Fertilizer, Inc.*,  
Pryor, OK: April 3, 1999.  
TA-W-37,667; *AMF Reece, Inc.*,  
Mechanicsville, VA: April 6, 1999.  
TA-W-37,813; *Seton Co., Leather Div*,  
Saxton, PA: June 5, 1999.  
TA-W-37,862; *K & R Sportswear, Spring Hope*,  
NC: July 21, 1999.  
TA-W-37,675; *Hagale Industries, Inc.*,  
Salem MO: April 26, 1999.

TA-W-37,711; *Dana Epic Technical Group, Fluid Systems Products*,  
Kendallville, IN: May 5, 1999.  
TA-W-37,771; *A & B Dallco Industries, Inc.*,  
York, PA; *Dalta, PA*; and  
*Spring Run, PA*: May 31, 1999.  
TA-W-37,641; *Jo-B's, Inc.*,  
Frisco City, AL: April 23, 1999.  
TA-W-37,806; *W.E. Bassett Co.*,  
Derby, CT: June 9, 1999.  
TA-W-37,691 & A; *Four Seasons Apparel Co.*,  
Murfreesboro, NC and  
Sanford, NC: May 5, 1999.  
TA-W-37,642; *Zeller Corp.*,  
Defiance, OH: April 24, 1999.  
TA-W-37,510; *Cliftex Corp.*,  
New Bedford, MA: March 13, 1999.  
TA-W-37,627; *Bari Manufacturing Co., Inc.*,  
Passaic, NJ: April 10, 1999.  
TA-W-37,677; *Wheaton USA, Inc.*,  
Decora Operations, Pennsville, NJ:  
April 18, 1999.  
TA-W-37,636; *Voyager Emblems, Inc.*,  
Sanborn, NY: April 19, 1999.  
TA-W-37,655; *Cassie Cotillion*,  
Albemarle, NC: April 17, 1999.  
TA-W-37,699; *Invensys Appliance Controls*,  
Independence, VA: May 4, 1999.  
TA-W-37,644; *Ranco North America*,  
Plain City, OH: May 1, 1999.  
TA-W-37,814; *Allied Signal, Honeywell, Inc.*,  
Torrance, CA: June 8, 1999.  
TA-W-37,803; *MNCO, LLC (Formerly McGuire-Nicholas Co. LLC)*,  
Commerce, CA: May 23, 1999.  
TA-W-37,845; *Sims Deltec, Inc.*,  
St. Paul, MN: June 15, 1999.  
TA-W-37,770; *H. H. Rosinsky Co., Inc.*,  
Philadelphia, PA: May 30, 1999.  
TA-W-37,865; *ITT Industries, Fluid Handling Systems*,  
Tawas City, MI: June 24, 1999.  
TA-W-37,838; *Colorado Biomedical, Inc.*,  
Evergreen, CO: June 14, 1999.

Also, pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (P.L. 103-182) concerning transitional adjustment hereinafter called (NAFTA-TAA) and in accordance with section 250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act as amended, the Department of Labor presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA issued during the month of July, 2000.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA the following group eligibility requirements of section 250 of the Trade Act must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, (including workers in any agricultural firm or appropriate

subdivision thereof) have become totally or partially separated from employment and either—

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely,

(3) That imports from Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have increased, and that the increases imports contributed importantly to such workers' separations or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

(4) That there has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

#### Negative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criteria (3) and (4) were not met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute importantly to workers' separations. There was no shift in production from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

NAFTA-TAA-03977; *Eagle River Knits, Inc.*,  
Monroe, NC  
NAFTA-TAA-03925; *Applied Sewing Resources, Inc.*,  
Orland, CA  
NAFTA-TAA-03721; *Rockwell Automation*,  
Euclid Plant, Euclid, OH  
NAFTA-TAA-03802; *Levi Strauss & Co.*,  
RMQ Lab, Pelicano Finishing Plant,  
El Paso, TX  
NAFTA-TAA-03940; *Fruit of the Loom, Sports and Licensing Div.*,  
Salem Sportswear, Inc., Frankfort, KY  
NAFTA-TAA-03996; *Federal Mogul Corp.*,  
Milan, MI

The investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

NONE

#### Affirmative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

NAFTA-TAA-03990; *Collins Pine Co., Collins Products, LLC*,  
Klamath Falls, OR: June 23, 2000.  
NAFTA-TAA-03995; *John Manville International, Inc.*,  
Roofing Systems Group, Saco, ME: June 29, 1999.  
NAFTA-TAA-04002; *American Meter Co.*,  
Erie, PA: June 26, 1999.  
NAFTA-TAA-03877; *Erie Controls*,  
Milwaukee, WI: April 26, 1999.  
NAFTA-TAA-03976; *The Raleigh Co., Div. of I.C. Isaacs & Co., Inc.*,  
Raleigh, MS: June 8, 1999.

NAFTA-TAA-03997; *PL Industries, a/k/a PL Garment Finishers, McRae, GA*: June 23, 1999.

NAFTA-TAA-03780; *Smithville Sportswear, including Workers of Skilstaf, Inc., Smithville, TN*: March 6, 1999.

NAFTA-TAA-3945; *The Doe Run Resources Co., The Southeast Missouri Milling and Mining Div., Viburnum, MO*: May 17, 1999.

NAFTA-TAA-04008; *ITT Industries, Fluid Handling Systems, Tawas City, MI*: June 24, 1999.

NAFTA-TAA-03890; *Wheaton USA, Inc., Decora Operations, Pennsville, NJ*: April 18, 1999.

NAFTA-TAA-03956; *H. H. Rosinsky Company, Inc., Philadelphia, PA*: May 30, 1999.

NAFTA-TAA-03991; *Sims Deltec, Inc., St. Paul, MN*: May 1, 1999.

NAFTA-TAA-03981; *Thermadyne Holdings Corp., Tweco Products, Inc., Wichita, KS*: May 31, 1999.

NAFTA-TAA-03859; *ICI Explosives USA, Inc., Ammonium Nitrate Div., Joplin, MO*: April 14, 1999.

NAFTA-TAA-03845; *Honeywell International, Specialty Chemicals, Commercial Roofing Systems, Ironton, OH*: April 12, 1999.

NAFTA-TAA-03912; *The Kym Co., Jackson, GA*: May 15, 1999.

NAFTA-TAA-03984; *LaCrosse Footwear, Inc., Clintonville, WI*: June 20, 1999.

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the month of July, 2000. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C-4318, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC. 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: July 25, 2000.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Program Manager, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 00-19402 Filed 7-31-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37,710]

#### A.T. Cross Company, Lincoln, Rhode Island; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on May 22, 2000, in response

to a petition filed on the same date on behalf of workers at A.T. Cross Company, Lincoln, Rhode Island.

The company official submitting the petition has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, D.C., this 11th day of July, 2000.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Program Manager, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 00-19407 Filed 7-31-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37,825]

#### Georgia Pacific Corporation, CNS/Softwood Lumber Division, Baileyville, Maine; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on June 26, 2000, in response to a petition filed by a PACE International Union, Local #1-1867 representative on behalf of workers at Georgia Pacific Corporation, Baileyville, Maine. Workers are engaged in employment related to the production of lumber studs.

An active certification covering the petitioning group of workers remains in effect through January 19, 2001 (TA-W-35,257). Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 12th day of July, 2000.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Program Manager, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 00-19408 Filed 7-31-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37,570]

#### Lilly Industries, Inc., Indianapolis, Indiana; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on April 17, 2000, in response

to a petition filed on the same date on behalf of workers at Lilly Industries, Inc., Indianapolis, Indiana.

The company official submitting the petition has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, D.C., this 10th day of July 2000.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Program Manager, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 00-19409 Filed 7-30-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37,156]

#### Ray-Ban Sun Optics, Luxottica, Formerly Known as Eyewear Division of Bausch & Lomb, San Antonio, TX; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 USC 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on February 4, 2000, applicable to workers of Ray-Ban Sun Optics, San Antonio, Texas. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on March 17, 2000 (65 FR 14627).

At the request of the petitioners, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers are engaged in the production of sunglasses. Findings show that the subject firm, which was originally named the Eyewear Division of Bausch & Lomb, was sold in June 1999 to Luxottica and was renamed Ray-Ban Sun Optics. the Department is amending the certification determination to correctly identify the new title name to read "Ray-Ban Sun Optics, Luxottica, formerly known as Eyewear Division of Bausch & Lomb.;"

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-37,156 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Ray-Ban Sun Optics, Luxottica, formerly known as Eyewear Division of Bausch & Lomb, San Antonio, Texas who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after November 20, 1998 through February 4, 2002 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.