

(Index of Customers) per response (average).

7. *Estimated Cost Burden to*

Respondents: 160,789 hours / 2080 hours per years × \$107,185 per year = \$8,285,679. The cost per respondent is equal to \$82,857.

Statutory Authority

Sections 4, 5, and 16 of the Natural Gas Act, 15 U.S.C. 717c-717o, Pub. L. 75-688, 52 Stat. 822 and 830) and Title III of the Natural Gas Policy Act, 15 U.S.C. 3301-3432, Pub. L. 95-621.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E4-1959 Filed 8-27-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. RP04-460-000]

Kern River Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

August 23, 2004.

Take notice that on August 18, 2004, Kern River Gas Transmission Company (Kern River) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets, to be effective October 1, 2004:

2nd Revised Twelfth Revised Sheet No. 5
2nd Revised Eighth Revised Sheet No. 5-A
2nd Revised Tenth Revised Sheet No. 6
1st Revised First Revised Sheet No. 7.

Kern River states that the purpose of this filing is to update Kern River's tariff to reflect the Annual Charge Adjustment (ACA) factor to be effective for the twelve-month period beginning October 1, 2004, pursuant to Section 154.402 of the Commission's regulations. The ACA factor of \$0.0019 per Dth specified by the Commission in its August 6, 2004 issuance is a decrease of \$0.0002 per Dth from the current ACA factor in Kern River's tariff.

Kern River states that it has served a copy of this filing upon its customers and interested State regulatory commissions.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a notice of intervention or motion to intervene, as appropriate. Such notices, motions, or

protests must be filed in accordance with the provisions of Section 154.210 of the Commission's regulations (18 CFR 154.210). Anyone filing an intervention or protest must serve a copy of that document on the Applicant. Anyone filing an intervention or protest on or before the intervention or protest date need not serve motions to intervene or protests on persons other than the Applicant.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at <http://www.ferc.gov>. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov, or call (866) 208-3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502-8659.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E4-1958 Filed 8-27-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. RP98-52-056]

Southern Star Central Gas Pipeline, Inc.; Notice of Refund Report

August 23, 2004.

Take notice that, on August 18, 2004, Southern Star Central Gas Pipeline, Inc. (Southern Star), formerly Williams Gas Pipelines Central, Inc., submitted a compliance filing pursuant to Commission order issued September 10, 1997, in Docket Nos. RP97-369-000, *et al.*, regarding collection of Kansas ad valorem taxes and the subsequent refunds.

Southern Star states that copies of the filing were served on parties on the official service list in the above-captioned proceeding.

Any person desiring to protest this filing must file in accordance with Rule 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211). Protests to this filing will be

considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Such protests must be filed on or before the date as indicated below. Anyone filing a protest must serve a copy of that document on all the parties to the proceeding.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at <http://www.ferc.gov>. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the protest to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive email notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov, or call (866) 208-3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502-8659.

Protest Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Standard Time on August 30, 2004.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E4-1956 Filed 8-27-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Project No. 2064-004-WI]

Flambeau Hydro LLC; Notice of Availability of Environmental Assessment

August 23, 2004.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 F.R. 47879), Commission staff have reviewed the application for a new license for the Winter Hydroelectric Project, located on the East Fork of the Chippewa River, in Sawyer County, Wisconsin, and have prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA). The EA analyzes the potential environmental effects of relicensing the project and concludes that issuing a new license for the project, with appropriate environmental measures, would not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.