

to both Federal and State regulatory requirements. Thus, the requirements of section 6 of the Executive Order do not apply.

Compliance With Executive Order 13045

Executive Order 13045, "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks," applies to any rule that: (1) The Office of Management and Budget determines is "economically significant" as defined under Executive Order 12866, and (2) concerns an environmental health or safety risk that EPA has reason to believe may have a disproportionate effect on children. If the regulatory action meets both criteria, the Agency must evaluate the environmental health or safety effects of the planned rule on children and explain why the planned regulation is preferable to other potentially effective and reasonably feasible alternatives considered by the Agency.

EPA interprets Executive Order 13045 as applying only to those regulatory actions that are based on health or safety risks, such that the analysis required under section 5-501 of the Order has the potential to influence the regulation. This rule is not subject to Executive Order 13045 because it authorizes a State program.

Compliance With Executive Order 13084

Under Executive Order 13084, EPA may not issue a regulation that is not required by statute, that significantly or uniquely affects the communities of Indian tribal governments, and that imposes substantial direct compliance costs on those communities, unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by the tribal governments, or EPA consults with those governments. If EPA complies with consulting, Executive Order 13084 requires EPA to provide to the Office of Management and Budget, in a separately identified section of the preamble to the rule, a description of the extent of EPA's prior consultation with representatives of affected tribal governments, a summary of the nature of their concerns, and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation.

In addition, Executive Order 13084 requires EPA to develop an effective process permitting elected officials and other representatives of Indian tribal governments "to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory policies on matters that significantly or uniquely affect their communities."

This rule is not subject to Executive Order 13084 because it does not significantly or uniquely affect the communities of Indian tribal governments. Indiana is not authorized to implement the RCRA hazardous waste program in Indian country. This action has no effect on the hazardous waste program that EPA may implement in the Indian country within the State.

Paperwork Reduction Act

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*, Federal agencies must consider the paperwork burden imposed by any information request contained in a proposed rule or a final rule. This rule will not impose any information requirements upon the regulated community.

National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 ("NTTAA"), Public Law 104-113, 12(d) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs EPA to use voluntary consensus standards in its regulatory activities unless to do so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (*e.g.*, materials specifications, test methods, sampling procedures, and business practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies. The NTTAA directs EPA to provide Congress, through OMB, explanations when the Agency decides not to use available and applicable voluntary consensus standards.

This action does not involve technical standards. Therefore, EPA did not consider the use of any voluntary consensus standards.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 271

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Confidential business information, Hazardous waste, Hazardous waste transportation, Indian lands, Intergovernmental relations, Penalties, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Authority: This action is issued under the authority of sections 2002(a), 3006 and 7004(b) of the Solid Waste Disposal Act as amended 42 U.S.C. 6912(a), 6926, 6974(b).

Dated: June 23, 2000.

Francis X. Lyons,

Regional Administrator, Region 5.

[FR Doc. 00-18789 Filed 7-25-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 64

[CC Docket No. 98-170; FCC 00-111]

Truth-in-Billing and Billing Format

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: On July 13, 2000 (65 FR 43251), the Commission published a document summarizing its order on reconsideration in the Truth-in Billing and Billing Format proceeding. In the order, the Commission granted, in part, petitions for reconsideration of the requirements that telephone bills highlight new service providers and prominently display inquiry contact numbers, denied all other petitions seeking reconsideration, and provided clarification of certain other issues. This document corrects paragraph 14 of the supplementary information contained in that summary.

DATES: Effective July 26, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michele Walters, Associate Division Chief, Accounting Policy Division, Common Carrier Bureau (202) 418-7400.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A summary of this order was published in the **Federal Register**, FR Doc. 00-17719, 65 FR 43251, July 13, 2000. This document

conspicuous notification of any change in service provider, including notification to the customer that a new provider has begun providing service," (2) § 64.2401(a)(2)(ii) and (3) § 64.2401(d). The existing provisions of §§ 64.2401(a)(1), (a)(2)(i) and the portion of (a)(2) requiring "[w]here charges for two or more carriers appear on the same telephone bill, the charges must be separated by service provider," will continue to take effect on April 1, 2000. Nothing in this order modifies the effective dates of existing §§ 64.2401(b) and (c). Upon their effective date, the rules, as amended, will supercede the existing rules. We take this action because we find that requiring carriers to comply with the existing rules for a short time prior to the effective date of today's amendments would be unduly burdensome and that it could result in the very sort of consumer confusion that today's amendments seek to avoid."

Federal Communications Commission.

Magalie Roman Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-18883 Filed 7-25-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 679

[Docket No. 991228352-0182-03; I.D. 121099C, 011100D]

RIN 0648-AM83

Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Emergency Interim Rules To Implement the American Fisheries Act; Extension of Expiration Dates; Correction

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and

Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Extension and revision of emergency interim rules; revision to 2000 final harvest specifications; correction.

SUMMARY: This document contains a correction to the emergency interim rules implementing the American Fisheries Act (AFA) for the 2000 fishing year that was published in the **Federal Register** on June 23, 2000.

DATES: This correction is effective July 26, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kent Lind, 907-586-7228 or kent.lind@noaa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: NMFS published an extension and revision of emergency interim rules in the **Federal Register** on June 23, 2000 (65 FR 39107). Emergency interim rules, published on January 5, 2000, and January 28, 2000, were extended through December 24, 2000, and January 16, 2001, respectively. These actions included collection-of-information requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA); however, PRA statements were inadvertently omitted.

Correction

In the final rule Emergency Interim Rules to Implement the American Fisheries Act; Extension of Expiration Dates published in 65 FR 39107, June 23, 2000, FR Doc. 00-15857, on page 39110, add to the Classification section in column 2 following the paragraph beginning "Because prior notice and opportunity for public comment * * *" the following two paragraphs to read as follows:

Notwithstanding any other provision of the law, no person is required to respond to, nor shall any person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with, a collection of information subject

to the requirements of the PRA, unless that collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number.

This rule extends collection-of-information requirements subject to the PRA. These requirements have been approved by OMB under control number 0648-0393. Public reporting burden for these collections of information is estimated to average 2 hours per permit application for a mothership, inshore processor, inshore cooperative, or catcher vessel permit; and 30 minutes for a replacement vessel permit application. These estimates include the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding these burden estimates, or any other aspect of these data collections, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to NMFS (see **ADDRESSES**) and to OMB at the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC, 20503 (Attention: NOAA Desk Officer).

Dated: July 19, 2000.

Andrew A. Rosenberg,

Deputy Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 00-18772 Filed 7-25-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-F