

The parties concerned are cooperating in these investigations. Additional time is necessary, however, to complete the preliminary determinations due to the number and complexity of the transactions to be investigated and adjustments to be considered, and the novelty of issues presented.

With respect to India, the Department needs to consider a number of complex sales, cost, and affiliation issues associated with two companies. In regard to Taiwan, on September 19 and September 26, 2001 the Department received allegations that sales were made below the cost of production during the period of investigation. We reviewed those allegations and initiated investigations of sales below cost. Therefore, for both investigations, additional time is required to review the issues and the cost information for purposes of the preliminary determinations. Therefore, pursuant to section 733(c)(1)(B) of the Act, we are postponing the preliminary determinations in these investigations until December 13, 2001. This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 733(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f).

Dated: October 4, 2001.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01-25712 Filed 10-11-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-810]

Stainless Steel Bar From India; Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time limit.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from India. The period of review is February 1, 2000 through January 31, 2001. This extension is made pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 12, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Melanie Brown or Annika O'Hara in Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230; at telephone (202) 482-4987 and 482-3798, respectively.

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act and all citations to the regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act requires the Department to issue the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend these deadlines to a maximum of 365 days and 180 days, respectively.

Background

On March 22, 2001, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from India, covering the period February 1, 2000 through January 31, 2001 (66 FR 16037). The preliminary results for the antidumping duty administrative review of stainless steel bar from India are currently due no later than October 31, 2001.

Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Due to the complexity of the issues raised by the revocation requests that have been made by two respondents, it is not practicable to complete this review within the originally anticipated time limit (*i.e.*, October 31, 2001). Therefore, the Department of Commerce is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results to no later than February 28, 2002, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 5, 2001.

Richard W. Moreland,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 01-25707 Filed 10-11-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-588-854]

Certain Tin Mill Products From Japan: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Final results of changed circumstances review.

SUMMARY: On May 29, 2001, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published a notice of initiation of a changed circumstances review for a partial revocation of the antidumping duty order on tin mill products from Japan with respect to the merchandise described below at the request of Weirton Steel and the Independent Steelworkers Union, interested parties in this proceeding. *See Certain Tin Mill Products from Japan: Notice of Initiation of Changed Circumstances Review of the Antidumping Duty Order*, 66 FR 29086 (May 29, 2001). On August 8, 2001, the Department published the preliminary results of the changed circumstances review and preliminarily determined that several interested parties are interested in the maintenance of the order with respect to the merchandise described below, and that there was no reasonable basis to believe that changed circumstances sufficient to warrant revocation exist. *See Certain Tin Mill Products from Japan: Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review*, 66 FR 41550 (August 8, 2001). In our preliminary results we gave interested parties an opportunity to comment; however, we did not receive any comments. We are unable to determine that producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product have expressed lack of interest in the product in question. Thus we determine that changed circumstances do not exist to warrant revocation of the order in part.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 12, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Ferrier or Steve Bezirganian, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and

Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-1394 or (202) 482-1131, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to the regulations at 19 CFR part 351 (2001).

Background

On April 6, 2001, Weirton Steel and the Independent Steelworkers Union, petitioners in this proceeding, requested that the Department revoke in part the antidumping duty order on certain tin mill products from Japan. On May 3, 2001, petitioners submitted a change in the definition of the product for which they requested a changed circumstances review. Specifically, petitioners requested that the Department revoke the order with respect to imports of merchandise meeting the following specifications: double reduced (CADR8 temper) electrolytically chromium coated steel with chromium oxide at a level of 1.6 mg/sq. ft. (#0.9), having a base box weight of 60 pounds (nominal thickness of 0.0066 inch (#5% tolerance)), and a surface with a 7C stone finish, lubricated with butyl stearate oil (BSO) or dioctyl sebacate oil (DOS) with the level ranging from 0.22 to 0.32 gm/base box. The material is 31½ inches in actual width (–0/+¼ inch width tolerance) and made from fully deoxidized (killed) continuous cast and continuous annealed steel that is free of detrimental non-metallic inclusions (*i.e.*, clean steel) with earring hazard minimized. The maximum edge wave is ⅜ inch, with crossbow controllable to less than 2 inches per sheet. The maximum camber per three feet is 0.020 inch, the maximum burr is 0.001 inch, and the maximum pinholes per coil is 0.2%. The maximum coil weight is 25,000 pounds, with an interior coil diameter of 16 inches to 16½ inches, and an exterior coil diameter of 36 inches to 60 inches. When loaded for shipment, the coil is placed on the pallet with the eye of the coil standing vertical, with each side of the pallet being 60 inches having 4 x 4 runners, and outside runners placed a minimum of 37 inches apart.

The merchandise subject to this changed circumstances review is classified in the HTSUS under

subheading 7210.50.0000 of non-alloy steel, and under HTSUS subheading 7225.99.0090 if of alloy steel. Although the subheadings are provided for convenience, our written description of the scope is dispositive.

On June 18, 2001, National Steel Corporation ("National"), a producer of tin mill products, stated that it objects to the partial revocation of the antidumping order on certain tin mill products from Japan as proposed by Weirton Steel and the Independent Steelworkers Union. On June 15, 2001, USS-Posco Industries ("UPI"), a domestic producer of tin mill products stated that UPI can produce and has produced the 60-pound double-reduced tin-free steel products and therefore has an interest in maintaining the antidumping order on tin mill products from Japan. Information on the record indicates that both interested parties opposed to the partial revocation accounted for over 15 percent of the domestic production of tin mill products in year 2000.

Scope of Changed Circumstances Review

The merchandise covered by this changed circumstances review is certain double reduced (CADR8 temper) electrolytically chromium coated steel with chromium oxide at a level of 1.6 mg/sq. ft. (#0.9), having a base box weight of 60 pounds (nominal thickness of 0.0066 inch (#5% tolerance)), and a surface with a 7C stone finish, lubricated with butyl stearate oil (BSO) or dioctyl sebacate oil (DOS) with the level ranging from 0.22 to 0.32 gm/base box. The material is 31½ inches in actual width (–0/+¼ inch width tolerance) and made from fully deoxidized (killed) continuous cast and continuous annealed steel that is free of detrimental non-metallic inclusions (*i.e.*, clean steel) with earring hazard minimized. The maximum edge wave is ⅜ inch, with crossbow controllable to less than 2 inches per sheet. The maximum camber per three feet is 0.020 inch, the maximum burr is 0.001 inch, and the maximum pinholes per coil is 0.2%. The maximum coil weight is 25,000 pounds, with an interior coil diameter of 16 inches to 16½ inches, and an exterior coil diameter of 36 inches to 60 inches. When loaded for shipment, the coil is placed on the pallet with the eye of the coil standing vertical, with each side of the pallet being 60 inches having 4 x 4 runners, and outside runners placed a minimum of 37 inches apart.

Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review

Pursuant to section 751(d) of the Act, the Department may partially revoke an antidumping duty order based on a review under section 751(b) of the Act. Section 782(h)(2) of the Act and section 351.222(g)(1)(i) of the Department's regulations provide that the Secretary may revoke an order, in whole or in part, based on changed circumstances if "(p)roducers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product to which the order (or the part of the order to be revoked) * * * pertains have expressed a lack of interest in the order, in whole or in part. * * *" In this context, the Department has interpreted "substantially all" production normally to mean at least 85 percent of domestic production of the like product (see Oil Country Tubular Goods From Mexico: Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 64 FR 14213, 14214 (March 24, 1999)).

In order to determine whether "substantially all" of the domestic producers supported revocation of the order with respect to the merchandise in question, the Department solicited comments from all parties (see Initiation of Changed Circumstances Review, 66 FR at 29088). Weirton Steel and the Independent Steelworkers Union have not provided evidence that they account for 85 percent of domestic production. The Department received comments from UPI and from National Steel. In fact, information on the record demonstrates that interested parties opposed to the partial revocation collectively account for over 15 percent of the domestic production of tin mill products in 2000. More specifically, National's production of tin mill products for year 2000 exceeds 15 percent. Therefore the Department is maintaining the order on tin mill products from Japan and is continuing to include the product which meets the specifications detailed above in the order on tin mill products from Japan, in accordance with sections 751(b) and (d) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.306. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations

and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and section 351.216 of the Department's regulations.

Dated: October 2, 2001.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01-25710 Filed 10-11-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Export Trade Certificate of Review

ACTION: Notice of issuance of an amended export trade certificate of review, Application No. 84-12A12.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce has issued an amendment to the Export Trade Certificate of Review granted to Northwest Fruit Exporters ("NFE") on June 11, 1984. Notice of issuance of the Certificate was published in the **Federal Register** on June 14, 1984 (49 FR 24581).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Vanessa M. Bachman, Acting Director, Office of Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, (202) 482-5131 (this is not a toll-free number) or E-mail at oetca@ita.doc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 (15 U.S.C. sections 4001-21) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue Export Trade Certificates of Review. The regulations implementing Title III are found at 15 CFR part 325 (2000).

The Office of Export Trading Company Affairs ("OETCA") is issuing this notice pursuant to 15 CFR 325.6(b), which requires the Department of Commerce to publish a summary of the certification in the **Federal Register**. Under section 305(a) of the Act and 15 CFR 325.11(a), any person aggrieved by the Secretary's determination may, within 30 days of the date of this notice, bring an action in any appropriate district court of the United States to set aside the determination on the ground that the determination is erroneous.

Description of Amended Certificate

Export Trade Certificate of Review No. 84-00012, was issued to NFE on June 11, 1984 (49 FR 24581, June 14, 1984) and previously amended on May 2, 1988 (53 FR 16306, May 6, 1988); September 21, 1988 (53 FR 37628,

September 27, 1988); September 20, 1989 (54 FR 39454, September 26, 1989); November 19, 1992 (57 FR 55510, November 25, 1992); August 16, 1994 (59 FR 43093, August 22, 1994); November 4, 1996 (61 FR 57850, November 8, 1996); October 22, 1997 (62 FR 55783, October 28, 1997); November 2, 1998 (63 FR 60304, November 9, 1998); October 20, 1999 (64 FR 57438, October 25, 1999); and October 16, 2000 (65 FR 63567, October 24, 2000).

NFE's Export Trade Certificate of Review has been amended to:

1. Add each of the following companies as a new "Member" of the Certificate within the meaning of section 325.2(1) of the Regulations (15 CFR 325.2(1)): Bertha's Marketing, Inc., Wenatchee, Washington; Crane & Crane, Inc., Brewster, Washington; Garrett Ranches Packing, Wilder, Idaho; Sun Fresh International, LLC, Wenatchee, Washington; and Valicoff Fruit Company, Wapato, Washington;

2. Delete the following companies as "Members" of the Certificate: Beebe Orchard Company, Chelan, Washington; Cashmere Fruit Exchange, Cashmere, Washington; Custom Fruit Packers, Wenatchee, Washington; Chief Tonasket Growers, Tonasket, Washington; and Wells & Wade Fruit Co., Wenatchee, Washington; and

3. Change the listing of the following Members: "Custom Apple Packers, Inc., Brewster and Quincy, Washington" to the new listing "Custom Apple Packers, Inc., Brewster, Quincy & Wenatchee, Washington"; "Columbia Reach Pack, Yakima, Washington" to "Chiawana, Inc. dba Columbia Reach Pack, Yakima, Washington"; and "Double Diamond Fruit, Quincy, Washington" to "Morgan's of Washington dba Double Diamond Fruit, Quincy, Washington".

The effective date of the amended certificate is July 9, 2001. A copy of the amended certificate will be kept in the International Trade Administration's Freedom of Information Records Inspection Facility, Room 4102, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Dated: October 9, 2001.

Vanessa M. Bachman,

Acting Director, Office of Export Trading, Company Affairs.

[FR Doc. 01-25713 Filed 10-11-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-U

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

North American Free-Trade Agreement, Article 1904 NAFTA Panel Reviews; Notice of Completion of Panel Review.

AGENCY: NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of completion of panel review of the final remand determination made by the U.S. International Trade Administration, in the matter of Carbon Steel Flat Products from Canada, Secretariat File No. USA-98-1904-01.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Order of the Binational Panel dated August 24, 2001, affirming the final remand determination described above was completed on September 4, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Caratina L. Alston, United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Suite 2061, 14th and Constitution Avenue, Washington, DC 20230, (202) 482-5438.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 24, 2001, the Binational Panel issued an order which affirmed the final remand determination of the United States International Trade Administration ("ITA") concerning Carbon Steel Flat Products from Canada. The Secretariat was instructed to issue a Notice of Completion of Panel Review on the 31st day following the issuance of the Notice of Final Panel Action, if no request for an Extraordinary Challenge was filed. No such request was filed. Therefore, on the basis of the Panel Order and Rule 80 of the *Article 1904 Panel Rules*, the Panel Review was completed and the panelists discharged from their duties effective October 5, 2001.

October 5, 2001.

Caratina L. Alston,

United States Secretary NAFTA Secretariat.

[FR Doc. 01-25678 Filed 10-11-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-GT-P