

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

Request for Public Comments on Commercial Availability Petition under the United States - Caribbean Basin Trade Partnership Act (CBTPA)

August 26, 2004.

AGENCY: Committee for the
Implementation of Textile Agreements
(CITA).

ACTION: Request for public comments
concerning two petitions for
determinations that certain woven
fabrics cannot be supplied by the
domestic industry in commercial
quantities in a timely manner under the
CBTPA.

SUMMARY: On August 24, 2004, the
Chairman of CITA received two
petitions from Sharretts, Paley, Carter &
Blauvelt, P.C., on behalf of Fishman &
Tobin, alleging that certain woven
fabrics, of the specifications detailed
below, classified in the indicated
subheadings of the Harmonized Tariff
Schedule of the United States (HTSUS),
cannot be supplied by the domestic
industry in commercial quantities in a
timely manner. The petitions request
that apparel articles of such fabrics
assembled in one or more CBTPA
beneficiary countries be eligible for
preferential treatment under the CBTPA.
CITA hereby solicits public comments
on these petitions, in particular with
regard to whether these fabrics can be
supplied by the domestic industry in
commercial quantities in a timely
manner. Comments must be submitted
by September 15, 2004 to the Chairman,
Committee for the Implementation of
Textile Agreements, Room 3001, United
States Department of Commerce, 14th
and Constitution, N.W., Washington,
D.C. 20230.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Martin J. Walsh, International Trade
Specialist, Office of Textiles and
Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce,
(202) 482-2818.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: Section 213(b)(2)(A)(v)(II) of the
CBTPA, as added by Section 211(a) of the
CBTPA; Section 6 of Executive Order No.
13191 of January 17, 2001.

BACKGROUND:

The CBTPA provides for quota- and
duty-free treatment for qualifying textile
and apparel products. Such treatment is
generally limited to products
manufactured from yarns or fabrics
formed in the United States. The CBTPA
also provides for quota- and duty-free
treatment for apparel articles that are

both cut (or knit-to-shape) and sewn or
otherwise assembled in one or more
CBTPA beneficiary countries from fabric
or yarn that is not formed in the United
States, if it has been determined that
such fabric or yarn cannot be supplied
by the domestic industry in commercial
quantities in a timely manner. In
Executive Order No. 13191, the
President delegated to CITA the
authority to determine whether yarns or
fabrics cannot be supplied by the
domestic industry in commercial
quantities in a timely manner under the
CBTPA and directed CITA to establish
procedures to ensure appropriate public
participation in any such determination.
On March 6, 2001, CITA published
procedures that it will follow in
considering requests. (66 FR 13502).

On August 24, 2004, the Chairman of
CITA received two petitions on behalf of
Fishman & Tobin alleging that certain
woven fabrics, of the specifications
detailed below, classified in the
indicated HTSUS subheadings, cannot
be supplied by the domestic industry in
commercial quantities in a timely
manner and requesting quota- and duty-
free treatment under the CBTPA for
apparel articles that are cut and sewn in
one or more CBTPA beneficiary
countries from such fabrics.

Specifications:

Fabric 1	Fancy polyester/rayon blend suiting fabric
HTS Subheading:	5515.11.00.05
Fiber Content:	65% polyester/35% rayon
Width:	58/59 inches
Construction:	Made on the worsted wool system with two-ply combed and ring spun yarns in the warp and fill
Dyeing:	Yarns are made from dyed fi- bers
Fabric 2	Fancy polyester/rayon blend suiting fabric
HTS Subheading:	5515.11.00.05
Fiber Content:	65% polyester/35% rayon
Width:	58/59 inches
Construction:	Made on the synthetic system with two-ply carded and ring spun yarns in the warp and fill
Dyeing:	Yarns are made from dyed fi- bers

CITA is soliciting public comments
regarding these requests, particularly
with respect to whether these fabrics
can be supplied by the domestic
industry in commercial quantities in a
timely manner. Also relevant is whether
other fabrics that are supplied by the
domestic industry in commercial
quantities in a timely manner are
substitutable for the fabric for purposes
of the intended use. Comments must be
received no later than September 15,
2004. Interested persons are invited to

submit six copies of such comments or
information to the Chairman, Committee
for the Implementation of Textile
Agreements, room 3100, U.S.
Department of Commerce, 14th and
Constitution Avenue, N.W.,
Washington, DC 20230.

If a comment alleges that this fabric
can be supplied by the domestic
industry in commercial quantities in a
timely manner, CITA will closely
review any supporting documentation,
such as a signed statement by a
manufacturer of the fabric stating that it
produces the fabric that is the subject of
the request, including the quantities that
can be supplied and the time necessary
to fill an order, as well as any relevant
information regarding past production.

CITA will protect any business
confidential information that is marked
"business confidential" from disclosure
to the full extent permitted by law.
CITA will make available to the public
non-confidential versions of the request
and non-confidential versions of any
public comments received with respect
to a request in room 3100 in the Herbert
Hoover Building, 14th and Constitution
Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230.
Persons submitting comments on a
request are encouraged to include a non-
confidential version and a non-
confidential summary.

D. Michael Hutchinson,

*Acting Chairman, Committee for the
Implementation of Textile Agreements.*

[FR Doc. 04-19905 Filed 8-27-04; 11:32 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-S

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities Under OMB Review

AGENCY: Commodity Futures Trading
Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the
Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C.
3501 *et seq.*), this notice announces that
the Information Collection Request (ICR)
abstracted below has been forwarded to
the Office of Management and Budget
(OMB) for review and comment. The
ICR describes the nature of the
information collection and its expected
costs and burden; it includes the actual
data collection instruments [if any].

DATES: Comments must be submitted on
or before September 30, 2004.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION OR A COPY
CONTACT:** John P. Dolan at (202) 418-
5220; Fax: (202) 418-5524; e-mail:
jdolan@cftc.gov

Imauldin@cftc.gov and refer to OMB Control No. 3038-0025.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Practice by Former Members and Employees of the Commission (OMB Control No. 3038-0025). This is a request for extension of a currently approved information collection.

Abstract: Commission Rule 140.735-6 governs the practice before the Commission of former members and employees of the Commission and is intended to ensure that the Commission is aware of any existing conflict of interest. The rule generally requires former members and employees who are employed or retained to represent any person before the Commission within two years of the termination of their CFTC employment to file a brief written statement with the Commission's Office of General Counsel. The proposed rule was promulgated pursuant to the Commission's rulemaking authority contained in Section 8a(5) of the Commodity Exchange Act, 7 U.S.C. 12a(5) (1994), as amended.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for the CFTC's regulations were published on December 30, 1981. See 46 FR 63035 (Dec. 30, 1981). The **Federal Register** notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on June 9, 2004 (69 FR 32325-02).

Burden Statement: The respondent burden for this collection is estimated to average .10 hours per response to file the brief written statement. This estimate includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: 3.

Estimated Number of Responses: 4.5.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: .10 hours.

Frequency of Collection: On occasion.

Send comments regarding the burden estimated or any other aspect of the information collection, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the addresses listed below. Please refer to OMB Control No. 3038-0025 in any correspondence.

John P. Dolan, Office of General Counsel, U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission, 1155 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20581 and

Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for CFTC, 725 17th Street, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: August 25, 2004.

Jean A. Webb,

Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 04-19860 Filed 8-30-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6351-01-M

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[CPSC Docket No. 04-C0005]

RRK Holdings, Inc., Provisional Acceptance of a Settlement Agreement and Order

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: It is the policy of the Commission to publish settlements which it provisionally accepts under the Consumer Product Safety Act in the Federal Register in accordance with the terms of 16 CFR 1118.20. Published below is a provisionally-accepted Settlement Agreement with RRK Holdings, Inc., containing a civil penalty of \$100,000.

DATES: Any interested person may ask the Commission not to accept this agreement or otherwise comment on its contents by filing a written request with the Office of the Secretary by September 15, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Persons wishing to comment on this Settlement Agreement should send written comments to the Comment 04-C0005, Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michelle Faust Gillice, Trial Attorney, Office of Compliance, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207; telephone (301) 504-7667.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The text of the Agreement and Order appears below.

Dated: August 25, 2004.

Todd A. Stevenson,
Secretary.

In the Matter of RRK Holdings, Inc.; Settlement Agreement and Order

1. RRK Holdings, Inc., (hereinafter "Respondent") formerly known as Roto Zip Tool Corporation (hereinafter "Roto Zip") enters into this Settlement Agreement and Order (hereinafter, "Settlement Agreement" or "Agreement") with the staff of the Consumer Product Safety Commission (the "Commission"), and agrees to the entry of the attached Order incorporated by reference herein. The Settlement Agreement resolves the Commission staff's allegations set forth below.

I. The Parties

2. The Commission is an independent federal regulatory commission responsible for the enforcement of the Consumer Product Safety Act ("CPSA"), 15 U.S.C. 2051 *et seq.*

3. Respondent, established in September of 1977 as Roto Zip Tool Corporation, is organized and existing under the laws of the State of Wisconsin. Its principal office is located at 4524 Blue Mounds Trail, Black Earth, Wisconsin 53515. On August 1, 2003, Roto Zip sold all of its assets to the Robert Bosch Tool Corporation and subsequently ceased operations. Roto Zip was renamed RRK Holdings, Inc.

II. Staff Allegations

4. Between 1999 and October 2001, Respondent manufactured and distributed approximately 1.4 million spiral saws under the model names Revolution, Rebel and Solaris. The spiral saws are hand-held power tools with interchangeable spiral bits. The Rebel was manufactured for Respondent by two different companies, SB Power Tools and Scientific Molding Corporation, Ltd. (hereinafter "SMC"). The Revolution and Solaris were manufactured exclusively by SMC.

5. The saws were sold to and/or used by consumers for use in or around a permanent or temporary household or residence, a school, in recreation, or otherwise and are, therefore, "consumer products" as defined in section 3(a)(1) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2052(a)(1). Roto Zip was a "manufacturer" and "distributor" of the spiral saws which were "distributed into commerce" as those terms are defined in sections 3(a)(4), (5), (11) and (12) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2052(a)(4), (5), (11) and (12).

6. Certain Revolution, Rebel and Solaris spiral saws exhibited a loose fit between the handle and the tool body. The loose fit was a result of variations