

Type of Review: Extension without change to a currently approved collection.

Title: Title 29 CFR Part 30—Equal Employment Opportunity in Apprenticeship Training.

OMB Number: 1205-0224.

Frequency: On occasion.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit, individuals or households, not-for-profit institutions, Federal government, and State, local or tribal government.

Type of Response: Reporting and recordkeeping.

Number of Respondents: 28,800.

Annual Responses: 50,770.

Average Response Time: 30 minutes.

Total Annual Burden Hours: 5,842.

Total Annualized Capital/Startup Costs: 0.

Total Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): 0.

Description: Title 29 CFR part 30 sets forth policies and procedures to promote equality of opportunity in apprenticeship programs registered with the U.S. Department of Labor and recognized State Apprenticeship Agencies.

Ira L. Mills,

Departmental Clearance Officer/Team Leader.

[FR Doc. E6-15990 Filed 9-28-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-59,323; TA-W-59,094A]

Moore Wallace Business Form Design Division, A RR Donnelly Company, Monroe, WI, Including an Employee Located in Sumerduck, VA; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), and Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor issued a Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on May 17, 2006, applicable to workers of The Moore Wallace, Business Form Design Division, A RR Donnelly Company, Monroe, Wisconsin. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on June 29, 2006 (71 FR 33488).

At the request of a State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New information shows that worker separation has occurred involving an employee of the Monroe, Wisconsin facility of Moore Wallace, Business Form Design Division, A RR Donnelly Company located in Sumerduck, Virginia. Ms. Deb Orf provided designing function services for the production of business form designs which are used within the subject firm to produce business forms for sale.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include an employee of the Monroe, Wisconsin facility of Moore Wallace, Business Form Design Division, A RR Donnelly Company located in Sumerduck Virginia.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Moore Wallace, Business Form Design Division, A RR Donnelly Company, Monroe, Wisconsin who were adversely affected by a shift in production to India.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-59,323 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of Moore Wallace, Business Form Design Division, A RR Donnelly Company, Monroe Wisconsin (TA-W-59,323), and including an employee located in Sumerduck, Virginia (TA-W-59,323A), who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after April 28, 2005, through May 17, 2008, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, DC this 22nd day of September 2006.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6-16100 Filed 9-28-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[IA-06-036]

In the Matter of Mr. Gary Abel; Confirmatory Order (Effective Immediately)

I

Mr. Gary Abel is a former General Manager of the Sterigenics International Inc. (Sterigenics), facility in Tustin, California.

II

An NRC inspection was conducted at Sterigenics' facility in Tustin, California

on October 18-19, 2004, to review compliance with the NRC's June 6, 2003, Order Imposing Compensatory Measures (Order) for Panoramic and Underwater Irradiator Licensees. Following that inspection, an investigation was initiated by the NRC Office of Investigations (OI) in order to determine whether Mr. Abel, who was the General Manager of the facility at the time, engaged in deliberate misconduct. Based on the results of the NRC inspection and investigation, the NRC identified that Mr. Abel acted in apparent violation of 10 CFR 30.10, "Deliberate misconduct." 10 CFR 30.10 states, in part, that any employee of a licensee may not: (1) Engage in deliberate misconduct that causes a licensee to be in violation of any order issued by the Commission; or (2) deliberately submit to the NRC information that the person submitting the information knows to be incomplete or inaccurate in some respect material to the NRC. Attachment 3 of the NRC's June 6, 2003 Order requires certain specific handling requirements for documents containing Safeguards Information-Modified Handling (SGI-M).

Based on the inspection and investigation, the NRC was concerned that between December 2003 and April 2004, Mr. Abel engaged in deliberate misconduct when he faxed, over unprotected telecommunications circuits, a document containing SGI-M, when he knew this was prohibited by the Order. This act caused the licensee to be in violation of the June 6, 2003, Order. In addition, the NRC was concerned that Mr. Abel submitted to the NRC information that he knew was incomplete or inaccurate regarding some of the circumstances relating to the faxed document.

III

In a letter dated March 21, 2006, the NRC identified to Mr. Abel an apparent violation of 10 CFR 30.10, and offered Mr. Abel the opportunity to either request a predecisional enforcement conference or request Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) with the NRC in an attempt to resolve any disagreement on whether a violation occurred and if a violation did in fact occur, the appropriate enforcement sanction. In response to the March 21, 2006 letter, Mr. Abel requested ADR to resolve the matter with the NRC. ADR is a process in which a neutral mediator with no decision-making authority assists the NRC and Mr. Abel to resolve any differences regarding the matter.

An ADR session was held between Mr. Abel and the NRC in Lisle, Illinois,