

Agreement Name: CMA CGM/COSCO North Europe—USEC/USWC Service Agreement.

Parties: CMA CGM S.A.; COSCO SHIPPING Lines Co. Ltd.

Filing Party: Robert Magovern, Cozen O'Connor.

Synopsis: The Agreement authorizes CMA CGM to charter space to COSCO in the Trade between the ports in North Europe (United Kingdom, Netherlands, Germany, Belgium), ports in Central America and Caribbean (Panama, Colombia, Dominican Republic) and ports in U.S. East Coast on the one hand, and U.S. West Coast, on the other hand.

Proposed Effective Date: January 10, 2025.

Location: <https://www2.fmc.gov/FMC.Agreements.Web/Public/AgreementHistory/88592>.

Agreement No.: 201446–001.

Agreement Name: CMA CGM/COSCO North Europe—USEC/USWC Service Agreement.

Parties: CMA CGM S.A.; COSCO SHIPPING Lines Co. Ltd.

Filing Party: Robert Magovern, Cozen O'Connor.

Synopsis: The Amendment adds France to the geographic scope of the Agreement.

Proposed Effective Date: January 13, 2025.

Location: <https://www2.fmc.gov/FMC.Agreements.Web/Public/AgreementHistory/88592>.

Agreement No.: 201447.

Agreement Name: CMA CGM/COSCO/ONE/OOCL MED—USEC Vessel Sharing Agreement.

Parties: CMA CGM S.A.; COSCO SHIPPING Lines Co. Ltd.; Ocean Network Express Pte. Ltd.; and Orient Overseas Container Line Limited and OOCL (Europe) Limited (acting as a single party).

Filing Party: Joshua Stein, Cozen O'Connor.

Synopsis: The Agreement authorizes the Parties to charter and exchange space on one another's vessels and to coordinate and cooperate with respect to the Parties' transportation services and operations in the trade between, on the one hand, ports in the Mediterranean (Spain and Italy), and on the other hand, U.S. ports in the Atlantic coast range.

Proposed Effective Date: January 13, 2025.

Location: <https://www2.fmc.gov/FMC.Agreements.Web/Public/AgreementHistory/88593>.

Dated: January 17, 2025.

Alanna Beck,

Federal Register Alternate Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 2025–01586 Filed 1–22–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1432]

Certain Mobile Electronic Devices; Notice of Institution of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on December 17, 2024, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of Maxell, Ltd of Kyoto, Japan. Supplements to the Complaint were filed on December 26, 2024 and January 6, 2025. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain mobile electronic devices by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,130,280 (the “’280 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 11,490,004 (the “’004 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 11,750,915 (the “’915 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 11,509,953 (the “’953 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 12,108,103 (the “’103 patent”); and U.S. Patent No. 11,445,241 (the “’241 patent”). The complaint, as supplemented, further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute. The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist order.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained

by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Susan Orndoff, The Office of Docket Services, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–1802.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2024).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on January 16, 2025, *ordered that*—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–11 and 14–17 of the '280 patent; claims 1, 2, 4, 7–12, and 14–20 of the '004 patent; claims 1, 4, 5, 7–11, and 14–19 of the '915 patent; claims 1–30 of the '953 patent; claims 1–3, 5–7, 9, and 11–21 of the '103 patent; and claims 1–3, 5–14, 17–23, and 25–28 of the '241 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is “smartphones and tablets, *i.e.*, those branded and sold by Samsung”;

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is:

Maxell, Ltd., 1 Koizumi, Oyamazaki, Oyamazaki-cho, Otokuni-gun, Kyoto, 618–8525 Japan.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint and supplement is to be served:

Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., 129 Samsung-Ro (Maetan-dong), Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-Shi, Gyeonggi-do, 16677 Republic of Korea.

Samsung Electronics America, Inc., 85 Challenger Road, Floor 7, Ridgefield Park, NJ 07660–2118.

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

The Office of Unfair Import Investigations will not be a party to this investigation.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), as amended in 85 FR 15798 (March 19, 2020), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the complainant of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint, as supplemented, and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 17, 2025.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2025–01587 Filed 1–22–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1376]

Certain Electronic Devices, Including Mobile Phones, Tablets, Laptops, Components Thereof, and Products Containing the Same; Notice of Commission Determination To Review in Part and, on Review, Affirm a Final Initial Determination Finding No Violation; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“the Commission”) has determined to review in part a final initial determination (“FID”) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) finding no violation of section 337. On review, the Commission affirms the determination of no violation. The investigation is hereby terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carl P. Bretscher, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2382. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On November 17, 2023, the Commission instituted the present investigation based on a complaint, as supplemented, filed by Ericsson AB of Stockholm, Sweden and Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson of Stockholm, Sweden (collectively “Ericsson”), alleging violations of section 337 of Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 (“section 337”), due to the importation into the United States, sale for importation, or sale in the United States after importation of certain electronic devices, including mobile phones, tablets, laptops, components thereof, and products containing the same, that allegedly infringe one or more of the asserted claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 9,313,178 (“the ‘178 patent”);

10,972,654 (“the ‘654 patent”); 9,509,273 (“the ‘273 patent”); 7,151,430 (“the ‘430 patent”); and 11,122,313 (“the ‘313 patent”). 88 FR 80337–338 (Nov. 17, 2023). The complaint alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.* The notice of investigation names the following respondents: Motorola Mobility, LLC of Chicago, Illinois; Motorola (Wuhan) Mobility Technologies Communication Company Limited of Wuhan, China; Lenovo (United States) Inc. of Morrisville, North Carolina; Lenovo Group Limited of Hong Kong, SAR, China; Lenovo (Shanghai) Electronics Technology Co., Ltd. of Shanghai, China; Lenovo Beijing Co., Ltd. of Beijing, China; Lenovo PC HK Limited of Hong Kong, SAR, China; and Lenovo Information Products (Shenzhen) Co. Ltd. of Shenzhen, China (collectively, “Respondents”). *Id.* at 80337. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not a party to this investigation. *Id.*

The Commission subsequently terminated the investigation with respect to certain patents and patent claims that were withdrawn by the Ericsson. Order No. 29 (June 3, 2024), *unreviewed by Comm'n Notice* (June 25, 2024) (terminating the ‘430 patent in its entirety, asserted claims 1–5 of the ‘178 patent, and asserted claims 1–4 and 6 of the ‘313 patent); Order No. 34 (July 15, 2024), *unreviewed by Comm'n Notice* (Aug. 6, 2024) (terminating all remaining claims of the ‘313 patent, claim 18 of the ‘178 patent, claims 9, 10, and 15 of the ‘654 patent, and claims 1–3, 7–10, 12–14, and 16 of the ‘273 patent); Order No. 39 (Aug. 9, 2024), *unreviewed by Comm'n Notice* (Sept. 9, 2024) (terminating all remaining claims of the ‘273 patent).

On July 5, 2024, the Commission terminated the investigation with respect to respondent Lenovo Group Limited for good cause because it does not import into the United States, sell for importation, or sell in the United States after importation any accused products. Order No. 30 (June 20, 2024), *unreviewed by Comm'n Notice* (July 5, 2024).

On August 13, 2024, the Commission granted in part Ericsson's unopposed motion for summary determination that it has satisfied the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement under section 337(a)(3)(A) with respect to the ‘178 and ‘654 patents. Order No. 32 (July 12, 2024), *unreviewed by Comm'n Notice* (Aug. 13, 2024).

On November 15, 2024, the presiding ALJ issued the subject FID, finding no violation of section 337 with respect to either the remaining ‘178 patent or the ‘654 patent. With regard to the ‘178