

any enforceable duty or contain any unfunded mandate as described under Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA) (2 U.S.C. 1501 *et seq.*).

This action does not involve any technical standards that would require Agency consideration of voluntary consensus standards pursuant to section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note).

**VIII. Congressional Review Act**

Pursuant to the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*), EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller

General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

**List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180**

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: April 25, 2023.

**Charles Smith,**

*Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.*

Therefore, for the reasons stated in the preamble, EPA is amending 40 CFR chapter I as follows:

**PART 180—TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICAL RESIDUES IN FOOD**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 180 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 21 U.S.C. 321(q), 346a and 371.

■ 2. In § 180.910, amend table 1 to 180.910 by adding, in alphabetical order, an entry for “Poly (oxy-1,2-ethanediyl), α,α'-[[[4-[2-(4-methyl-2-benzothiazolyl)diazenyl]phenyl]imino]di-2,1-ethanediyl]bis[ω-hydroxy- (CAS Reg. No. 158172–12–4)” to read as follows:

**§ 180.910 Inert ingredients used pre- and post-harvest; exemptions from the requirement of a tolerance.**

\* \* \* \* \*

TABLE 1 TO 180.910

Inert ingredients	Limits	Uses
Poly (oxy-1,2-ethanediyl), α,α'-[[[4-[2-(4-methyl-2-benzothiazolyl)diazenyl]phenyl]imino]di-2,1-ethanediyl]bis[ω-hydroxy- (CAS Reg. No. 158172–12–4).	.....	Colorant.

[FR Doc. 2023–09121 Filed 4–28–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50-P

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 180**

[EPA–HQ–OPP–2021–0273, EPA–HQ–OPP–2022–0841 and EPA–HQ–OPP–2022–0844; FRL–10877–01–OCSPP]

**Starch, 1-Octenylbutanedioate, Aluminum Salt; Dextrin, Hydrogen 1-Octenylbutanedioate; and Amylopectin, 2-Hydroxypropyl Ether, Acid-; Exemption From the Requirement of a Tolerance**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This regulation establishes exemptions from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of starch, 1-octenylbutanedioate, aluminum salt; dextrin, hydrogen 1-octenylbutanedioate; and amylopectin, 2-hydroxypropyl ether, acid- when used as inert ingredients (for seed treatment only) in pesticide formulations applied pre-harvest. Ingredient Incorporated submitted petitions to EPA under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), requesting establishment of exemptions from the requirement of a

tolerance. This regulation eliminates the need to establish maximum permissible levels for residues of starch, 1-octenylbutanedioate, aluminum salt; dextrin, hydrogen 1-octenylbutanedioate; and amylopectin, 2-hydroxypropyl ether, acid- when used in accordance with the terms of the exemptions.

**DATES:** This regulation is effective May 1, 2023. Objections and requests for hearings must be received on or before June 30, 2023 and must be filed in accordance with the instructions provided in 40 CFR part 178 (see also Unit I.C. of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**).

**ADDRESSES:** The dockets for these actions, identified by docket identification (ID) numbers EPA–HQ–OPP–2021–0273, EPA–HQ–OPP–2022–0841 and EPA–HQ–OPP–2022–0844, are available at <https://www.regulations.gov> or at the Office of Pesticide Programs Regulatory Public Docket (OPP Docket) in the Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), West William Jefferson Clinton Bldg., Rm. 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460–0001. The Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room and the OPP docket is (202) 566–1744. For the latest

status information on EPA/DC services, docket access, visit <https://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Charles Smith, Director, Registration Division (7505T), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460–0001; main telephone number: (202) 566–1030; email address: [RDFRNotices@epa.gov](mailto:RDFRNotices@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. General Information**

*A. Does this action apply to me?*

You may be potentially affected by this action if you are an agricultural producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. The following list of North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide to help readers determine whether this document applies to them. Potentially affected entities may include:

- Crop production (NAICS code 111).
- Animal production (NAICS code 112).
- Food manufacturing (NAICS code 311).
- Pesticide manufacturing (NAICS code 32532).

*B. How can I get electronic access to other related information?*

You may access a frequently updated electronic version of 40 CFR part 180 through the Office of the Federal Register's e-CFR site at <https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-40>.

*C. How can I file an objection or hearing request?*

Under FFDCA section 408(g), 21 U.S.C. 346a(g), any person may file an objection to any aspect of this regulation and may also request a hearing on those objections. You must file your objection or request a hearing on this regulation in accordance with the instructions provided in 40 CFR part 178. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, you must identify docket ID numbers EPA-HQ-OPP-2021-0273, EPA-HQ-OPP-2022-0841 and EPA-HQ-OPP-2022-0844 in the subject line on the first page of your submission. All objections and requests for a hearing must be in writing and must be received by the Hearing Clerk on or before June 30, 2023. Addresses for mail and hand delivery of objections and hearing requests are provided in 40 CFR 178.25(b).

In addition to filing an objection or hearing request with the Hearing Clerk as described in 40 CFR part 178, please submit a copy of the filing (excluding any Confidential Business Information (CBI)) for inclusion in the public docket. Information not marked confidential pursuant to 40 CFR part 2 may be disclosed publicly by EPA without prior notice. Submit the non-CBI copy of your objection or hearing request, identified by docket ID numbers EPA-HQ-OPP-2021-0273, EPA-HQ-OPP-2022-0841 and EPA-HQ-OPP-2022-0844, by one of the following methods:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

- **Mail:** OPP Docket, Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), (28221T), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460-0001.

- **Hand Delivery:** To make special arrangements for hand delivery or delivery of boxed information, please follow the instructions at <https://www.epa.gov/dockets/where-send-comments-epa-dockets>.

Additional instructions on commenting or visiting the docket, along with more information about dockets generally, is available at <https://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

## II. Petitions for Exemption

In the **Federal Register** of June 1, 2021 (86 FR 29229) (FRL-10023-95), EPA issued a document pursuant to FFDCA section 408, 21 U.S.C. 346a, announcing the filing of pesticide petition PP IN-11458 by Ingredion Incorporated, 5 Westbrook Corporate Center, Westchester, IL 60154. The petition requested that 40 CFR 180.920 be amended by establishing an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of starch, 1-octenylbutanedioate, aluminum salt (CAS Reg. No. 9087-61-0) when used as an inert ingredient in pesticide formulations applied "in or on raw agricultural commodities pre-harvest and as a seed treatment." A summary of the petition prepared by Ingredion Incorporated is available in the docket at <https://www.regulations.gov>. Ingredion Incorporated subsequently clarified that the word "and" should not have been included in the request and that they were requesting an exemption under 40 CFR 180.920 for seed treatment use only. Therefore, a use pattern limitation for seed treatment use is being established for this exemption.

In the **Federal Register** of November 17, 2022 (87 FR 68959) (FRL-9410-07-OCSP), EPA issued documents pursuant to FFDCA section 408, 21 U.S.C. 346a, announcing the filing of pesticide petitions PP IN-11699 and PP IN-11715 by Ingredion Incorporated, 5 Westbrook Corporate Center, Westchester, IL 60154. The petitions requested that 40 CFR 180.920 be amended by establishing exemptions from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of dextrin, hydrogen 1-octenylbutanedioate (CAS Reg. No. 68070-94-0) and amylopectin, 2-hydroxypropyl ether, acid- (CAS Reg. No. 2756130-86-4) when used as inert ingredients (for seed treatment only) in pesticide formulations applied pre-harvest. Summaries of the petitions prepared by Ingredion Incorporated are available in the dockets at <https://www.regulations.gov>.

There were no comments received in response to the notices of filing.

## III. Inert Ingredient Definition

Inert ingredients are all ingredients that are not active ingredients as defined in 40 CFR 153.125 and include, but are not limited to, the following types of ingredients (except when they have a pesticidal efficacy of their own): solvents such as alcohols and hydrocarbons; surfactants such as polyoxyethylene polymers and fatty acids; carriers such as clay and diatomaceous earth; thickeners such as

carrageenan and modified cellulose; wetting, spreading, and dispersing agents; propellants in aerosol dispensers; microencapsulating agents; and emulsifiers. The term "inert" is not intended to imply nontoxicity; the ingredient may or may not be chemically active. Generally, EPA has exempted inert ingredients from the requirement of a tolerance based on the low toxicity of the individual inert ingredients.

## IV. Aggregate Risk Assessment and Determination of Safety

Section 408(c)(2)(A)(i) of FFDCA allows EPA to establish an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance (the legal limit for a pesticide chemical residue in or on a food) only if EPA determines that the tolerance is "safe." Section 408(c)(2)(A)(ii) of FFDCA defines "safe" to mean that "there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result from aggregate exposure to the pesticide chemical residue, including all anticipated dietary exposures and all other exposures for which there is reliable information." This includes exposure through drinking water and in residential settings but does not include occupational exposure. When making a safety determination for an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance, FFDCA section 408(c)(2)(B) directs EPA to account for the considerations in section 408(b)(2)(C) and (D). Section 408(b)(2)(C) of FFDCA requires EPA to give special consideration to exposure of infants and children to the pesticide chemical residue in establishing an exemption and to "ensure that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result to infants and children from aggregate exposure to the pesticide chemical residue. . . ." Section 408(b)(2)(D) lists other factors for EPA's consideration in making safety determinations, e.g., the validity, completeness, and reliability of available data, nature of toxic effects, available information concerning the cumulative effects of the pesticide chemical and other substances with a common mechanism of toxicity, and available information concerning aggregate exposure levels to the pesticide chemical and other related substances, among other factors.

EPA establishes exemptions from the requirement of a tolerance only in those cases where it can be clearly demonstrated that the risks from aggregate exposure to pesticide chemical residues under reasonably foreseeable circumstances will pose no harm to human health. In order to determine the risks from aggregate exposure to pesticide inert ingredients,

the Agency considers the toxicity of the inert in conjunction with possible exposure to residues of the inert ingredient through food, drinking water, and through other exposures that occur as a result of pesticide use in residential settings. If EPA is able to determine that a finite tolerance is not necessary to ensure that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result from aggregate exposure to the inert ingredient, an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance may be established.

Consistent with FFDC section 408(c)(2)(A), and the factors specified in FFDC section 408(c)(2)(B), EPA has reviewed the available scientific data and other relevant information in support of these actions. EPA has sufficient data to assess the hazards of and to make a determination on aggregate exposure for starch, 1-octenylbutanedioate, aluminum salt; dextrin, hydrogen 1-octenylbutanedioate; and amylopectin, 2-hydroxypropyl ether, acid-, including exposure resulting from the exemptions established by this action. EPA's assessment of exposures and risks associated with starch, 1-octenylbutanedioate, aluminum salt; dextrin, hydrogen 1-octenylbutanedioate; and amylopectin, 2-hydroxypropyl ether, acid- follows.

#### A. Toxicological Profile

EPA has evaluated the available toxicity data and considered their validity, completeness, and reliability as well as the relationship of the results of the studies to human risk. EPA has also considered available information concerning the variability of the sensitivities of major identifiable subgroups of consumers, including infants and children. Specific information on the studies received and the nature of the adverse effects caused by starch, 1-octenylbutanedioate, aluminum salt; dextrin, hydrogen 1-octenylbutanedioate; and amylopectin, 2-hydroxypropyl ether, acid- as well as the no-observed-adverse-effect-level (NOAEL) and the lowest-observed-adverse-effect-level (LOAEL) from the toxicity studies are discussed in this unit.

The toxicological database for starch, 1-octenylbutanedioate, aluminum salt; dextrin, hydrogen 1-octenylbutanedioate; and amylopectin, 2-hydroxypropyl ether, acid-, all of which are modified starches, is supported by data regarding amylopectin, acid-hydrolyzed, 1-octenylbutanedioate (CAS Reg. No. 113894-85-2); amylopectin, hydrogen 1-octadecenylbutanedioate (CAS Reg.

No. 125109-81-1); and 2-hydroxypropyl starch (CAS Reg. No. 9049-76-7), which are three other modified starches previously reviewed by the Agency. EPA has determined that it is appropriate to bridge amylopectin, acid-hydrolyzed, 1-octenylbutanedioate; amylopectin, hydrogen 1-octadecenylbutanedioate; and 2-hydroxypropyl starch data to assess starch, 1-octenylbutanedioate, aluminum salt; dextrin, hydrogen 1-octenylbutanedioate; and amylopectin, 2-hydroxypropyl ether, acid- due to similarities in the manufacturing processes, functional groups/structure, composition, and physical/chemical properties of these modified starches.

In acute studies, the oral lethal dose, LD<sub>50</sub> of the modified starches was > 7,000 milligrams/kilogram (mg/kg). Various modified starches were tested in repeat dose studies, and no toxicity was observed at doses as high as 9,000 mg/kg/day. No fetal, parental, or reproductive toxicity was seen in any of the multi-generational reproduction toxicity studies at dietary levels up to 62% (*i.e.*, 31,000 mg/kg/day).

#### B. Toxicological Points of Departure/Levels of Concern

Once a pesticide's toxicological profile is determined, EPA identifies toxicological points of departure (POD) and levels of concern to use in evaluating the risk posed by human exposure to the pesticide. For hazards that have a threshold below which there is no appreciable risk, the toxicological POD is used as the basis for derivation of reference values for risk assessment. PODs are developed based on a careful analysis of the doses in each toxicological study to determine the dose at which no adverse effects are observed (the NOAEL) and the lowest dose at which adverse effects of concern are identified (the LOAEL). Uncertainty/safety factors are used in conjunction with the POD to calculate a safe exposure level—generally referred to as a population-adjusted dose (PAD) or a reference dose (RfD)—and a safe margin of exposure (MOE). For non-threshold risks, the Agency assumes that any amount of exposure will lead to some degree of risk. Thus, the Agency estimates risk in terms of the probability of an occurrence of the adverse effect expected in a lifetime. For more information on the general principles EPA uses in risk characterization and a complete description of the risk assessment process, see <https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-science-and-assessing-pesticide-risks/overview-risk-assessment-pesticide-program>.

The hazard profile of these modified starches is adequately defined. Overall, they show low acute, subchronic, and developmental toxicity. No systemic toxicity is observed up to the limit dose of 1,000 mg/kg/day and as high as 31,000 mg/kg/day. Since signs of toxicity were not observed, no toxicological endpoints of concern or PODs were identified. Therefore, a qualitative risk assessment for starch, 1-octenylbutanedioate, aluminum salt; dextrin, hydrogen 1-octenylbutanedioate; and amylopectin, 2-hydroxypropyl ether, acid- can be performed.

#### C. Exposure Assessment

1. *Dietary exposure from food and feed uses.* In evaluating dietary exposure to starch, 1-octenylbutanedioate, aluminum salt; dextrin, hydrogen 1-octenylbutanedioate; and amylopectin, 2-hydroxypropyl ether, acid-, EPA considered exposure under the proposed exemptions from the requirement of a tolerance and from existing uses. EPA assessed dietary exposures from starch, 1-octenylbutanedioate, aluminum salt; dextrin, hydrogen 1-octenylbutanedioate; and amylopectin, 2-hydroxypropyl ether, acid- in food as follows:

Modified food starches are approved (21 CFR 172.892) by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as food additives and are used in a wide range of food products. In addition, dietary (food and drinking water) exposure is possible from the use of modified starches when used as food use inert ingredients in pesticide products. However, a quantitative dietary exposure assessment was not conducted since a toxicological endpoint for risk assessment was not identified.

2. *From non-dietary exposure.* The term "residential exposure" is used in this document to refer to non-occupational, non-dietary exposure (*e.g.*, textiles (clothing and diapers), carpets, swimming pools, and hard surface disinfection on walls, floors, tables). Starch, 1-octenylbutanedioate, aluminum salt; dextrin, hydrogen 1-octenylbutanedioate; and amylopectin, 2-hydroxypropyl ether, acid- are not currently proposed for pesticide residential uses; however, future uses may include uses in and around the home. Residential exposure is also possible from the use of modified starches in non-pesticidal products such as cosmetics. However, a quantitative residential exposure assessment was not conducted since a toxicological

endpoint for risk assessment was not identified.

3. *Cumulative effects from substances with a common mechanism of toxicity.* Section 408(b)(2)(D)(v) of FFDCA requires that, when considering whether to establish, modify, or revoke a tolerance, the Agency consider “available information” concerning the cumulative effects of a particular pesticide’s residues and “other substances that have a common mechanism of toxicity.” Based on the lack of toxicity in the available database, EPA has not found starch, 1-octenylbutanedioate, aluminum salt; dextrin, hydrogen 1-octenylbutanedioate; and amylopectin, 2-hydroxypropyl ether, acid- to share a common mechanism of toxicity with any other substances, and these modified starches do not appear to produce a toxic metabolite produced by other substances. For the purposes of these tolerance exemptions, therefore, EPA has assumed that starch, 1-octenylbutanedioate, aluminum salt; dextrin, hydrogen 1-octenylbutanedioate; and amylopectin, 2-hydroxypropyl ether, acid- do not have a common mechanism of toxicity with other substances. For information regarding EPA’s efforts to determine which chemicals have a common mechanism of toxicity and to evaluate the cumulative effects of such chemicals, see EPA’s website at <https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-science-and-assessing-pesticide-risks/cumulative-assessment-risk-pesticides>.

#### D. Additional Safety Factor for the Protection of Infants and Children

Section 408(b)(2)(C) of FFDCA provides that EPA shall apply an additional tenfold (10X) margin of safety for infants and children in the case of threshold effects to account for prenatal and postnatal toxicity and the completeness of the database on toxicity and exposure unless EPA determines based on reliable data that a different margin of safety will be safe for infants and children. This additional margin of safety is commonly referred to as the Food Quality Protection Act safety factor. In applying this provision, EPA either retains the default value of 10X, or uses a different additional safety factor when reliable data available to EPA support the choice of a different factor. Based on an assessment of starch, 1-octenylbutanedioate, aluminum salt; dextrin, hydrogen 1-octenylbutanedioate; and amylopectin, 2-hydroxypropyl ether, acid-, EPA has concluded that there are no toxicological endpoints of concern for the U.S. population, including infants

and children. Because there are no threshold effects associated with these chemicals, EPA conducted a qualitative assessment. As part of that assessment, the Agency did not use safety factors for assessing risk, and no additional safety factor is needed for assessing risk to infants and children.

#### E. Aggregate Risks and Determination of Safety

Because no toxicological endpoints of concern were identified, EPA concludes that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result to the general population, or to infants and children, from aggregate exposure to starch, 1-octenylbutanedioate, aluminum salt; dextrin, hydrogen 1-octenylbutanedioate; and amylopectin, 2-hydroxypropyl ether, acid- residues.

#### V. Analytical Enforcement Methodology

An analytical method is not required for enforcement purposes since the Agency is establishing exemptions from the requirement of a tolerance without any numerical limitation.

#### VI. Conclusions

Therefore, exemptions from the requirement of a tolerance are established for residues of starch, 1-octenylbutanedioate, aluminum salt (CAS Reg. No. 9087–61–0); dextrin, hydrogen 1-octenylbutanedioate (CAS Reg. No. 68070–94–0); and amylopectin, 2-hydroxypropyl ether, acid- (CAS Reg. No. 2756130–86–4) when used as inert ingredients (for seed treatment only) in pesticide formulations applied pre-harvest under 40 CFR 180.920.

#### VII. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

This action establishes exemptions from the requirement of a tolerance under FFDCA section 408(d) in response to a petition submitted to the Agency. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted these types of actions from review under Executive Order 12866, entitled “Regulatory Planning and Review” (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993). Because this action has been exempted from review under Executive Order 12866, this action is not subject to Executive Order 13211, entitled “Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use” (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001) or Executive Order 13045, entitled “Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks” (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997). This action does not contain any information collections subject to OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) (44

U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), nor does it require any special considerations under Executive Order 12898, entitled “Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations” (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

Since tolerances and exemptions that are established on the basis of a petition under FFDCA section 408(d), such as the exemptions in this final rule, do not require the issuance of a proposed rule, the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*), do not apply.

This action directly regulates growers, food processors, food handlers, and food retailers, not States or tribes, nor does this action alter the relationships or distribution of power and responsibilities established by Congress in the preemption provisions of FFDCA section 408(n)(4). As such, the Agency has determined that this action will not have a substantial direct effect on States or Tribal Governments, on the relationship between the National Government and the States or Tribal Governments, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government or between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. Thus, the Agency has determined that Executive Order 13132, entitled “Federalism” (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999) and Executive Order 13175, entitled “Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments” (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000) do not apply to this action. In addition, this action does not impose any enforceable duty or contain any unfunded mandate as described under Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA) (2 U.S.C. 1501 *et seq.*).

This action does not involve any technical standards that would require Agency consideration of voluntary consensus standards pursuant to section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note).

#### VIII. Congressional Review Act

Pursuant to the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*), EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure,

Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: April 25, 2023.

Charles Smith,

Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

Therefore, for the reasons stated in the preamble, EPA is amending 40 CFR chapter I as follows:

PART 180—TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICAL RESIDUES IN FOOD

1. The authority citation for part 180 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 321(q), 346a and 371.

2. In § 180.920, amend table 1 to the section by adding, in alphabetical order, entries for “Amylopectin, 2-hydroxypropyl ether, acid- (CAS Reg.

No. 2756130–86–4)”; “Dextrin, hydrogen 1-octenylbutanedioate (CAS Reg. No. 68070–94–0)”; and “Starch, 1-octenylbutanedioate, aluminum salt (CAS Reg. No. 9087–61–0)” to read as follows:

§ 180.920 Inert ingredients used pre-harvest; exemptions from the requirement of a tolerance.

\* \* \* \* \*

TABLE 1 TO 180.920

Table with 3 columns: Inert ingredients, Limits, and Uses. Rows include Amylopectin, 2-hydroxypropyl ether, acid- (CAS Reg. No. 2756130–86–4), Dextrin, hydrogen 1-octenylbutanedioate (CAS Reg. No. 68070–94–0), and Starch, 1-octenylbutanedioate, aluminum salt (CAS Reg. No. 9087–61–0).

[FR Doc. 2023–09090 Filed 4–28–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 648

[Docket No. 230425–0113]

RTID 0648–XC579

Magnuson-Stevens Act Provisions; Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Northeast Multispecies Fishery; Approval of 2023 and 2024 Sector Operations Plans and Allocation of 2023 Northeast Multispecies Annual Catch Entitlements

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This final rule approves sector operations plans and contracts, including 18 regulatory exemptions for fishing years 2023 and 2024. This final rule also allocates Northeast multispecies annual catch entitlements to approved groundfish sectors for fishing year 2023; this includes default specifications for nine stocks. This action is intended to allow limited

access permit holders to continue to operate sectors, as authorized under the Northeast Multispecies Fishery Management Plan, and to exempt sectors from certain effort control regulations to improve the efficiency and economics of sector vessels. Approval of sector operations plans and contracts is necessary to allocate annual catch entitlements to the sectors in order for sectors to operate.

DATES: Sector operations plans and regulatory exemptions are effective May 1, 2023, through April 30, 2025. Northeast multispecies annual catch entitlements for sectors are effective May 1, 2023, through April 30, 2024. Default catch limits are effective May 1, 2023, through October 31, 2023, or until the final rule for Framework 65 is implemented, if that final rule is implemented prior to October 31, 2023. If Framework 65 is not implemented on or before October 31, 2023, all fishing for these stocks would be prohibited beginning November 1, 2023.

ADDRESSES: Copies of each sector’s operations plan and contract are available from the NMFS Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office (GARFO): Contact Samantha Tolken at Samantha.Tolken@noaa.gov. These documents are also accessible via the GARFO website. To view these documents and the Federal Register documents referenced in this rule, you can visit: https://www.fisheries.noaa

.gov/management-plan/northeast-multispecies-management-plan.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Samantha Tolken, Fishery Management Specialist, (978) 675–2176.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Northeast Multispecies Fishery Management Plan (FMP) defines a sector as a group of persons holding limited access Northeast multispecies permits that has voluntarily entered into a contract and agree to certain fishing restrictions for a specified period of time, and that has been granted a portion of the total allowable catch (TAC) in order to achieve objectives consistent with applicable FMP goals and objectives. A sector must be comprised of at least three Northeast multispecies permits issued to at least three different persons, none of whom have any common ownership interest in the permits, vessels, or businesses associated with the permits issued to the other two or more persons in that sector. Sectors are self-selecting, meaning each sector can choose its members.

The Northeast multispecies sector management system allocates a portion of the Northeast multispecies stocks to each sector. These annual sector allocations are known as annual catch entitlements (ACE) and are based on the collective fishing history of a sector’s members. Sectors may receive