

investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

Issued: July 9, 2014.

By order of the Commission.

Jennifer D. Rohrbach,
Supervisory Attorney.

[FR Doc. 2014–16400 Filed 7–11–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. Nos. 701–TA–454 and 731–TA–1144 (Review)]

Welded Stainless Steel Pressure Pipe From China

Determination

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the countervailing duty order and antidumping duty order on welded stainless steel pressure pipe from China would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on February 3, 2014 (79 FR 6222, February 3, 2014) and determined on May 29, 2014 that it would conduct expedited reviews (79 FR 30877, May 29, 2014).

The Commission completed and filed its determinations in these reviews on July 7, 2014. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4478 (July 2014), entitled *Welded Stainless Steel Pressure Pipe*

from China: Investigation Nos. 701–TA–454 and 731–TA–1144 (Review).

Issued: July 9, 2014.

By order of the Commission.

Jennifer D. Rohrbach,
Supervisory Attorney.

[FR Doc. 2014–16403 Filed 7–11–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1110–0015]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Revision of a Currently Approved Collection (1–699 and 1–700)

AGENCY: Federal Bureau of Investigation, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 60-day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Criminal Justice Information Services Division, will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until September 12, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Mrs. Amy C. Blasher, Unit Chief, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Criminal Justice Information Services (CJIS) Division, Module E–3, 1000 Custer Hollow Road, Clarksburg, West Virginia 26306; telephone 304–625–4830, facsimile, 304 625–3566.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

—Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Bureau of Justice

Statistics, including whether the information will have practical utility;
—Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
—Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
—Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.
Overview of this information collection:

1. *Type of Information Collection:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

2. *The Title of the Form/Collection:* Quarterly Hate Crime Report and Hate Crime Incident Report.

3. *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* The form numbers are 1–699 and 1–700. The applicable component within the Department of Justice, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, Criminal Justice Information Services Division.

4. *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* The affected public who are asked to voluntarily respond is city, county, state, tribal, and federal U.S. law enforcement agencies. Under Title 28, U.S. Code, Section 534, this information collection requests hate crime data from respondents in order for the FBI UCR Program to serve as the national clearinghouse for the collection and dissemination of hate crime data and to publish these statistics annually in “Hate Crime Statistics”. This provides for the national UCR Program a record of each hate crime incident including the offense classification and its respective bias motivation, the number and type of victims, the location of the incident, the number of suspected offenders, the suspected offender's race, and whether the victims and offenders are under 18 or over the age of 18.

5. *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* There is a potential of 18,290 law enforcement agency respondents; 11,357 for the Summary Reporting System (SRS) and 6,933 for the National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS). NIBRS burden hours are collected on the NIBRS Information

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

Collection Request. Calculated estimates for an SRS respondent to respond indicate 7 minutes per quarter. The total annual burden hour per respondent is 28 minutes. Total Annual Hour Burden: 7 minutes $\times$ 4 quarters = 28 minutes.

6. *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* There are approximately 5,300 hours, annual burden, associated with this information collection.

11,357 respondents $\times$ 4 responses/year = 45,428 total annual responses.
45,428 $\times$ 7 minutes/60 minutes = 5,300 total annual hour burden.

(This burden estimate does not include the 6,933 NIBRS agencies; the NIBRS burden hours are captured in the NIBRS Information Collection Request.)

If additional information is required contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., 3E.405, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: July 9, 2014.

Jerri Murray,

Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2014-16383 Filed 7-11-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Modification of Amended Consent Decree Under The Clean Air Act

On July 8, 2014, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Third Amended Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin in the lawsuit entitled *United States and Michigan Department of Environmental Quality, Plaintiffs, and Clean Wisconsin, Sierra Club, and Citizens' Utility Board, Intervenor, v. Wisconsin Electric Power Company*, Civil Action No. 03-c-0371.

Generally, the proposed modifications to the Decree are designed: (1) To accommodate the voluntary decision of the Defendant, Wisconsin Electric Power Company ("WE Energies," "WE" or "Defendant"), to convert all four coal-fired boilers at the Valley Generating Station ("Valley Station"), located in Milwaukee, Wisconsin, from coal to natural gas; and (2) to simplify the process of terminating the Third Amended Decree after December 31, 2015. The coal-to-natural-gas conversion will provide significant emission reductions at the Valley Station, and the

termination-related changes will provide greater finality for the Defendant while also ensuring that the Decree's provisions remain enforceable in the future through federally enforceable state operating permits.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on Proposed Third Amended Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Acting Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States et al. v. Wisconsin Electric Power Company*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-07493. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the Joint Stipulation to Modify Section XXI of the Amended Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/ConsentDecrees.html>. We will provide a paper copy of the Proposed Third Amended Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$21.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Thomas P. Carroll,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2014-16334 Filed 7-11-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. DEA-392]

Importer of Controlled Substances Registration: Meridian Medical Technologies

ACTION: Notice of registration.

SUMMARY: Meridian Medical Technologies applied to be registered as

an importer of a certain basic class of narcotic controlled substance. The DEA grants Meridian Medical Technologies registration as an importer of this controlled substance.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: By notice dated April 21, 2014, and published in the **Federal Register** on April 28, 2014, 79 FR 23374, Meridian Medical Technologies, 2555 Hermelin Drive, St. Louis, Missouri 63144, applied to be registered as an importer of a certain basic class of controlled substance. No comments or objections were submitted for this notice.

The Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) has considered the factors in 21 U.S.C. 823, 952(a) and 958(a) and determined that the registration of Meridian Medical Technologies to import the basic class of controlled substance is consistent with the public interest and with United States obligations under international treaties, conventions, or protocols in effect on May 1, 1971. The DEA investigated the company's maintenance of effective controls against diversion by inspecting and testing the company's physical security systems, verifying the company's compliance with state and local laws, and reviewing the company's background and history.

Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 952(a) and 958(a), and in accordance with 21 CFR 1301.34, the above-named company is granted registration as an importer of morphine (9300), a basic class of narcotic controlled substance listed in schedule II.

The company manufactures a product containing morphine in the United States. The company exports this product to customers around the world. The company has been asked to ensure that its product, which is sold to European customers, meets the standards established by the European Pharmacopeia, administered by the Directorate for the Quality of Medicines (EDQM). In order to ensure that its product will meet European specifications, the company seeks to import morphine supplied by EDQM for use as reference standards.

This is the sole purpose for which the company will be authorized by the DEA to import morphine.

Dated: July 7, 2014.

Joseph T. Rannazzisi,

Deputy Assistant Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2014-16318 Filed 7-11-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P