

to the Chief Information Officer (CIO) of the Commission. The appeal must be submitted, in writing, to the Chief Information Officer (CIO) of the Commission. The appeal must be submitted, in writing, to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW, Washington, DC 20436. The appeal must be submitted no later than 10 workdays from the date of the initial decision. The appeal must include a copy of the request for correction, a copy of the Secretary's initial decision, a statement of the reasons why the decision is not satisfactory to the requestor, and a statement of what remedy would be satisfactory to the requestor. The CIO will issue a decision within 20 workdays of receipt of the appeal.

The decision of the CIO is final and is not subject to administrative or judicial review.

d. *Reports.* Beginning on January 1, 2004, and annually thereafter, the Commission will file reports with OMB that provide the number and nature of complaints received regarding information disseminated by the Commission and how the complaints were resolved.

9. *Revisions to the Guidelines.* Each Commission office that produces information dissemination products to which these Guidelines apply is responsible for notifying the CIO of the Commission whenever a change in the Guidelines with respect to the office's products is required. Changes may be required, for example, when a new information dissemination product is created or an existing product is discontinued, or when there is a change in the office's procedures for ensuring the quality of an information dissemination product for which the office is responsible. The CIO will initiate necessary changes to the Guidelines. When changes to the Guidelines are made, a revised version will be posted to the Commission's website.

[FR Doc. 02-13828 Filed 5-31-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-20-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Justice Programs: Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: 60-day notice of information collection under review: Reinstatement with changes of a previously approved collection for which approval has

expired: Survey of inmates in State and Federal Correctional Facilities, 2003.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs, has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for sixty days, until August 2, 2002. This process is in accordance with the 5 CFR 1320.10.

If you have comments, especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Tracy L. Snell, Statistician, (202) 616-3288, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice, 810 Seventh St., NW., Washington, DC 20531.

Request written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following points:

(1) Evaluate whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g. permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information collection:

(1) *Type of information collection:* Reinstatement, with Change, of a Previously Approved Collection for which Approval has Expired.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Survey of Inmates in State and Federal Correctional Facilities—2003.

(3) *Agency form number and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:*

Forms: NPS-25 CAPI Instrument and NPS-13 Sampling Questionnaire. Corrections Unit, Bureau of Justice Statistics, Office of Justice Programs, United States Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: Individuals. Others: State government and Federal government. The pretest survey will include an estimated 100 personal interviews with inmates held in State and Federal prisons. The national survey will include an estimated 16,000 personal interviews with State prison inmates and 4,000 personal interviews with Federal prison inmates. The surveys will include a full-scale implementation of the CAPI questionnaire, automated data control systems, and sample selection. The survey will profile prison inmates nationwide to determine trends in inmate composition, criminal history, drug abuse, mental and physical health status, gun use and crime, and inmate activities while in prison. The Bureau of Justice Statistics uses information from the national survey in published reports, and for the U.S. Congress, Executive Office of the President, practitioners, researchers, students, the media, and others interested in criminal justice statistics. No other collection series provides these data.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of responses and the amount of time estimated for an average response:* There will be an estimated 295 responses at 1 hour each for the NPS-13; 4,950 hours of prison staff time to escort inmates to/from interview sites; and 20,100 inmate responses at 1 hour each for the NPS-25.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* The estimated total public burden is 25,435 annual hours.

If additional information is required contact: Mrs. Brenda E. Dyer, Deputy Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Room 1600, Patrick Henry building, 601 D Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: May 28, 2002.

Brenda E. Dyer,
Department Deputy Clearance Officer,
Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 02-13827 Filed 5-31-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-M

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Comment Request

AGENCY: National Science Foundation.

ACTION: Submission for OMB review; comment request.

SUMMARY: Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), and as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, the National Science Foundation (NSF) is inviting the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on this proposed continuing information collection. This is the second notice for public comment; the first was published in the *Federal Register* at 66 FR 57114 and no comments were received. NSF is forwarding the proposed submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance simultaneously with the publication of this second notice.

DATES: Comments regarding these information collections are best assured of having their full effect if received by OMB within 30 days of publication in the *Federal Register*.

ADDRESSES: Written comments regarding (a) whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of NSF, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of NSF's estimate of burden including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; or (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology should be addressed to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of OMB, Attention: Desk Officer for National Science Foundation, 725 17th Street, NW. Room 10235, Washington DC 20503, and to Suzanne H. Plimpton, Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 295, Arlington, Virginia 22230 or send email to splimpto@nsf.gov. Copies if the submission may be obtained by calling (703) 292-7556.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Suzanne H. Plimpton, NSF Reports Clearance Officer at (703) 292-7556 or send email to splimpto@nsf.gov.

An agency may not contact or sponsor a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number and the agency informs potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information that such persons are not required to respond to

the collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title of Collection: Evaluation of the Impact and Use of the National Institutes of Health Curriculum Supplements on Students' Scientific Knowledge.

OMB Control No.: 3145-NEW.

1. Abstract

The National Science Foundation (NSF) has provided funding for systematically developed, research-based curriculum materials beginning in the 1960s. NSF has the responsibility of coordinating evaluations of mathematics and science education programs across government, including agencies such as the National Institutes of Health (NIH). Since its establishment as part of NIH, the Office of Science Education (OSE) has engaged in the development of science curriculum supplements and other educational materials related to medicine and research. NSF and NIH will partner in this evaluation because both desire information on the effectiveness of curriculum materials and the effective means to collect this information. Over the years, there have been changes in the levels of funding for such instructional materials, reflecting changes in public support and concerns for such endeavors. However, concerns about student achievement in science have focused attention on the need for strong curriculum materials to support "systemic reform" (O'Day & Smith, 1993). NSF has responded to these needs by increasing support to research-based instructional materials that have been reviewed by content experts and found to be of high quality and meet the demands of the National Science Education Standards (NSES).

The proposed evaluation's study questions to be addressed are: Do the curriculum supplements promote better science education? Do the curriculum supplements reduce academic inequity? Do the curriculum supplements deepen students' understanding of the importance of basic research to advances in medicine and health? Do the curriculum supplements foster student analysis of the direct and indirect effects of scientific discoveries on their individual and public health? Do the curriculum supplements encourage students to take more responsibility for their own health?

The data to address these questions will be gathered using mixed methods. In addition to assessing student achievement data and using surveys, the mixed-methods evaluation model will include pre-observation questionnaires,

observations, and interviews of teachers. Interviews and observations, for example, will enable research evaluators to clarify vague responses in surveys or confirm findings. As part of the evaluation, pre- and post-assessment will be used for NIH Curriculum Supplement Series for Grades 9-12 to compare students' learning of scientific concepts and skills when a supplement of NIH materials will be used, with students who do not receive the NIH materials. Teacher and student surveys, interviews, site visits, document reviews, standardized performance measures, and student work samples will provide the basis for comparison.

2. Expected Respondents

The expected respondents and observation subjects are pre-college teachers and students.

3. Burden on the Public

The total annual burden hours for this collection are 6,952 for a maximum of 6,132 respondents, assuming an 80-100% response rate. The burden on the general public is small because the study is limited to a 10 percent random sample of the 12,000 teachers who have requested the materials being studied, a sample of impacted students, and 60 treatment and 60 comparison teachers.

Dated: May 28, 2002.

Suzanne H. Plimpton,

Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.

[FR Doc. 02-13775 Filed 5-31-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Notice of Permit Applications Received Under the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978 (Pub. L. 95-541)

AGENCY: National Science Foundation.

ACTION: Notice of Permit Applications Received Under the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978, Public Law 95-541.

SUMMARY: The National Science Foundation (NSF) is required to publish notice of permit applications received to conduct activities regulated under the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978. NSF has published regulations under the Antarctic Conservation Act at Title 45 Part 670 of the Code of Federal Regulations. This is the required notice of permit applications received.

DATES: Interested parties are invited to submit written data, comments, or views with respect to this permit application by June 30, 2002. Permit applications may be inspected by