

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

Rupture of Piney Point Oil Pipeline

Time and Place: 9:30 a.m., Tuesday, July 23, 2002.

Place: NTSB Conference Center, 429 L'Enfant Plaza, SW., Washington, DC 20594.

Status: The two items are open to the public.

Matters to Be Considered:

7285A Pipeline Accident Report—
Rupture of Piney Point Oil Pipeline and Release of Fuel Oil near Chalk Point, Maryland, April 7, 2000.

7480 Highway Accident Report—
Collision Between Amtrak Train 97 and Molnar Worldwide Heavy Haul Company Tractor-Trailer Combination Vehicle at Highway-Rail Grade Crossing in Intercession City, Florida, on November 17, 2000.

News Media Contact: Telephone: (202) 314-6100. Individuals requesting specific accommodations should contact Ms. Carolyn Dargan at (202) 314-6305 by Friday, July 19, 2002.

For Further Information Contact: Vicky D'Onofrio, (202) 314-6410.

Dated: July 12, 2002.

Vicky D'Onofrio,

Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 02-18045 Filed 7-17-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7533-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of the OMB review of information collection and solicitation of public comment.

SUMMARY: The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a current valid OMB control number.

1. *Type of submission, new, revision, or extension:* Revision.

2. *The title of the information collection:* 10 CFR part 31, General

Domestic Licenses for Byproduct Material.

3. *The form number if applicable:* Not applicable.

4. *How often the collection is required:* Reports are submitted as events occur. Registration certificates may be submitted at any time. Changes to the information on the registration certificate are submitted as they occur.

5. *Who will be required or asked to report:* Persons receiving, possessing, using, or transferring byproduct material in certain items.

6. *An estimate of the number of responses:* 72,049 (14,787 NRC licensees + 57,262 Agreement States).

7. *The estimated number of annual respondents:* Approximately 7,600 NRC general licensees and 22,800 Agreement State general licensees.

8. *An estimate of the number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 45,825 (10,393 hours for NRC licensees [1902 recordkeeping and 8491 reporting or an average of 0.6 hours per response] and 35,432 hours for Agreement State licensees [5705 recordkeeping and 29,727 reporting or an average of 0.5 hours per response]).

9. *An indication of whether Section 3507(d), Public Law 104-13 applies:* Not applicable.

10. *Abstract:* 10 CFR Part 31 establishes general licenses for the possession and use of byproduct material in certain items and a general license for ownership of byproduct material. General licensees are required to keep records and submit reports identified in Part 31 in order for NRC to determine with reasonable assurance that devices are operated safely and without radiological hazard to users or the public.

A copy of the final supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O-1 F21, Rockville, MD 20852. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide web site: <http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/index.html>. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer listed below by August 19, 2002. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but assurance of consideration cannot be given to comments received after this date.

Bryon Allen, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150-0016),

NEOB-10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395-3087.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, 301-415-7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 10th day of July 2002.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Brenda Jo. Shelton,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 02-18155 Filed 7-17-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-261]

Carolina Power & Light (CP&L), H.B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 2; Notice of Receipt of Application for Renewal of Facility Operating License No. DPR-23 for an Additional 20-Year Period

On June 17, 2002, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission received, by letter dated June 14, 2002, an application from the Carolina Power & Light (CP&L) Company, filed pursuant to section 104b of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and 10 CFR part 54, which would authorize the applicant to operate the H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant (HBRSEP), Unit 2, for an additional 20-year period. In this application, HBRSEP, Unit No. 2, is referred to as the Robinson Nuclear Plant (RNP). The current operating license for RNP expires on July 31, 2010. RNP is a pressurized water reactor designed by Westinghouse Electric Company and is located in Hartsville, South Carolina. The acceptability of the tendered application for docketing and other matters, including an opportunity to request a hearing, will be the subject of a subsequent **Federal Register** notice.

Copies of the application are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland, or electronically from the Publicly Available Records (PARS) component of the NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS). The ADAMS Public Electronic Reading Room is accessible from the NRC Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/ADAMS/index.html>. In addition, the application is available on the NRC Web page at <http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/REACTOR/LR/index.html>. If you do not have access to ADAMS, or if there are problems in

accessing the documents located in ADAMS, please contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737 or by e-mail to pdrr@nrc.gov.

The license renewal application for the Robinson Nuclear Plant is also available to local residents at the Hartsville Memorial Library, in Hartsville, SC.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 15th day of July, 2002.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Pao-Tsin Kuo,

Program Director, License Renewal and Environmental Impact Program, Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 02-18240 Filed 7-17-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-237 and 50-249]

Exelon Generation Company, LLC, (Dresden Nuclear Station Units 2 and 3); Exemption

I

Exelon Generation Company, LLC, (Exelon) is the holder of Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-19 and DPR-25, which authorizes operation of the Dresden Nuclear Power Station, Units 2 and 3 (Dresden), at steady state core power levels not to exceed 2957 megawatts thermal per unit. Dresden's two boiling water reactors are located in Morris, Illinois. The licenses provide, among other things, that Dresden is subject to all rules, regulations, and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II

Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.59(c), a facility's licensed operator requalification program must be conducted for a continuous period not to exceed two years (24 months) and upon conclusion must be promptly followed, pursuant to a continuous schedule, by successive requalification programs. Each two-year requalification program must include a comprehensive written examination and annual operating tests.

III

By letter dated July 2, 2002, as supplemented by letter dated July 8, 2002, Exelon requested a one-time exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 55.59(c). Specifically, for Dresden, Exelon has requested a one-time extension to August 2, 2002, for

completing the comprehensive written examination for the February 2000 through January 2002 licensed operator requalification program. This requested exemption would allow an extension of approximately 6 months beyond the 24-month limit for completion of the written examinations as required by of 10 CFR 55.59(c). Exelon's letters constitute a request for exemption under 10 CFR 55.11 which states: "The Commission may, upon application by an interested person, or upon its own initiative, grant such exemptions from the requirements of the regulations in this part as it determines are authorized by law and will not endanger life or property and are otherwise in the public interest." The exemption is being requested due to circumstances which led Dresden to inadvertently schedule the comprehensive requalification written examinations at an interval greater than the required 24 months.

IV

The Commission has determined that, pursuant to 10 CFR 55.11, granting an exemption to Exelon from the requirements in 10 CFR 55.59(c), by allowing Dresden a one-time extension of the two-year requirement to conduct a comprehensive requalification written examination, is authorized by law and will not endanger life or property and is otherwise in the public interest. Although the 24-month requirement at Dresden for conducting a comprehensive written examination was exceeded, operator performance continues to be satisfactory, as demonstrated both in the plant and during other written examinations, operating tests, and periodic simulator evaluations. Granting this exemption will allow the makeup of the Dresden operating crews to remain unchanged, thereby maintaining effective crew teamwork, which will contribute to continued safe plant operations.

Accordingly, the Commission hereby grants Exelon an exemption on a one-time only basis from the two-year requirement of 10 CFR 55.59(c) for conducting a comprehensive licensed operator requalification written examination at Dresden by granting an extension of the examination completion date to August 2, 2002. The NRC understands that, following the completion of that examination, the next comprehensive licensed operator requalification written examination at Dresden will be administered at the completion of the current 24-month requalification period (i.e., examination administered in January/February 2004), and will include a comprehensive sampling of licensed operator

requalification training topics contained in the current (February 2002-January 2004) 24-month requalification period.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.32, the Commission has determined that the granting of this exemption will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment (67 FR 46218)

This exemption is effective upon issuance. This exemption expires on August 2, 2002.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 12th day of July, 2002.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Bruce A. Boger,

Director, Division of Inspection Program Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 02-18154 Filed 7-17-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Issuer Delisting; Notice of Application To Withdraw from Listing and Registration (A. O. Smith Corporation, Class A Common Stock, \$5.00 Par Value) from the American Stock Exchange LLC File No. 1-475

July 12, 2002.

A. O. Smith Corporation, a Delaware corporation ("Issuer"), has filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 12(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 12d2-2(d) thereunder,² to withdraw its Class A Common Stock, \$5.00 par value ("Security"), from listing and registration on the American Stock Exchange LLC ("Amex" or "Exchange").

The Board of Directors ("Board") of the Issuer unanimously approved a resolution on February 14, 2002 to withdraw the Issuer's Security from listing on the Amex. In making the decision to withdraw its Security from the Amex, the Board considered the following: (i) The substantial costs associated with dual trading; (ii) the Company's common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NYSE"); and (iii) the Company does not believe that continued listing on the Amex provides sufficient benefit to the Company and the shareholders of the Security to outweigh these disadvantages.

The Issuer stated in its application that it has met the requirements of Amex Rule 18 by complying with all applicable laws in effect in the state of

¹ 15 U.S.C. 781(d).

² 17 CFR 240.12d2-2(d).