

this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10) by the comment date below. A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper; *see* 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

If the Commission decides to set the application for a formal hearing before an Administrative Law Judge, the Commission will issue another notice describing that process. At the end of the Commission's review process, a final Commission order approving or denying a certificate will be issued.

Comment Date: March 19, 2003.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-5108 Filed 3-4-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. ER03-296-000]

Flying Cloud Power Partners, LLC; Notice of Issuance of Order

February 27, 2003.

Flying Cloud Power Partners, LLC (Flying Cloud) filed an application requesting authority to transact at market-based rates along with the accompanying tariff. The proposed market-based rate tariff provides for the sale of capacity and energy at market-based rates and for the resale of transmission rights. Flying Cloud also requested waiver of various Commission regulations. In particular, Flying Cloud requested that the Commission grant blanket approval under 18 CFR part 34 of all future issuances of securities and assumptions of liability by Flying Cloud.

On January 24, 2003, pursuant to delegated authority, the Director, Division of Tariffs and Market Development—South, granted the request for blanket approval under part 34, subject to the following:

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest the blanket approval of issuances of securities or assumptions of liability by Flying Cloud should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214).

Notice is hereby given that the deadline for filing motions to intervene or protests, as set forth above, is March 10, 2003.

Absent a request to be heard in opposition by the deadline above, Flying Cloud is authorized to issue securities and assume obligations or liabilities as a guarantor, indorser, surety, or otherwise in respect of any security of another person; provided that such issuance or assumption is for some lawful object within the corporate purposes of Flying Cloud, compatible with the public interest, and is reasonably necessary or appropriate for such purposes.

The Commission reserves the right to require a further showing that neither public nor private interests will be adversely affected by continued approval of Flying Cloud's issuances of securities or assumptions of liability.

Copies of the full text of the Order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, 888 First Street, NE.,

Washington, DC 20426. The Order may also be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number filed to access the document. Comments, protests, and interventions may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. *See* 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-5112 Filed 3-4-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL03-51-000]

North Hartland LLC; Notice of Filing

February 27, 2003.

Take notice that on February 26, 2003, North Hartland LLC tendered for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) a Request for Declaratory Order to Resolve a Controversy of Obligation to Pay Interconnection Cost. North Hartland LLC objects to the use charge. It argues that Central Vermont, the interconnecting utility, is not entitled to a 10% after tax rate of return on the project's investment in interconnection facilities, and the calculated use charge is fatally flawed.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date, and, to the extent applicable, must be served on the applicant and on any other person designated on the official service list. This filing is available for review at the Commission or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number

field to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll-free at (866) 208-3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502-8659. Protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper; see 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

Comment Date: March 17, 2003.

Magalie R. Salas,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-5110 Filed 3-4-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL03-52-000]

Public Service Company of New Mexico Complainant, v. Arizona Public Service Company Respondent; Notice of Complaint

February 27, 2003.

Take notice that on February 26, 2003, Public Service Company of New Mexico (PNM), 2401 Aztec Road, NE., Albuquerque, New Mexico, 87107, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission a complaint against Arizona Public Service Company (APS) regarding APS' rejection of PNM's attempts to exercise rights of first refusal related to two separate long-term point-to-point transmission service agreements on APS' transmission system.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. The answer to the complaint and all comments, interventions or protests must be filed on or before the comment date. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the

last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll-free at (866) 208-3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502-8659. The answer to the complaint, comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper; see 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

Comment Date: March 18, 2003.

Magalie R. Salas,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-5111 Filed 3-4-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7458-9]

Proposed Settlement Agreement, Clean Air Act Citizen Suit

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of proposed settlement agreement; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 113(g) of the Clean Air Act, as amended ("Act"), 42 U.S.C. 7413(g), notice is hereby given of a proposed settlement agreement to address a lawsuit filed by Juanita Stewart and the Louisiana Environmental Action Network, represented by the Tulane Environmental Law Clinic: *Stewart v. Whitman*, No. 02-1030-C-M1 (M.D. La.). On or about October 31, 2002, plaintiffs filed a complaint seeking to compel Christine Todd Whitman, in her official capacity as Administrator of the EPA, to respond to an administrative petition to object to a state operating permit issued by the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality ("LDEQ"). Under the terms of the proposed settlement agreement, EPA will respond to the petition by May 9, 2003. Within thirty days of EPA's response to the petition, plaintiffs will file a motion for voluntary dismissal of the complaint, with prejudice to its refiling.

DATES: Written comments on the proposed settlement agreement must be received by April 4, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to Cecilia Kim, Air and Radiation Law Office (2344A), Office of General Counsel, U.S. Environmental

Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460. Copies of the proposed settlement are available from Phyllis J. Cochran, (202) 564-7606.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Clean Air Act affords EPA a 45-day period to review and object to, as appropriate, Title V operating permits proposed by state permitting authorities. Section 505(b)(2) of the Act authorizes any person to petition the EPA Administrator within 60 days after the expiration of this 45-day review period to object to state operating permits if EPA has not done so. Plaintiffs filed an administrative petition to object to a state operating permit issued by LDEQ to the Georgia-Pacific Corporation for a pulp and paper mill near Port Hudson, East Baton Rouge, Louisiana. The lawsuit alleges that EPA has a nondiscretionary duty to grant or deny the petition within 60 days, and seeks to compel EPA to respond to the petition.

The settlement agreement provides that, within ten days after execution by the parties, the parties will file a joint motion with the court requesting the lawsuit be stayed. Plaintiffs may request the court to lift the stay of the lawsuit, and establish a schedule for further proceedings if EPA fails to sign a response to the petition by May 9, 2003.

For a period of thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will receive written comments relating to the proposed settlement agreement from persons who were not named as parties or interveners to the litigation in question. EPA or the Department of Justice may withdraw or withhold consent to the proposed settlement agreement if the comments disclose facts or considerations that indicate that such consent is inappropriate, improper, inadequate, or inconsistent with the requirements of the Act. Unless EPA or the Department of Justice determine, based on any comment which may be submitted, that consent to the settlement agreement should be withdrawn, the terms of the agreement will be affirmed.

Dated: February 24, 2003.

Lisa K. Friedman,

Associate General Counsel, Air and Radiation Law Office, Office of General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 03-5191 Filed 3-4-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P