

Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The address for the Docket Office (phone: 800-647-5527) is Document Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ann Johnson, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Wichita Aircraft Certification Office, 1801 Airport Road, Room 100, Wichita, Kansas 67209; telephone: 316-946-4105; fax: 316-946-4107; e-mail address: [ann.johnson@faa.gov](mailto:ann.johnson@faa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Airworthiness Directive 2008-26-10, Amendment 39-15776 (73 FR 78939, December 24, 2008), currently requires inspecting the alternate static air source selector valve to assure that the part number identification placard does not obstruct the alternate static air source selector valve port. If the part number identification placard obstructs the port, this AD also requires removing the placard, assuring that the port is unobstructed, and reporting to the FAA if obstruction is found for certain Cessna 172, 175, 177, 180, 182, 185, 206, 207, 208, 210, 303, 336, and 337 series airplanes.

As published, the Information Heading and the Summary sections of the AD incorrectly included Cessna 188 series airplanes. The Unsafe Condition section is incorrectly designated as paragraph (e) instead of paragraph (d). Also, the mailing address for the report specified in the Compliance section, paragraph (f)(2), and in Figure 1 is incorrectly stated as 1804 instead of 1801.

No other part of the preamble or regulatory information has been changed; therefore, only the changed portion of the final rule is being published in the **Federal Register**.

The effective date of AD 2008-26-10 remains January 5, 2009.

#### Correction of Non-Regulatory Text

In the **Federal Register** of December 24, 2008, AD 2008-26-10; Amendment 39-15776 is corrected as follows:

On page 78939, in the second column, on line 10, under the heading DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, remove 188 from affected series airplanes.

On page 78939, in the second column, on line 19, under the heading DEPARTMENT OF

TRANSPORTATION, in the **SUMMARY** section, remove 188 from affected series airplanes.

#### Correction of Regulatory Text

##### **§ 39.13 [Corrected]**

In the **Federal Register** of December 24, 2008, AD 2008-26-10; Amendment 39-15776 is corrected as follows:

On page 78942, in the first column, under the Unsafe Condition section, change paragraph (e) to (d).

On page 78943, in the second column, in paragraph (f)(2), on line 3, change 1804 to 1801.

On page 78943, in Figure 1, in the address for the Wichita Manufacturing Inspection District Office, change 1804 to 1801.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on November 4, 2010.

**James E. Jackson,**

*Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 2010-28579 Filed 11-15-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

**[Docket No. FAA-2010-1126; Directorate Identifier 2010-SW-078-AD; Amendment 39-16515; AD 2010-18-52]**

**RIN 2120-AA64**

#### **Airworthiness Directives; MD Helicopters, Inc. Model MD900 Helicopters**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** This document publishes in the **Federal Register** an amendment adopting Emergency Airworthiness Directive (AD) 2010-18-52 which was sent previously to all known owners and operators of MD Helicopters, Inc. (MDHI) Model MD900 helicopters by individual letters. This AD requires visually inspecting the main rotor hub (hub) for a crack. If a crack is found, this AD requires, before further flight, replacing the unairworthy hub with an airworthy hub. Additionally, if a cracked hub is found, this AD requires reporting the finding to the Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office within 10 days of finding the crack. This AD is prompted by two reports of cracks detected in the hub in the area near the flex beam bolt hole locations during maintenance on two MDHI Model

MD900 helicopters. The actions specified by this AD are intended to detect a crack in the hub and prevent failure of the hub and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter.

**DATES:** Effective December 1, 2010, to all persons except those persons to whom it was made immediately effective by Emergency AD 2010-18-52, issued on August 23, 2010, which contained the requirements of this amendment.

Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before January 18, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** Use one of the following addresses to submit comments on this AD:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- *Fax:* 202-493-2251.

- *Mail:* U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590.

- *Hand Delivery:* U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

You may get the service information identified in this AD from MD Helicopters, Inc., 4555 East McDowell Road, Mesa, Arizona 85215-9734, USA, telephone (480) 346-6300 or (800) 388-3378, fax (480) 346-6813, or at [serviceengineering@mdhelicopters.com](mailto:serviceengineering@mdhelicopters.com).

*Examining the Docket:* You may examine the docket that contains the AD, any comments, and other information on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov>, or in person at the Docket Operations office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Docket Operations office (telephone (800) 647-5527) is located in Room W12-140 on the ground floor of the West Building at the street address stated in the **ADDRESSES** section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Roger Durbin, Aviation Safety Engineer, FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, Airframe Branch, 3960 Paramount Blvd., Lakewood, California 90712, telephone (562) 627-5233, fax (562) 627-5210.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On August 18, 2010, we issued Emergency AD 2010-18-51. That Emergency AD was prompted by two reports of cracks detected in the hub in the area near the flex beam bolt hole locations during

maintenance on two MDHI Model MD900 helicopters. That Emergency AD required, within 4 hours time-in-service, visually inspecting the hub for a crack, paying particular attention to the area of the 5 flex beam bolt hole locations. If you found a crack, the Emergency AD 2010-18-51 required, before further flight, replacing the unairworthy hub with an airworthy hub. If you found a cracked hub, the Emergency AD also required, within 10 days of finding the crack, reporting the finding to the Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office.

After we issued Emergency AD 2010-18-51, we discovered that we used part number (P/N) 900R2102008-103, -105, and -107, in the "Applicability" section of the AD, which is incorrect. The correct P/N is 900R2101008-103, -105, and -107. Therefore, we superseded Emergency AD 2010-18-51 with Emergency AD 2010-18-52. Emergency AD 2010-18-52 contains the same requirements as Emergency AD 2010-18-51 but corrects the P/N for the hub.

We have reviewed two letters issued by MDHI, dated August 11 and August 16, 2010, recommending visual inspections, feedback from operators, and diligence in conducting "preflight inspections" of the hub. MDHI has received reports of two cracked hubs. The hubs were returned to MDHI for evaluation, and MDHI is analyzing the cracked hubs.

This unsafe condition is likely to exist or develop on other helicopters of the same type design. Therefore, this AD requires, within 4 hours time in service, visually inspecting the hub for a crack, paying particular attention to the area of the 5 flex beam bolt hole locations. If you find a crack, this AD requires, before further flight, replacing the unairworthy hub with an airworthy hub. If you find a cracked hub, this AD also requires, within 10 days of finding the crack, reporting the finding to the Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office. This AD is an interim action pending the results of an ongoing investigation to determine further corrective actions.

The short compliance time involved is required because the previously described critical unsafe condition can adversely affect the structural integrity and controllability of the helicopter. Therefore, a visual inspection of the hub is required within 4 hours time-in-service. If a crack is found, the unairworthy hub must be replaced with an airworthy hub before further flight, and this AD must be issued immediately.

Since it was found that immediate corrective action was required, notice and opportunity for prior public comment thereon were impracticable

and contrary to the public interest, and good cause existed to make the AD effective immediately by individual letters issued on August 23, 2010 to all known U.S. owners and operators of MDHI Model MD900 helicopters. These conditions still exist, and the AD is hereby published in the **Federal Register** as an amendment to 14 CFR 39.13 to make it effective to all persons. However, we have added a paragraph (c) to the AD to add information regarding the Paperwork Reduction Act Burden Statement. We have determined that this change neither increases the economic burden on any operator nor increases the scope of the AD.

We estimate that this AD will affect 33 helicopters of U.S. registry. The required inspection of the hub will take approximately 1 work hour per helicopter to accomplish at an average labor rate of \$85 per work hour for a labor cost of \$85 per helicopter. If a cracked hub is found, it will take approximately 11 hours per helicopter to replace the hub at an average labor rate of \$85 per work hour for a labor cost of \$935 per helicopter. Therefore, it is estimated that the actions required by this AD will require a total of 12 work hours per helicopter for a total labor cost of \$1,020. Required parts will cost approximately \$12,480 for each hub. Based on these figures, we estimate the total cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators to be \$29,635. This estimation assumes that each affected helicopter is inspected and that only two helicopters have a hub that is cracked and needs to be replaced.

#### Comments Invited

This AD is a final rule that involves requirements that affect flight safety and was not preceded by notice and an opportunity for public comment; however, we invite you to submit any written data, views, or arguments regarding this AD. Send your comments to an address listed under **ADDRESSES**. Include "Docket No. FAA-2010-1126; Directorate Identifier 2010-SW-078-AD" at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend the AD in light of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact with FAA personnel concerning this AD. Using the search function of our docket Web site,

you can find and read the comments to any of our dockets, including the name of the individual who sent the comment. You may review the DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477-78).

#### Regulatory Findings

We have determined that this AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that the regulation:

1. Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;
2. Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and
3. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared an economic evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this AD. See the AD docket to examine the economic evaluation.

#### Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in subtitle VII, part A, subpart III, section 44701, "General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

#### Adoption of the Amendment

■ Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration

amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

### PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### § 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive to read as follows:

#### 2010–18–52 MD Helicopters, Inc.:

Amendment 39–16515. Docket No. FAA–2010–1126; Directorate Identifier 2010–SW–078–AD. Supersedes Emergency AD 2010–18–51, Directorate Identifier 2010–SW–076–AD.

**Applicability:** Model MD900 helicopters, with lower main rotor hub (hub), part number 900R2101008–103, –105, and –107, installed, certificated in any category.

**Compliance:** Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To detect a crack in the hub and prevent the failure of the hub and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter, do the following:

(a) Within 4 hours time-in-service, visually inspect the hub for a crack, paying particular attention to the area of the 5 flex beam bolt hole locations. If you find a crack, before further flight, replace the hub with an airworthy hub.

(b) If you find a crack, within 10 days, report the finding to Roger Durbin, Aviation Safety Engineer, FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, Airframe Branch, e-mail [Roger.Durbin@faa.gov](mailto:Roger.Durbin@faa.gov) or fax (562) 627–5210.

(c) A federal agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, nor shall a person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act unless that collection of information displays a current valid OMB Control Number. The OMB Control Number for this information collection is 2120–0056. Public reporting for this collection of information is estimated to be approximately 5 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing and reviewing the collection of information. All responses to this collection of information are mandatory. Comments concerning the accuracy of this burden and suggestions for reducing the burden should be directed to the FAA at: 800 Independence Ave., SW., Washington, DC 20591, Attn: Information Collection Clearance Officer, AES–200.

(d) To request a different method of compliance or a different compliance time for this AD, follow the procedures in 14 CFR 39.19. Contact the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, ATTN: Roger Durbin, Aviation Safety Engineer, Airframe Branch, 3960 Paramount Blvd., Lakewood, California 90712, telephone (562) 627–5233, fax (562) 627–5210, for information about previously approved alternative methods of compliance.

(e) The Joint Aircraft System/Component (JASC) Code is 6220: Main Rotor Head.

(f) This amendment becomes effective on December 1, 2010, to all persons except those persons to whom it was made immediately effective by Emergency AD 2010–18–52, issued August 23, 2010, which contained the requirements of this amendment.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on November 5, 2010.

**Lance T. Gant,**

*Acting Manager, Rotorcraft Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 2010–28456 Filed 11–15–10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–13–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 71

[Docket No. FAA–2010–0049; Airspace Docket No. 08–AWA–1]

RIN 2120–AA66

#### Modification of Class B Airspace; Charlotte, NC

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This action modifies the Charlotte, NC, Class B airspace area to ensure the containment of aircraft, accommodate the implementation of area navigation (RNAV) departure procedures, and support operations of the third parallel runway at Charlotte/Douglas International Airport. The FAA is taking this action to improve the flow of air traffic, enhance safety, and reduce the potential for midair collision in the Charlotte, NC, terminal area.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* 0901 UTC, January 13, 2011. The Director of the Federal Register approves this incorporation by reference action under 3 CFR part 51, subject to the annual revision of FAA Order 7400.9 and publication of conforming amendments.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Paul Gallant, Airspace Regulations and ATC Procedures Group, Office of Airspace Systems and AIM, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; *telephone:* (202) 267–8783.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On March 3, 2010, the FAA published in the **Federal Register** a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to modify the Charlotte, NC Class B airspace area (75 FR 9538). This action proposed to expand the lateral and vertical limits of the Charlotte Class B airspace area: To provide the additional airspace needed

to support operations of a third parallel runway and the implementation of RNAV departure procedures; to contain ILS approach procedures for runways 23, 18L, 18C (formerly 18R but redesignated November 20, 2008) and the new runway (18R); and to contain aircraft being vectored to a base leg from the west when Charlotte/Douglas International Airport (CLT) is on a north operation.

In addition, the FAA published in the **Federal Register** a correction to the notice to provide a graphic chart of the proposed area that was inadvertently omitted from notice (75 FR 13049; March 18, 2010). Interested parties were invited to participate in this rulemaking effort by submitting written comments on the proposal. Twelve written comments were received in response to the notice.

#### Discussion of Comments

Two commenters expressed concerns about the availability of the published low altitude area navigation (RNAV) routes (*i.e.*, T-routes) through the Charlotte terminal area. One commenter wrote that he regularly flies east/west across North Carolina but seldom is cleared for a T-route. Another commenter said that the FAA should re-evaluate and potentially amend the Charlotte T-routes if necessary to increase availability.

There are currently four T-routes that traverse Charlotte's terminal airspace. T–200 and T–202 are east/west oriented routes; and T–201 and T–203 are north/south routes. The FAA acknowledges that availability of the east/west T-routes is limited. When the new runway 36L/18R opened in November 2009 and in order to accommodate triple instrument operations, Charlotte airport traffic control tower (ATCT) restricted overflight traffic on V–66, T–200 and T–202 during certain times. This restriction is in place when Charlotte is on a north operation (*i.e.*, aircraft landing and departing to the north). The FAA has reviewed the existing T-routes and found that it is difficult to utilize the east/west T-routes through the Charlotte terminal area more than the current practice. When Charlotte is on a north operation, final radar airspace begins at Charlotte airport and extends southward to the boundary with Columbia, SC, ATCT airspace. On a south operation, final radar airspace begins at the airport and extends northward to the boundary with Atlanta Air Route Traffic Control Center (ARTCC). Because traffic in the above mentioned areas is descending from the enroute structure all the way to the surface for landing, it is difficult to