General Wage Determination Publication

General wage determinations issued under the Davis-Bacon and related Acts, including those noted above, may be found in the Government Printing Office (GPO) document entitled "General Wage Determinations Issued Under The Davis-Bacon and Related Acts." This publication is available at each of the 50 Regional Government Depository Libraries and many of the 1,400 Government Depository Libraries across the country.

The general wage determinations issued under the Davis-Bacon and related Acts are available electronically by subscription to the FedWorld Bulletin Board System of the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) of the U.S. Department of Commerce at 1–800–363–2068.

Hard-copy subscriptions may be purchased from: Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402, (202) 512–1800.

When ordering hard-copy subscription(s), be sure to specify the State(s) of interest, since subscriptions may be ordered for any or all of the seven separate volumes, arranged by State. Subscriptions include an annual edition (issued in January or February) which includes all current general wage determinations for the States covered by each volume. Throughout the remainder of the year, regular weekly updates are distributed to subscribers.

Signed at Washington, DC this 24th day of August 2000.

Carl J. Poleskey,

Chief, Branch of Construction Wage Determinations.

[FR Doc. 00–22146 Filed 8–31–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–27–M

NATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM

Telecommunications Service Priority System Oversight Committee

AGENCY: National Communications System (NCS).

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

A meeting of the Telecommunications Service Priority (TSP) System Oversight Committee will convene Thursday, September 14, 2000 from 9 a.m. to 12 p.m. The meeting will be held at 701 South Court House Road, Arlington, VA in the NCC conference room on the 2nd floor.

- TSP Program Update
- Report on TSP Working Group Activities
 - Status of Revised TSP OC Charter
- TSP OC's responsibilities regarding the PAS Program

Anyone interested in attending or presenting additional information to the Committee, please contact Ms. Deborah

Bea, Office of Priority Telecommunications, (703) 607–4933.

Frank McClelland,

Federal Register Liaison Officer, National Communications System.

[FR Doc. 00–22458 Filed 8–31–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–08-M

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission to OMB for Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: National Credit Union Administration (NCUA).

ACTION: Request for comment.

SUMMARY: The NCUA is resubmitting the following information collection without change to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Public Law 104–13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). These information collections are published to obtain comments from the public.

DATES: Comments will be accepted until October 31, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties are invited to submit written comments to NCUA Clearance Officer or OMB Reviewer listed below:

Clearance Officer: Mr. James L. Baylen (703) 518–6411, National Credit Union Administration, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22314– 3428, Fax No. 703–518–6433, Email:jbaylen@ncua.gov.

OMB Reviewer: Alexander T. Hunt (202) 395–7860, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10226, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Copies of the information collection requests, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the: NCUA Clearance Officer, James L. Baylen, (703) 518–6411. It is also available on the following website: www.NCUA.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Proposals for the following collections of information:

OMB Number: 3133–0146. *Form Number:* N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Title: Production of Nonpublic Records and Testimony of Employees in Legal Proceedings.

Respondents: Respondents will most likely be persons involved in legal proceedings.

Estimated No. of Respondents/ Recordkeepers: 36.

Estimated Burden Hours Per Response: 2.

Frequency of Response: On occasion. Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 72.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: N/A.

By the National Credit Union Administration Board on August 25, 2000.

Becky Baker,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 00–22395 Filed 8–31–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7535-01-P

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

Revision of Statement of Organization and Functions; Change in Status of Peoria Regional Office (Region 33) to Subregional Office (Subregion 33)

Friday, September 1, 2000.

AGENCY: National Labor Relations Board.

ACTION: Notice of change in status of the Peoria Regional Office to a Subregion of the St. Louis Regional Office.

SUMMARY: The National Labor Relations Board is reorganizing the structure of its office in Peoria, Illinois, to restructure it from a Regional Office to a Subregion of the St. Louis Regional Office and is revising its Statement of Organization and Functions accordingly.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 3, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John J. Toner, Executive Secretary, 1099 14th Street, NW., Room 11600, Washington, DC 20570. Telephone: (202) 273–1944.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The National Labor Relations Board has decided to restructure the status of the Agency's Peoria Regional Office from a Regional Office to a Subregion of the St. Louis Regional Office. This change is being made because of a reduction in the case intake of the Peoria Regional Office and to provide improved efficiency in case processing. The Peoria Regional Office was headed by a Regional Director, who had full authority for the processing of both unfair labor practice and representation cases. The Peoria Subregional Office will now be headed by an Officer-in-Charge, who will report to the Regional Director in St. Louis. This change will vest the Regional Director in the St. Louis Regional Office with casehandling authority for the geographical area covered by the Peoria Subregional Office.

The geographical area covered by the Peoria Subregion will continue to be the

same as that covered by the Peoria Regional Office.

The newly created Subregion will be designated as Subregion 33.

The last list of Regional and Subregional Offices was published at 53 FR 10305–10308, March 30, 1988.

Accordingly, the NLRB revises its Statement of Organization and Functions to reflect the addition of Subregion 33, Peoria, Illinois, and the elimination of Region 33.

Dated: Washington, DC, August 29, 2000. By direction of the Board. National Labor Relations Board.

Lester A. Heltzer,

Acting Executive Secretary.
[FR Doc. 00–22554 Filed 8–31–00; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7545–01–M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-400-LA; ASLBP No. 99-762-02-LA]

Carolina Power & Light Company; Notice of Reconstitution

Pursuant to the authority contained in 10 CFR 2.721, the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board in the Carolina Power & Light Company proceeding, with the above-identified Docket Number, is hereby reconstituted by appointing Administrative Judge Thomas D. Murphy in place of Administrative Judge Frederick J. Shon. This Licensing Board reconstitution is a result of Judge Shon's retirement.

As reconstituted, the Board is comprised of the following Administrative Judges: G. Paul Bollwerk, III, Chairman, Dr. Peter S. Lam, Thomas D. Murphy.

All correspondence, documents, and other material shall be filed with the Licensing Board in accordance with 10 CFR 2.712. The address of the new member is: Administrative Judge Thomas D. Murphy, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

Issued at Rockville, Maryland, this 28th day of August 2000.

G. Paul Bollwerk III,

Chief Administrative Judge, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel.

[FR Doc. 00–22493 Filed 8–31–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-237 and 50-249]

Commonwealth Edison Company (Dresden Nuclear Power Station, Units 2 and 3); Exemption

Ι

The Commonwealth Edison Company (ComEd, the licensee) is the holder of Facility Operating Licenses Nos. DPR–19 and DPR–25 which authorize operation of the Dresden Nuclear Power Station, Units 2 and 3 (Dresden). The licenses provide, among other things, that the facility is subject to all rules, regulations, and orders of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) now or hereafter in effect.

The facility consists of boiling water reactors (Units 2 and 3) located on the licensee's Dresden site in Grundy County, Illinois. This exemption refers to both units.

TT

Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) Part 50, Appendix G, requires that pressure-temperature (P-T) limits be established for reactor pressure vessels (RPVs) during normal operating and hydrostatic or leak rate testing conditions. Specifically, 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G states, "The appropriate requirements on both the pressure-temperature limits and the minimum permissible temperature must be met for all conditions." Appendix G of 10 CFR Part 50 specifies that the PT limits must meet the safety margin requirements specified in the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code (Code) Section XI, Appendix G.

To address provisions of the proposed amendments to the technical specification (TS) P-T limits, in its submittal of February 23, 2000, the licensee requested that the staff exempt Dresden from application of specific requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Section 50.60(a) and Appendix G, and substitute use of ASME Code Cases N-588 and N-640. Code Case N-588 permits the postulation of a circumferentiallyoriented flaw (in lieu of an axiallyoriented flaw) for the evaluation of the circumferential welds in RPV P-T limit curves. Since the pressure stresses on a circumferentially-oriented flaw are lower than the pressure stresses on an axially-oriented flaw by a factor of two, using Code Case N-588 for establishing the $\check{P}-T$ limits would be less conservative than the methodology currently endorsed by 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G and, therefore, an

exemption to apply the Code Case would be required by 10 CFR 50.60(a). Code Case N-640 permits the use of an alternate reference fracture toughness $(K_{1c}$ fracture toughness curve instead of K_{1a} fracture toughness curve) for reactor vessel materials in determining the P–T limits. Since the K_{1c} fracture toughness curve shown in ASME Code, Section XI, Appendix A, Figure A-2200-1 provides greater allowable fracture toughness than the corresponding K_{1a} fracture toughness curve of ASME Code, Section XI, Appendix G, Figure G-2210-1 (the K_{1a} fracture toughness curve), using Code Case N-640 for establishing the P-T limits would be less conservative than the methodology currently endorsed by 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G and, therefore, an exemption to apply the Code Case would also be required by 10 CFR 50.60(a).

Code Case N-588

The licensee has proposed an exemption to allow the use of ASME Code Case N–588 in conjunction with ASME Code, Section XI, 10 CFR 50.60(a) and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G, to determine the P–T limits.

The proposed amendments to revise the P–T limits for Dresden rely, in part, on the requested exemption. These proposed P–T limits have been developed using the postulation of a circumferentially-oriented reference flaw as the limiting flaw in a RPV circumferential weld in lieu of an axially-oriented flaw required by the 1989 Edition of ASME Code, Section XI, Appendix G.

Postulating the Appendix G (axiallyoriented flaw) reference flaw in a circumferential weld is physically unrealistic and overly conservative because the length of the flaw would extent well beyond the girth of the circumferential weld and into the adjoining base metal material. Industry experience with the repair of weld indications found during preservice inspection, and data taken from destructive examination of actual vessel welds, confirms that any remaining flaws are small, laminar in nature, and do not transverse the weld bead orientation. Therefore, any potential defects introduced during the fabrication process, and not detected during subsequent nondestructive examinations, would only be expected to be oriented in the direction of weld fabrication. A defect with a circumferential orientation is, therefore, postulated for circumferential welds.

An analysis provided to the ASME Code's Working Group on Operating Plant Criteria (WGOPC) (in which Code Case N–588 was developed) indicated