will be discussed at a public meeting to be held on May 21, 2002, from 9 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., at 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1066, Rockville, MD 20852.

II. Comments

Interested persons may submit to the Dockets Management Branch (address above) written or electronic comments on the preliminary draft proposed rule. Two copies of any comments are to be submitted, except that individuals may submit one copy. Comments are to be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Electronic comments may be submitted to http://www.fda.gov/ dockets/ecomments. The preliminary draft proposed rule and the comments submitted to this docket may be seen in the Dockets Management Branch between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

III. Electronic Access

Persons with access to the Internet may obtain the document at http:// www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/ default.htm or www.fda.gov/cder/fdama under "Section 121—PET (Positron Emission Tomography)."

(**Authority:** 21 U.S.C. 321 *et seq.*)

Dated: March 25, 2002.

Margaret M. Dotzel,

Associate Commissioner for Policy. [FR Doc. 02–7728 Filed 3–29–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4160–01–8

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[WY-001-0007b, WY-001-0008b, WY-001-0009b; FRL-7166-3]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Wyoming; Withdrawal of Proposed Rule

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Withdrawal of proposed rule.

SUMMARY: Due to the State of Wyoming's withdrawal of the August 9, 2000, August 7, 2001 and August 13, 2001 submittals to the EPA that revise the Wyoming State Implementation Plan (SIP), EPA is withdrawing the proposed rule, published concurrently with a direct final rule, to partially approve and partially disapprove these revisions that restructure and modify the State's air quality rules. In the direct final rule, published on February 6, 2002 (67 FR 5485), we stated that if we received

adverse comment by March 8, 2002, the rule would be withdrawn and would not take effect. EPA subsequently received a letter from the State of Wyoming (on March 8, 2002) withdrawing the three submittals that EPA is taking action on in our February 6, 2002 direct final rule. EPA also received adverse comments from the Wyoming Outdoor Council (on March 7, 2002). Since, in addition to receiving adverse comments, the State of Wyoming withdrew their submittals, the proposed rule and the direct final rule are withdrawn and will not take effect. In the "Final Rules" section of today's Federal Register publication, we are withdrawing the direct final rule published on February 6, 2002 (67 FR 5552).

EFFECTIVE DATE: The proposed rule is withdrawn as of April 1, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Megan Williams, EPA Region VIII, (303) 312–6431 or Laurel Dygowski, EPA Region VIII, (303) 312–6144.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the direct final rule located in the Rules and Regulations section of the February 6, 2002 **Federal Register** (67 FR 5485).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Lead, Nitrogen Dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, and Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: March 25, 2002.

Jack W. McGraw,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region VIII. [FR Doc. 02–7773 Filed 3–29–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 255-0320a; FRL-7164-8]

Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing both a conditional approval and a limited approval and limited disapproval of revisions to the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

(SJVUAPCD or District) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern fugitive dust and particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter (PM-10). We are proposing action on local rules that regulate these emissions under the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). The proposed conditional approval is with respect to enforceability and reasonably available control measures (RACM), and the proposed limited approval and limited disapproval is with respect to best available control measures (BACM). We are taking comments on this proposal and plan to follow with a final action.

DATES: Any comments must arrive by May 31, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Mail comments to Andy Steckel, Rulemaking Office Chief (AIR– 4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.

You can inspect copies of the submitted rule revisions and EPA's technical support document (TSD) at our Region IX office during normal business hours. You may also see copies of the submitted rule revisions and TSD at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 1001 "I" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District, 1990 East Gettysburg Street, Fresno, CA 93726.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Karen Irwin, Planning Office (AIR-2), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX; (415) 947-4116.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, "we," "us" and "our" refer to EPA.

Table of Contents

- I. The State's Submittal
 - A. What rules did the State submit?
 - B. Are there other versions of these rules?
 - C. What is the purpose of the submitted rule revisions?
- II. EPA's Evaluation and Action
 - A. How is EPA evaluating the rules?
 - B. Do the rules meet the evaluation criteria?
- C. Proposed action and public comment.
- III. Background Information
 Why were these rules submitte
- Why were these rules submitted? IV. Administrative Requirements

I. The State's Submittal

A. What rules did the State submit?

Table 1 lists the rules we are proposing to approve with the dates that they were adopted by the District and submitted by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) to EPA.