

Instruction. Therefore, we believe that this rule should be categorically excluded, under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g), of the Instruction, from further environmental documentation. This event establishes a safety zone therefore paragraph (34)(g) of the Instruction applies.

A preliminary “Environmental Analysis Check List” is available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**. Comments on this section will be considered before we make the final decision on whether the rule should be categorically excluded from further environmental review.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine Safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1226, 1231; 46 U.S.C. Chapter 701; 50 U.S.C. 191, 195; 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Pub. L. 107–295, 116 Stat. 2064; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

2. A new temporary § 165.T09–140 is added to read as follows:

§ 165.T09–140 Safety Zone; 2006 Vermilion River, Mouth of the river to Mile 0.79, Vermilion, Ohio. VYC Fleet Parade.

(a) Location. The Coast Guard proposes establishing a temporary safety zone for the VYC Fleet Parade on the Vermilion River between the mouth of the river (41°25'42" N and 081°21'54" W) and the Conrail Railroad Bridge (Mile 0.19), to extend the entire width of the river. These coordinates are based upon North American Datum 1983 (NAD 83).

(b) Effective Period. This section is effective from 2 p.m. (local) through 3 p.m. (local) on May 29, 2006.

(c) Regulations. Entry into, transit through or anchoring within this safety zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Buffalo or his designated on-scene representative. The designated on-scene representative will be the Coast Guard Patrol Commander. The Coast Guard Patrol Commander may be contacted via VHF Channel 16.

Dated: January 9, 2006.

S.J. Ferguson,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Buffalo.

[FR Doc. E6–584 Filed 1–18–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–15–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R06–OAR–2005–TX–0014; FRL–8022–3]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Texas; Revisions to Control Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) Emissions; VOC Control for Facilities in the Dallas/Fort Worth (DFW) Ozone Nonattainment Area

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve Texas State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions. The revisions pertain to regulations to control VOC emissions from VOC transfer operations and solvent using processes. The revisions allow use of gasoline vapor recovery systems approved by Texas, and add new requirements to control VOC emissions from motor vehicle fuel dispensing facilities and surface coating facilities in Ellis, Johnson, Kaufman, Parker, and Rockwall Counties. These counties are part of the DFW 8-hour ozone standard nonattainment area. The revisions also amend regulations on use of cleaning solvents. We are proposing to approve the revisions pursuant to sections 110, 116 and part D of the Federal Clean Air Act (CAA). The control of VOC emissions will help to attain and maintain the 8-hour national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) for ozone in Texas. Approval will make the revised regulations Federally enforceable.

DATES: Written comments should be received on or before February 21, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Mr. Thomas Diggs, Chief, Air Planning Section (6PD–L), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200, Dallas, Texas 75202–2733. Comments may also be submitted electronically or through hand delivery/courier by following the detailed instructions in the **ADDRESSES** section of the direct final rule located in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carl Young, Air Planning Section (6PD–L), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75202–2733, telephone 214–665–6645; fax number 214–665–7263; e-mail address young.carl@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no relevant adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives relevant adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of the rule, and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

For additional information, see the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: January 6, 2006.

Lawrence E. Starfield,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 6.

[FR Doc. 06–434 Filed 1–18–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 20

[WT Docket No. 05–265; DA 05–3183]

Reexamination of Roaming Obligations of Commercial Mobile Radio Service Providers

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of reply comment period.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau extends the period for reply comment on the *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM)* in this proceeding. The deadline to file reply comments is extended from December 27, 2005 to January 26, 2006. The action is taken to respond to a joint request filed on behalf of a number of carriers and trade associations, representing a cross-section of the wireless industry, to extend the reply comment deadline by 30 days.

DATES: Reply comments due January 26, 2006.

ADDRESSES: You may submit reply comments, identified by WT Docket No. 05–265, by any of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- Federal Communications Commission's Web site: <http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/ecfs/>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: Include the docket number(s) in the subject line of the message.
- People with Disabilities: Contact the FCC to request reasonable accommodations (accessible format documents, sign language interpreters, CART, etc.) by e-mail: FCC504@fcc.gov or phone: 202–418–0530 or TTY: 202–418–0432.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eli Johnson at (202) 418–1395, Eli.Johnson@fcc.gov, or Won Kim (202) 418–1368, Won.Kim@fcc.gov, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, Spectrum and Competition Policy Division.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This proposed rulemaking, 70 FR 56612, September 28, 2005, concerns a decision to examine whether the Commission's current rules regarding roaming requirements applicable to CMRS providers should be modified given the current state of the CMRS market. The full text of the *NPRM* and comments filed in response to the *NRPM* are available for public inspection on the Commission's Internet site at <http://www.fcc.gov>. It is also available for inspection and copying during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room CY–A257), 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. The full text of this document also may be purchased from the Commission's duplication contractor, Best Copy and Printing Inc., Portals II, 445 12th St., SW., Room CY–B402, Washington, DC 20554; telephone (202) 488–5300; fax (202) 488–5563; e-mail FCC@BCPIWEB.COM.

Federal Communications Commission.

Catherine W. Seidel,

Acting Chief, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau.

[FR Doc. 06–456 Filed 1–18–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

49 CFR Part 1105

[STB Ex Parte No. 647]

Class Exemption for Expedited Abandonment Procedure for Class II and Class III Railroads

AGENCY: Surface Transportation Board, DOT.

ACTION: Advance notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Surface Transportation Board (Board) has received a proposal to create a class exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502 for Class II and Class III railroads¹ from the prior approval requirements for abandonments under 49 U.S.C. 10903. A public hearing was held on August 31, 2004, to discuss the proposal. Before deciding whether to issue a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPR), the Board seeks comments from interested persons on this proposal and possible alternatives to it, as detailed below.

DATES: Notices of intent to participate in this rulemaking process are due on February 2, 2006. Comments are due on March 6, 2006. Replies to comments are due on April 4, 2006.

ADDRESSES: All notices of intent to participate and comments may be submitted either via the Board's e-filing format or in the traditional paper format. Any person using e-filing should comply with the instructions found on the Board's <http://www.stb.dot.gov> Web site, at the "E-FILING" link. Any person submitting a filing in the traditional paper format should send an original and 10 paper copies of the filing (referring to STB Ex Parte No. 647) to: Surface Transportation Board, 1925 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20423–0001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joseph Dettmar, (202) 565–1609. [Assistance for the hearing impaired is available through the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339.]

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 15, 2003, sixty-five short-line and

regional carriers (petitioners)² filed a petition to institute a proceeding under 49 U.S.C. 10502 to exempt a class of small carriers from the prior approval requirements for abandonments under 49 U.S.C. 10903. Petitioners included a detailed proposal, including revised rules for 49 CFR 1152.50 (exempt abandonments) and 1152.27 (offers of financial assistance). The Board issued a decision on August 13, 2003, to institute a proceeding and held a public hearing on August 31, 2004, to discuss the issues raised in petitioners' filing.

The Board has exclusive and plenary jurisdiction over the abandonment of rail lines. *Chicago & N.W. Transp. Co. v. Kalo Brick & Tile Co.*, 450 U.S. 311, 319–21 (1981) (*Kalo Brick*); *Phillips Co. v. Denver & Rio Grande Western R. Co.*, 97 F.3d 1375, 1376–78 (10th Cir. 1996), *cert. denied*, 521 U.S. 1104 (1997). Under 49 U.S.C. 10903, the Board may authorize abandonment if it finds that the present or future public convenience and necessity (PC&N) require or permit the abandonment. In making this public interest determination, the Board

² The sixty-five carriers are: Allegheny & Eastern Railroad, Inc.; Bradford Industrial Rail, Inc.; Buffalo & Pittsburgh Railroad, Inc.; Carolina Coastal Railway, Inc.; Commonwealth Railway, Inc.; Chicago South Shore & South Bend Railroad; Chattanooga & Gulf Railroad Co., Inc.; Connecuh Valley Railroad Co., Inc.; Corpus Christi Terminal Railroad, Inc.; The Dansville & Mount Morris Railroad Company; Eastern Idaho Railroad, Inc.; Genesee & Wyoming Railroad Company; Golden Isles Terminal Railroad, Inc.; H&S Railroad Co., Inc.; Illinois Indiana Development Company, LLC; Illinois & Midland Railroad Company, Inc.; Kansas & Oklahoma Railroad, Inc.; Knoxville & Holston River Railroad Co., Inc.; Lancaster and Chester Railway Company; Laurinburg & Southern Railroad Co., Inc.; Louisiana & Delta Railroad, Inc.; Louisville & Indiana Railroad Company; Minnesota Prairie Line, Inc.; Montana Rail Link, Inc.; New York & Atlantic Railway Company; Pacific Harbor Line, Inc.; Palouse River & Coulee City Railroad, Inc.; Pennsylvania Southwestern Railroad, Inc.; Piedmont & Atlantic Railroad Inc.; Pittsburgh & Shawmut Railroad, Inc.; Portland & Western Railroad, Inc.; Rochester & Southern Railroad, Inc.; Rocky Mount & Western Railroad Co., Inc.; St. Lawrence & Atlantic Railroad Company; Salt Lake City Southern Railroad Company; Savannah Port Terminal Railroad, Inc.; South Buffalo Railway Company; South Kansas & Oklahoma Railroad Company; Stillwater Central Railroad; Talleyrand Terminal Railroad, Inc.; Three Notch Railroad Co., Inc.; Timber Rock Railroad, Inc.; Twin Cities & Western Railroad Company; Utah Railway Company; Willamette & Pacific Railroad, Inc.; Wiregrass Central Railroad Company, Inc.; York Railway Company; AN Railway, LLC; Atlantic and Western Railway, Limited Partnership; Bay Line Railroad, LLC; Central Midland Railway; Copper Basin Railway, Inc.; East Tennessee Railway, L.P.; Galveston Railroad, L.P.; Georgia Central Railway, L.P.; The Indiana Rail Road Company; KWT Railway, Inc.; Little Rock & Western Railway, L.P.; M & B Railroad, L.L.C.; Tomahawk Railway, Limited Partnership; Valdosta Railway, L.P.; Western Kentucky Railway, LLC; Wheeling & Lake Erie Railway Company; Wilmington Terminal Railroad, L.P.; and Yolo Shortline Railroad Company.

¹ The Board's regulations divide railroads into three classes based on annual carrier operating revenues. Class I railroads are those with annual carrier operating revenues of \$250 million or more (in 1991 dollars); Class II railroads are those with annual carrier operating revenues of more than \$20 million but less than \$250 million (in 1991 dollars); and Class III railroads are those with annual carrier operating revenues of \$20 million or less (in 1991 dollars). See 49 CFR part 1201, General Instruction 1–1(a).