

PART 370—NOTICE AND RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS FOR STATUTORY LICENSES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 370 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 17 U.S.C. 112(e)(4), 114(f)(4)(A), 803(b)(6)(A).

■ 2. Section 370.3 is amended by revising paragraph (i) to read as follows:

§ 370.3 Reports of use of sound recordings under statutory license for preexisting subscription services.

* * * * *

(i) In any case in which a preexisting subscription service has not provided a report of use required under this section for use of sound recordings under section 112(e) or section 114 of title 17 of the United States Code, or both, prior to January 1, 2019, reports of use for the corresponding calendar year filed by other preexisting subscription services may serve as the reports of use for the non-reporting service, solely for purposes of distribution of any corresponding royalties by the Collective.

■ 3. Section 370.4 is amended by revising paragraph (f) to read as follows:

§ 370.4 Reports of use of sound recordings under statutory license for nonsubscription transmission services, preexisting satellite digital audio radio services, new subscription services and business establishment services.

* * * * *

(f) In any case in which a nonsubscription transmission service, preexisting satellite digital audio radio service, new subscription service, or business establishment service has not provided a report of use required under this section for use of sound recordings under section 112(e) or section 114 of title 17 of the United States Code, or both, prior to January 1, 2019, reports of use for the corresponding calendar year filed by other services of the same type may serve as the reports of use for the non-reporting service, solely for purposes of distribution of any corresponding royalties by the Collective.

Dated: June 13, 2022.

Suzanne M. Barnett,
Chief U.S. Copyright Royalty Judge.

Approved by:
Carla D. Hayden,
Librarian of Congress.

[FR Doc. 2022–13944 Filed 6–29–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1410–72–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

45 CFR Part 75

RIN 0991–AC16

Grants Regulation; Removal of Non-Discrimination Provisions and Repromulgation of Administrative Provisions Under the Uniform Grant Regulation

AGENCY: Assistant Secretary for Financial Resources (ASFR), Health and Human Services (HHS or the Department).

ACTION: Final rule; delay of effective date.

SUMMARY: The U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia in *Facing Foster Care et al. v. HHS*, 21–cv–00308 (D.D.C. Feb. 2, 2021), has delayed the effective date of portions of the final rule making amendments to the Uniform Administrative Requirements promulgated on January 12, 2021.

DATES: Pursuant to court order, the effective date of the final rule published January 12, 2021, at 86 FR 2257, is delayed until July 1, 2022. See

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION for details.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Johanna Nestor at *Johanna.Nestor@hhs.gov* or 202–205–5904.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 12, 2021 (86 FR 2257), the Department issued amendments to and repromulgated portions of the Uniform Administrative Requirements, 45 CFR part 75. 86 FR 2257. That rule repromulgated provisions of part 75 that were originally published late in 2016. It also made amendments to 45 CFR 75.300(c) & (d).

Specifically, the rule amended subsection (c), which had stated, “It is a public policy requirement of HHS that no person otherwise eligible will be excluded from participation in, denied the benefits of, or subjected to discrimination in the administration of HHS programs and services based on non-merit factors such as age, disability, sex, race, color, national origin, religion, gender identity, or sexual orientation. Recipients must comply with this public policy requirement in the administration of programs supported by HHS awards.” The rule amended subsection (c) to state, “It is a public policy requirement of HHS that no person otherwise eligible will be excluded from participation in, denied the benefits of, or subjected to discrimination in the administration of HHS programs and services, to the extent doing so is prohibited by federal statute.”

Additionally, the rule amended paragraph (d), which had stated, “In accordance with the Supreme Court decisions in *United States v. Windsor* and in *Obergefell v. Hodges*, all recipients must treat as valid the marriages of same-sex couples. This does not apply to registered domestic partnerships, civil unions or similar formal relationships recognized under state law as something other than a marriage.” The rule amended paragraph (d) to state, “HHS will follow all applicable Supreme Court decisions in administering its award programs.”

On February 2, the portions of rule-making amendments to § 75.300 (and a conforming amendment at § 75.101(f)) were challenged in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. *Facing Foster Care et al. v. HHS*, 21–cv–00308 (D.D.C. filed Feb. 2, 2021). On February 9, the court postponed, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 705, the effective date of the challenged portions of the rule by 180 days, until August 11, 2021.¹ On August 5, the court again postponed the effective date of the rule until November 9, 2021.² On November 3, the court further postponed the effective date of the rule until January 17, 2022.³ On December 27, the court further postponed the effective date of the rule until April 18, 2022.⁴ On April 15, the court further postponed the effective date of the rule until May 2, 2022.⁵ On April 29, the court further postponed the effective date of the rule until June 1, 2022.⁶ On May 26, the court further postponed the effective date of the rule until July 1, 2022.⁷ The Department is issuing this notice to apprise the public of the court’s order.

Xavier Becerra,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2022–13888 Filed 6–29–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4151–19–P

¹ See Order, *Facing Foster Care et al. v. HHS*, No. 21–cv–00308 (D.D.C. Feb. 2, 2021) (order postponing effective date), ECF No. 18.

² See Order, *Facing Foster Care et al. v. HHS*, No. 21–cv–00308 (D.D.C. Aug. 5, 2021) (order postponing effective date), ECF No. 23.

³ See Order, *Facing Foster Care et al. v. HHS*, No. 21–cv–00308 (D.D.C. Nov. 3, 2021) (order postponing effective date), ECF No. 8.

⁴ See Order, *Facing Foster Care et al. v. HHS*, No. 21–cv–00308 (D.D.C. Dec. 27, 2021) (order postponing effective date and holding the case in abeyance).

⁵ See Order, *Facing Foster Care et al. v. HHS*, No. 21–cv–00308 (D.D.C. Apr. 15, 2022) (order postponing effective date), ECF No. 34.

⁶ See Order, *Facing Foster Care et al. v. HHS*, No. 21–cv–00308 (D.D.C. Apr. 29, 2022) (order postponing effective date), ECF No. 37.

⁷ See Order, *Facing Foster Care et al. v. HHS*, No. 21–cv–00308 (D.D.C. May 26, 2022) (order postponing effective date), ECF No. 39.