

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in 21 U.S.C. 823(a) and determined that the registration of Mallinckrodt Inc. to manufacture the listed basic classes of controlled substances is consistent with the public interest at this time. DEA has investigated Mallinckrodt Inc. to ensure that the company's registration is consistent with the public interest. The investigation has included inspection and testing of the company's physical security systems, verification of the company's compliance with State and local laws, and a review of the company's background and history. Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823, and in accordance with 21 CFR 1301.33, the above named company is granted registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed.

Dated: September 20, 2006.

Joseph T. Rannazzisi,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-16021 Filed 9-28-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Registration

By Notice dated June 1, 2006, and published in the **Federal Register** on June 8, 2006, (71 FR 33316), Organix Inc., 240 Salem Street, Woburn, Massachusetts 01801, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of Cocaine (9041), a basic class of controlled substance listed in Schedule II.

The company plans to manufacture a chemical that is a derivative of cocaine that will be sold to another company for research purposes.

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in 21 U.S.C. 823(a) and determined that the registration of Organix Inc. to manufacture the listed basic class of controlled substance is consistent with the public interest at this time. DEA has investigated Organix Inc. to ensure that the company's registration is consistent with the public interest. The investigation has included inspection and testing of the company's physical security systems, verification of the company's compliance with State and local laws, and a review of the company's background and history.

Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823, and in accordance with 21 CFR 1301.33, the above named company is granted registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic class of controlled substance listed.

Dated: September 20, 2006.

Joseph T. Rannazzisi,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-16020 Filed 9-28-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Registration

By Notice dated May 17, 2006, and published in the **Federal Register** on May 25, 2006, (71 FR 30167), Stepan Company, Natural Products Dept., 100 W. Hunter Avenue, Maywood, New Jersey 07607, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed in Schedule II:

Drug	Schedule
Cocaine (9041)	II
Benzoylcegonine (9180)	II

The company plans to manufacture the listed controlled substances in bulk for distribution to its customers.

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in 21 U.S.C. 823(a) and determined that the registration of Stepan Company to manufacture the listed basic classes of controlled substances is consistent with the public interest at this time. DEA has investigated Stepan Company to ensure that the company's registration is consistent with the public interest. The investigation has included inspection and testing of the company's physical security systems, verification of the company's compliance with state and local laws, and a review of the company's background and history. Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823, and in accordance with 21 CFR 1301.33, the above named company is granted registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed.

Dated: September 20, 2006.

Joseph T. Rannazzisi,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-16054 Filed 9-28-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review: Comment Request

September, 21 2006.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by contacting Ira Mills at the Department of Labor on 202-693-4122 (this is not a toll-free number) or e-mail: Mills.Ira@dol.gov. This ICR can also be accessed online at <http://www.doleta.gov/OMBCN/OMBControlNumber.cfm>.

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for ETA, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, 202-395-7316 (this is not a toll free number), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
 - Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
 - Enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and
 - Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.
- Agency: Employment and Training Administration (ETA).

Type of Review: Extension without change to a currently approved collection.

Title: Title 29 CFR Part 30—Equal Employment Opportunity in Apprenticeship Training.

OMB Number: 1205-0224.

Frequency: On occasion.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit, individuals or households, not-for-profit institutions, Federal government, and State, local or tribal government.

Type of Response: Reporting and recordkeeping.

Number of Respondents: 28,800.

Annual Responses: 50,770.

Average Response Time: 30 minutes.

Total Annual Burden Hours: 5,842.

Total Annualized Capital/Startup Costs: 0.

Total Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): 0.

Description: Title 29 CFR part 30 sets forth policies and procedures to promote equality of opportunity in apprenticeship programs registered with the U.S. Department of Labor and recognized State Apprenticeship Agencies.

Ira L. Mills,

Departmental Clearance Officer/Team Leader.

[FR Doc. E6-15990 Filed 9-28-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-59,323; TA-W-59,094A]

Moore Wallace Business Form Design Division, A RR Donnelly Company, Monroe, WI, Including an Employee Located in Sumerduck, VA; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), and Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor issued a Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on May 17, 2006, applicable to workers of The Moore Wallace, Business Form Design Division, A RR Donnelly Company, Monroe, Wisconsin. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on June 29, 2006 (71 FR 33488).

At the request of a State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New information shows that worker separation has occurred involving an employee of the Monroe, Wisconsin facility of Moore Wallace, Business Form Design Division, A RR Donnelly Company located in Sumerduck, Virginia. Ms. Deb Orf provided designing function services for the production of business form designs which are used within the subject firm to produce business forms for sale.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include an employee of the Monroe, Wisconsin facility of Moore Wallace, Business Form Design Division, A RR Donnelly Company located in Sumerduck Virginia.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Moore Wallace, Business Form Design Division, A RR Donnelly Company, Monroe, Wisconsin who were adversely affected by a shift in production to India.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-59,323 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of Moore Wallace, Business Form Design Division, A RR Donnelly Company, Monroe Wisconsin (TA-W-59,323), and including an employee located in Sumerduck, Virginia (TA-W-59,323A), who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after April 28, 2005, through May 17, 2008, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, DC this 22nd day of September 2006.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6-16100 Filed 9-28-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[IA-06-036]

In the Matter of Mr. Gary Abel; Confirmatory Order (Effective Immediately)

I

Mr. Gary Abel is a former General Manager of the Sterigenics International Inc. (Sterigenics), facility in Tustin, California.

II

An NRC inspection was conducted at Sterigenics' facility in Tustin, California

on October 18-19, 2004, to review compliance with the NRC's June 6, 2003, Order Imposing Compensatory Measures (Order) for Panoramic and Underwater Irradiator Licensees. Following that inspection, an investigation was initiated by the NRC Office of Investigations (OI) in order to determine whether Mr. Abel, who was the General Manager of the facility at the time, engaged in deliberate misconduct. Based on the results of the NRC inspection and investigation, the NRC identified that Mr. Abel acted in apparent violation of 10 CFR 30.10, "Deliberate misconduct." 10 CFR 30.10 states, in part, that any employee of a licensee may not: (1) Engage in deliberate misconduct that causes a licensee to be in violation of any order issued by the Commission; or (2) deliberately submit to the NRC information that the person submitting the information knows to be incomplete or inaccurate in some respect material to the NRC. Attachment 3 of the NRC's June 6, 2003 Order requires certain specific handling requirements for documents containing Safeguards Information-Modified Handling (SGI-M).

Based on the inspection and investigation, the NRC was concerned that between December 2003 and April 2004, Mr. Abel engaged in deliberate misconduct when he faxed, over unprotected telecommunications circuits, a document containing SGI-M, when he knew this was prohibited by the Order. This act caused the licensee to be in violation of the June 6, 2003, Order. In addition, the NRC was concerned that Mr. Abel submitted to the NRC information that he knew was incomplete or inaccurate regarding some of the circumstances relating to the faxed document.

III

In a letter dated March 21, 2006, the NRC identified to Mr. Abel an apparent violation of 10 CFR 30.10, and offered Mr. Abel the opportunity to either request a predecisional enforcement conference or request Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) with the NRC in an attempt to resolve any disagreement on whether a violation occurred and if a violation did in fact occur, the appropriate enforcement sanction. In response to the March 21, 2006 letter, Mr. Abel requested ADR to resolve the matter with the NRC. ADR is a process in which a neutral mediator with no decision-making authority assists the NRC and Mr. Abel to resolve any differences regarding the matter.

An ADR session was held between Mr. Abel and the NRC in Lisle, Illinois,