

Pursuant to section 60.13 of 36 CFR part 60 written comments concerning the significance of these properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation may be forwarded by United States Postal Service, to the National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1849 C St., NW., 2280, Washington, DC 20240; by all other carriers, National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1201 Eye St., NW., 8th floor, Washington, DC 20005; or by fax, 202-371-6447. Written or faxed comments should be submitted by January 11, 2006.

John W. Roberts,

Acting Chief, National Register/National Historic Landmarks Program.

COLORADO

Denver County

Doyle—Benton House, 1301 Lafayette St., Denver, 05001527

MASSACHUSETTS

Middlesex County

Charles River Reservation Parkways, (Metropolitan Park System of Greater Boston MPS) Soldiers Field, Nonantum, Leo Birmingham, Arsenal, Greenough, N. Beacon, Charles River, Norumbega, Recreation, Boston, 05001530

Mystic Valley Parkway, Metropolitan Park System of Greater Boston MPS, (Metropolitan Park System of Greater Boston MPS) Mystic Valley Parkway, Arlington, 05001529

Norfolk County

West Roxbury Parkway, Metropolitan Park System of Greater Boston, (Metropolitan Park System of Greater Boston MPS) West Roxbury Parkway, Bellevue Hill, E. Border, W. Border Rds., Brookline, 05001528

NEW YORK

Allegany County

Belmont Grange #1243, 32 Willets Ave., Belmont, 05001533

Broome County

Harpursville United Methodist Church, NY 79, Harpursville, 05001532

Chenango County

West Hill Cemetery, NY 80, Sherburne, 05001534

Greene County

A. T. House, 435 Main St., Oak Hill, 05001538

Monroe County

McVean, David, House, 805 North Rd., Scottsville, 05001531
Wheatland Baptist Cemetery, McGinnis, Belcoda and Harmon Rds., Belcoda, 05001536

Niagara County

United Office Building, 220 Rainbow Blvd., Niagara Falls, 05001537

Sullivan County

Hart House, 50 Hamilton St., Burlington, 05001535

NORTH CAROLINA

Dare County

Markham—Albertson—Stinson Cottage, 4300 W. Soundside Rd., Nags Head, 05001544

OREGON

Multnomah County

Lewthwaite, Alexander and Cornelia, House, 1715 SE Montgomery Dr., Portland, 05001539

Miller, Fred O., House, 2339 NE Thompson St., Portland, 05001540

TEXAS

Dallas County

1926 Republic National Bank, 1309 Main St., Dallas, 05001543

Purvin—Hexter Building, 2038 Commerce St., Dallas, 05001541

Franklin County

Franklin County Courthouse and Jail, 200 N Kaufman St., Mount Vernon, 05001542

VIRGINIA

Fairfax County

Manassas Battlefield Historic District (Boundary Increase), (Civil War Properties in Prince William County MPS) Address Restricted, Manassas, 05001546

Richmond Independent City

Scott House, 909 W. Franklin St., Richmond (Independent City), 05001545

WEST VIRGINIA

Hancock County

Baker's Fort, WV 2, Newell, 05001547

[FR Doc. E5-7799 Filed 12-23-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-51-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 332-288]

Ethyl Alcohol for Fuel Use: Determination of the Base Quantity of Imports

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of determination.

DATES: *Effective Date:* December 16, 2005.

SUMMARY: The United States International Trade Commission (USITC or the Commission) is required to determine annually the U.S. domestic market for fuel ethyl alcohol during the 12-month period ending on the preceding September 30. This determination is to be used to establish the "base quantity" of imports of fuel ethyl alcohol with a zero percent local

feedstock requirement that can be imported from CBERA-beneficiary countries. The base quantity to be used by U.S. Customs and Border Protection in the administration of the law is the greater of 60 million gallons or 7 percent of U.S. consumption, as determined by the Commission. Beyond the base quantity of imports, progressively higher local feedstock requirements are placed on imports of fuel ethyl alcohol and mixtures from the CBERA-beneficiary countries.

For the 12-month period ending September 30, 2005, the Commission has determined the level of U.S. consumption of fuel ethyl alcohol to be 3.83 billion gallons. Seven percent of this amount is 268.1 million gallons (these figures have been rounded). Therefore, the base quantity for 2006 should be 268.1 million gallons.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Douglas Newman (202) 205-3328, douglas.newman@usitc.gov, in the Commission's Office of Industries. For information on legal aspects of the investigation contact Mr. William Gearhart, william.gearhart@usitc.gov, of the Commission's Office of the General Counsel at (202) 205-3091.

Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting our TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

Background: Section 7 of the Steel Trade Liberalization Program Implementation Act of 1989 ("the Act"), as amended (19 U.S.C. 2703 note), which concerns local feedstock requirements for fuel ethyl alcohol imported by the United States from CBERA-beneficiary countries, requires that the Commission determine annually the U.S. domestic market for fuel ethyl alcohol. For purposes of making determinations of the U.S. market for fuel ethyl alcohol, the Commission instituted Investigation No. 332-288, Ethyl Alcohol for Fuel Use: Determination of the Base Quantity of Imports, in March 1990. The Commission uses official statistics of the U.S. Department of Energy to make these determinations, as well as the PIERS database of the Journal of Commerce which is based on U.S. export declarations.

Section 225 of the Customs and Trade Act of 1990 (Pub. L. 101-382, August 20, 1990) amended the original language set forth in the Steel Trade Liberalization Program Implementation Act of 1989. The amendment requires the Commission to make a determination of the U.S. domestic market for fuel ethyl alcohol for each year after 1989.

Issued: December 21, 2005.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E5-7858 Filed 12-23-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Request for Comments Concerning the Institution of a Section 751(B) Review Investigation on Polychloroprene Rubber From Japan

AGENCY: United States International
Trade Commission.

ACTION: Request for comments regarding
the institution of a section 751(b) review
investigation concerning the
Commission's affirmative determination
in investigation No. AA1921-129,
Polychloroprene Rubber from Japan.

SUMMARY: The Commission invites
comments from the public on whether
changed circumstances exist sufficient
to warrant the institution of an
investigation pursuant to section 751(b)
of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C.
1675(b)) (the Act) to review the
Commission's affirmative determination
in investigation No. AA1921-129. The
purpose of the proposed review
investigation is to determine whether
revocation of the existing antidumping
finding on imports of polychloroprene
rubber from Japan is likely to lead to
continuation or recurrence of material
injury to an industry in the United
States (19 U.S.C. 1675(b)(2)(A)).
Polychloroprene rubber is provided for
in subheadings 4002.41, 4002.49, and
4003.00 of the Harmonized Tariff
Schedule of the United States.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 27, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
George Deyman (202-205-3197), Office
of Investigations, U.S. International
Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW.,
Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-
impaired persons can obtain
information on this matter by contacting
the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-
205-1810. Persons with mobility
impairments who will need special
assistance in gaining access to the
Commission should contact the Office
of the Secretary at 202-205-2000.
General information concerning the
Commission may also be obtained by
accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for
this proposed investigation may be
viewed on the Commission's electronic
docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On July 31, 1973, the
Treasury Department (Treasury)
determined that imports of
polychloroprene rubber from Japan are
being sold in the United States at less
than fair value (LTFV) within the
meaning of the Antidumping Act, 1921,
as amended (19 U.S.C. 160 *et seq.*) (38
FR 20630, August 2, 1973), and on
October 31, 1973, the Commission
determined, within the meaning of the
Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended,
that an industry in the United States is
being, or is likely to be, injured by
reason of imports of such LTFV
merchandise. Accordingly, Treasury
ordered that antidumping duties be
imposed on such imports (38 FR 33593,
December 6, 1973). On December 8,
1998, the Commerce Department
(Commerce) determined that revocation
of the antidumping finding on
polychloroprene rubber from Japan
would be likely to lead to continuation
or recurrence of dumping (63 FR 67656,
December 8, 1998), and on July 30,
1999, the Commission determined that
revocation of the antidumping finding
would be likely to lead to continuation
or recurrence of material injury to an
industry in the United States within a
reasonably foreseeable time (64 FR
41458, July 30, 1999, and 64 FR 42962,
August 6, 1999). Accordingly,
Commerce ordered that the
antidumping finding be continued (64
FR 47765, September 1, 1999). On
November 4, 2004, Commerce
determined that revocation of the
antidumping finding on
polychloroprene rubber from Japan
would be likely to lead to continuation
or recurrence of dumping (69 FR 64276,
November 4, 2004), and on July 21,
2005, the Commission determined that
revocation of the antidumping finding
would be likely to lead to continuation
or recurrence of material injury to an
industry in the United States within a
reasonably foreseeable time (70 FR
42101, July 21, 2005). Accordingly,
Commerce again ordered that the
antidumping finding be continued (70
FR 44893, August 4, 2005).

On November 22, 2005, the
Commission received a request to
review its affirmative determination in
investigation No. AA-1921-129
pursuant to section 751(b) of the Act (19
U.S.C. 1675(b)). The request was filed
by The Gates Corp. (Gates), Denver, CO.
Gates alleges that the October 2005
announcement by the Italian firm
Polimeri Europa ("Polimeri"), one of the
world's largest producers of
polychloroprene rubber, that it was
permanently closing its sole
manufacturing plant is a fundamental

change that constitutes changed
circumstances sufficient to warrant
review of the antidumping finding.
Specifically, Gates contends that this
development "represents a very
important change in the status quo,"
that the loss of a supplier of this
magnitude will have a major impact on
the availability of supply and conditions
of competition of polychloroprene
rubber, that continuation of the
antidumping finding undermines access
to polychloroprene rubber, and that
revocation of the antidumping finding is
not likely to result in the continuation
or recurrence of material injury to the
domestic polychloroprene rubber
industry.

Written comments requested.—
Pursuant to section 207.45(b) of the
Commission's Rules of Practice and
Procedure, the Commission requests
comments concerning whether the
alleged changed circumstances, brought
about by the closing of Polimeri's sole
polychloroprene rubber plant, are
sufficient to warrant institution of a
review investigation.

Written submissions.—Comments
must be filed with the Secretary to the
Commission no later than 45 days after
the date of publication of this notice in
the **Federal Register**. All written
submissions must conform with the
provisions of section 201.8 of the
Commission's rules; any submissions
that contain business proprietary
information must also conform with the
requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3,
and 207.7 of the Commission's rules.
The Commission's rules do not
authorize filing of submissions with the
Secretary by facsimile or electronic
means, except to the extent permitted by
section 201.8 of the Commission's rules,
as amended, 67 FR 68036 (November 8,
2002). Even where electronic filing of a
document is permitted, certain
documents must also be filed in paper
form, as specified in II(C) of the
Commission's Handbook on Electronic
Filing Procedures, 67 FR 68168, 68173
(November 8, 2002).

Additional written submissions to the
Commission, including requests
pursuant to section 201.12 of the
Commission's rules, shall not be
accepted unless good cause is shown for
accepting such submissions, or unless
the submission is pursuant to a specific
request by a Commissioner or
Commission staff.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c)
and 207.3 of the Commission's rules,
each document filed by a party to the
investigation must be served on all other
parties to the investigation (as identified
by either the public or BPI service list),
and a certificate of service must be