

September 13, 1999, the Department published its amended final results of administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on antifriction bearings (other than tapered roller bearings) and parts thereof, from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Singapore, Sweden, and the United Kingdom, covering the period May 1, 1992, through April 30, 1993, with respect to all companies except NTN, Koyo, and Honda (64 FR 49442).

The CIT and CAFC have affirmed the Department's original determination in *AFBs 4* with respect to Honda. Therefore, since neither court remanded the determination with respect to Honda to the Department, the Department has not changed its final results of review with respect to Honda and no amendment to *AFBs 4* is necessary with respect to this company.

However, the Department received remand instructions during the litigation pertaining to NTN and Koyo. The CIT and CAFC issued a number of orders and opinions of which the following have resulted in changes to the antidumping margins we had calculated for NTN and Koyo in *AFBs 4*:

- NSK Ltd., et al. v. United States*, Slip Op. 97-74 (June 17, 1997);
- NSK Ltd., et al. v. United States*, Slip Op. 98-11 (February 4, 1998);

NSK Ltd., et al. v. United States, Slip Op. 99-135 (December 17, 1999).

In the context of the above-cited litigation, the CIT and CAFC ordered the Department to make methodological changes and to recalculate the antidumping margins for NTN and Koyo. Specifically, the CIT ordered the Department, *inter alia*, to make the following changes on a company-specific basis:

- NTN—(1) apply a tax-neutral methodology in computing the value-added tax adjustment, (2) deny the adjustment to foreign market value (FMV) for home-market discounts, (3) deny the adjustments to FMV for billing adjustments that were not made solely to in-scope merchandise, (4) exclude sample sales from the home-market database for which NTN received no consideration, (5) allow the adjustment to U.S. indirect selling expenses for interest expense incurred in financing antidumping duty cash deposits, (6) recalculate the cost of production and constructed value without resort to best information available, and (7) correct a clerical error; Koyo—(1) apply a tax-neutral methodology in computing the value-added tax adjustment, (2) reopen the record to allow Koyo to submit documentation showing the nature of the expenses it characterized as non-

operating expenses and subsequently exclude certain items from general expenses for purposes of calculating cost of production and constructed value, (3) re-examine the acceptance of the allocation of air-freight expenses, (4) explain further the basis for accepting Koyo's efficiency variance without adjustment, and (5) correct a clerical error.

The CIT and CAFC have affirmed the Department's final remand results affecting final assessment rates for these reviews of NTN and Koyo. As there are now final and conclusive court decisions in these actions, we are amending our final results of review in these matters and we will subsequently instruct the U.S. Customs Service to liquidate entries subject to these reviews.

Amendment to Final Results

Pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Tariff Act, we are now amending the final results of administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on antifriction bearings (other than tapered roller bearings) and parts thereof from Japan and the period May 1, 1992, through April 30, 1993, with respect to NTN and Koyo. The revised weighted-average margins are as follows:

Company	BBs	CRBs	SPBs
Koyo Seiko	14.90	6.53	(1)
NTN	9.25	7.99	0.43

(1) No shipments or sales subject to this review.

Accordingly, the Department will determine and the U.S. Customs Service will assess appropriate antidumping duties on entries of the subject merchandise made by firms covered by these reviews. Individual differences between United States price and FMV may vary from the percentages listed above. The Department has already issued appraisal instructions to the Customs Service for certain companies whose margins have not changed from those announced in *AFBs 4* and the September 13, 1999, amendment. The Department will issue appraisal instructions to the U.S. Customs Service for NTN, Koyo, and Honda after publication of these amended final results of reviews.

This notice is published pursuant to section 751(a) of the Tariff Act.

Dated: December 20, 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-33203 Filed 12-27-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews: Notice of Termination of Panel Review

- AGENCY: NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.
- ACTION: Notice of consent motion to terminate the panel review of the final antidumping duty administrative review made by the International Trade Administration, respecting certain corrosion resistant carbon steel flat

products from Canada (Secretariat File No. USA-CDA-99-1904-01).

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Notice of Consent Motion to Terminate the Panel Review by the complainants, the panel review is terminated as of December 15, 2000. No panel has been appointed to this panel review. Pursuant to Rule 71(2) of the *Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Review*, this panel review is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Caratina L. Alston, United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Suite 2061, 14th and Constitution Avenue, Washington, DC 20230, (202) 482-5438.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Chapter 19 of the North American Free-Trade Agreement ("Agreement") establishes a mechanism to replace domestic judicial review of final determinations in antidumping and countervailing duty cases involving imports from a NAFTA country with review by independent binational panels. When a Request for

Panel Review is filed, a panel is established to act in place of national courts to review expeditiously the final determination to determine whether it conforms with the antidumping or countervailing duty law of the country that made the determination.

Under Article 1904 of the Agreement, which came into force on January 1, 1994, the Government of the United States, the Government of Canada and the Government of Mexico established *Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews* ("Rules"). These Rules were published in the **Federal Register** on February 23, 1994 (59 FR 8686). The panel review in this matter was requested and terminated pursuant to these Rules.

Dated: December 15, 2000.

Caratina L. Alston,

United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat.
[FR Doc. 00-33051 Filed 12-27-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-GT-U

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), Article 1904]

Binational Panel Reviews: Notice of Termination of Panel Review

AGENCY: NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of consent motion to terminate the panel review of the final antidumping duty administrative review made by the International Trade Administration, respecting certain corrosion resistant carbon steel flat products from Canada (Secretariat File No. USA-CDA-00-1904-02).

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Notice of Consent Motion to Terminate the Panel Review by the complainants, the panel review is terminated as of December 15, 2000. No panel has been appointed to this panel review. Pursuant to Rule 71(2) of the *Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Review*, this panel review is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Caratina L. Alston, United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Suite 2061, 14th and Constitution Avenue, Washington, DC 20230, (202) 482-5438.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Chapter 19 of the North American Free-Trade Agreement ("Agreement") establishes a mechanism to replace domestic judicial review of final determinations in antidumping and countervailing duty

cases involving imports from a NAFTA country with review by independent binational panels. When a Request for Panel Review is filed, a panel is established to act in place of national courts to review expeditiously the final determination to determine whether it conforms with the antidumping or countervailing duty law of the country that made the determination.

Under Article 1904 of the Agreement, which came into force on January 1, 1994, the Government of the United States, the Government of Canada and the Government of Mexico established *Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews* ("Rules"). These Rules were published in the **Federal Register** on February 23, 1994 (59 FR 8686). The panel review in this matter was requested and terminated pursuant to these Rules.

Dated: December 15, 2000.

Caratina L. Alston,

United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat.
[FR Doc. 00-33052 Filed 12-27-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-GT-U

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), Article 1904]

Binational Panel Reviews: Notice of Termination of Panel Review

AGENCY: NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Consent Motion to Terminate the Panel Review of the final antidumping duty administrative review made by the International Trade Administration, respecting Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Mexico (Secretariat File No. USA-CDA-00-1904-08).

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Notice of Consent Motion to Terminate the Panel Review by the complainants, the panel review is terminated as of December 1, 2000. A panel has not been appointed to this panel review. Pursuant to Rule 71(2) of the *Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Review*, this panel review is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Caratina L. Alston, United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, suite 2061, 14th and Constitution Avenue, Washington, DC 20230, (202) 482-5438.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Chapter 19 of the North American Free-Trade Agreement ("Agreement") establishes a

mechanism to replace domestic judicial review of final determinations in antidumping and countervailing duty cases involving imports from a NAFTA country with review by independent binational panels. When a Request for Panel Review is filed, a panel is established to act in place of national courts to review expeditiously the final determination to determine whether it conforms with the antidumping or countervailing duty law of the country that made the determination.

Under Article 1904 of the Agreement, which came into force on January 1, 1994, the Government of the United States, the Government of Canada and the Government of Mexico established *Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews* ("Rules"). These Rules were published in the **Federal Register** on February 23, 1994 (59 FR 8686). The panel review in this matter was requested and terminated pursuant to these Rules.

Dated: December 5, 2000.

Caratina L. Alston,

United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat.
[FR Doc. 00-33241 Filed 12-27-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-GT-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[North American Free-Trade Agreement, Article 1904]

NAFTA Panel Reviews; Request for Panel Review

AGENCY: NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of first request for panel review.

SUMMARY: On November 21, 2000, CEMEX, S.A. de C.V. ("CEMEX") filed a First Request for Panel Review with the United States Section of the NAFTA Secretariat pursuant to Article 1904 of the North American Free Trade Agreement. On November 22, 2000 a second request for panel review was filed by Cementos de Chihuahua, S.A. de C.V. Panel review was requested of the five-year sunset review of the antidumping duty order made by the International Trade Commission, respecting Gray Portland Cement and Clinker from Mexico. This determination was published in the **Federal Register** (65 FR 65327) on November 1, 2000. The NAFTA Secretariat has assigned Case Number USA-MEX-00-1904-10 to these requests.