

Total estimated burden: 288 hours (per year). Burden is defined at 5 CFR 1320.03(b).

Total estimated cost: \$17,074 (per year).

Changes in the estimates: EPA anticipates the annual totals for estimated burden and costs at 288 hours and \$17,074, respectively. There is an expected decrease of hours in the total estimated respondent burden compared to what was identified in the ICR currently approved by OMB due to voluntary reporting that would decrease in frequency from quarterly to annual reporting. State databases are fully developed and tracking is routine, which EPA believes will result in efficiencies that would allow states to minimize hourly burden and cost.

Courtney Kerwin,

Director, Regulatory Support Division.

[FR Doc. 2022–16190 Filed 7–27–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA–HQ–OPP–2022–0602; FRL–10028–01–OCSPP]

Nominations to the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act Scientific Advisory Panel (FIFRA SAP); Request for Comments

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice provides the names, addresses, and professional affiliations of persons recently nominated to serve on the Scientific Advisory Panel (SAP) established under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA). The Agency, at this time, anticipates selecting new members to serve on the panel because of the upcoming expirations of membership terms. Current members of the SAP are eligible for reappointment during this period. Therefore, the appointments completed over the next year may include a mix of newly appointed and reappointed members. Public comments on the current nominations are invited, as these comments will be used to assist the Agency in selecting the new members for the FIFRA SAP.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before August 29, 2022.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA–HQ–OPP–2022–0602, through the *Federal eRulemaking Portal* at <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow

the online instructions for submitting comments. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Additional instructions on commenting and visiting the docket, along with more information about dockets generally, is available at <https://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steven M. Knott, MS, Designated Federal Officer (DFO) and Chief of the Peer Review and Ethics Branch, Mission Support Division (7602M), Office of Program Support, Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention, Environmental Protection Agency; telephone number: (202) 564–0103; email address: knott.steven@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this action apply to me?

This action is directed to the public in general. This action may, however, be of interest to persons who are or may be required to conduct testing of chemical substances under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA) and FIFRA. Given other entities may also be interested, the Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be affected by this action. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the DFO listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

B. What should I consider as I prepare my comments for EPA?

1. *Submitting CBI.* Do not submit CBI information to EPA through [regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov) or email. If your comments contain any information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected, please contact the DFO listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** to obtain special instructions before submitting your comments. Information properly marked as CBI will not be disclosed except in accordance with the procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

2. *Tips for preparing your comments.* When preparing and submitting your comments, see the commenting tips at <https://www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets#tips>.

C. What action is the Agency taking?

This document identifies persons recently nominated to serve on the SAP, from which the Agency, at this time, anticipates selecting new members to serve on the panel because of the upcoming expirations of membership

terms. Public comments on these current nominations are invited, as these comments will be used to assist the Agency in selecting the new members for the FIFRA SAP.

D. What is the Agency's authority for taking this action?

The Panel is a federal advisory committee, established in 1975 under FIFRA (7 U.S.C. 136 *et seq.*), that operates in accordance with requirements of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) (5 U.S.C. appendix 2). In accordance with FACA requirements, a Charter for the FIFRA SAP, dated October 17, 2020, provides for open meetings with opportunities for public participation.

II. Background

The FIFRA SAP serves as a scientific peer review mechanism of EPA's Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention (OCSPP) and is structured to provide independent scientific advice, information, and recommendations to the EPA Administrator on pesticides and pesticide-related issues as to the impact of regulatory actions on health and the environment. The FIFRA SAP is composed of a permanent panel consisting of seven members who are appointed by the EPA Administrator from nominees provided by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) and the National Science Foundation (NSF). Members serve staggered terms of appointment, generally of three to six years duration. FIFRA established a Science Review Board (SRB) consisting of at least 60 scientists who are available to the FIFRA SAP on an ad hoc basis to assist in reviews conducted by the FIFRA SAP.

As a scientific peer review mechanism, the FIFRA SAP provides comments, evaluations, and recommendations to improve the effectiveness and quality of analyses made by Agency scientists. Members of the FIFRA SAP are scientists who have sufficient professional qualifications, including training and experience, to provide expert advice and recommendations to the Agency.

III. Nominees

A. Qualifications of Members

Members are scientists who have sufficient professional qualifications, including training and experience, to provide expert comments on the impact of pesticides on human health and the environment. In accordance with FIFRA section 25(d)(1), the Administrator shall require nominees to the FIFRA SAP to furnish information concerning their

professional qualifications, including educational background, employment history, and scientific publications. No persons shall be ineligible to serve on the FIFRA SAP by reason of their membership on any other advisory committee to a federal department or agency, or their employment by a federal department or agency (except EPA). FIFRA further stipulates that the Agency publish the name, address, and professional affiliation of the nominees in the **Federal Register**.

B. Applicability of Existing Regulations

With respect to the requirements of FIFRA section 25(d) that the Administrator promulgate regulations regarding conflicts of interest, FIFRA SAP members are subject to the provisions of the Standards of Ethical Conduct for Employees of the Executive Branch at 5 CFR part 2635, conflict of interest statutes in Title 18 of the United States Code, and related regulations. Each nominee selected by the Administrator, before being formally appointed, is required to submit a Confidential Financial Disclosure Form, which shall fully disclose, among other financial interests, the nominee's sources of research support, if any.

C. Process of Obtaining Nominees

In accordance with the provisions of FIFRA section 25(d), on March 24, 2022, EPA requested that the NIH and the NSF nominate scientists to fill vacancies occurring on the FIFRA SAP. The Agency requested nominations of experts in the fields of ecological and human health risk assessment with specific expertise in terrestrial ecotoxicology and environmental fate modeling; nano technologies (especially related to exposure and hazard assessments); and microbiology, including antimicrobial susceptibility of a broad range of microorganism types. The Agency noted that experts with specific experience in risk assessment, dose response analysis, computational toxicology (new approach methodologies and in vitro to in vivo extrapolation), allergenicity, population modeling, cheminformatics, bioinformatics, and genomics are preferred. NIH and NSF responded, providing the Agency with a total of 64 nominees. Of these nominees, 24 are interested and available to actively participate in FIFRA SAP meetings (see Unit III.D.). The following 40 individuals are not available to be considered further for membership at this time (numbered for convenience only):

1. *Lisa Cohen Alvarez, Ph.D.*, University of California-Berkeley, Berkeley, California.
2. *Pedro Alvarez, Ph.D.*, Rice University, Houston, Texas.
3. *Cesar Arias, MD, Ph.D.*, Houston Methodist Academic Institute, Houston, Texas.
4. *Alberto Ascherio, Ph.D.*, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts.
5. *Juliana Wardenburg Bubeck, MD, Ph.D.*, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri.
6. *Jiu-Chuan Chen, Ph.D.*, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California.
7. *Weihsueh Chiu, Ph.D.*, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas.
8. *Deborah Dean, MD, MPH*, University of California- San Francisco, San Francisco, California.
9. *Francesca Dominici, Ph.D.*, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts.
10. *Mary Dunlop, Ph.D.*, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts.
11. *Ashlee Earl, Ph.D.*, Broad Institute, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
12. *Barbara Finlayson-Pitts, Ph.D.*, University of California-Irvine, Irvine, California.
13. *Anthony Flores, MD, MPH, Ph.D.*, The University of Texas Health Science Center, Houston, Texas.
14. *David Greenberg, MD, Ph.D.*, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas.
15. *Maria Hadjifrangiskou, Ph.D.*, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee.
16. *Mark Hahn, Ph.D.*, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, Massachusetts.
17. *Pam Hall, Ph.D.*, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico.
18. *Mary Hausbeck, Ph.D.*, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan.
19. *Christy Haynes, Ph.D.*, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota.
20. *Ron Hites, Ph.D.*, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana.
21. *Robert Hurt, Ph.D.*, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island.
22. *Rebecca Klaper, Ph.D.*, University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, Wisconsin.
23. *Gyanu Lamichhane, Ph.D.*, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland.
24. *Kim Lewis, Ph.D.*, Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts.
25. *Jose Ribot Lopez, Ph.D.*, University of Texas, San Antonio, Texas.
26. *Cole Matson, Ph.D.*, Baylor University, Waco, Texas.
27. *Gary W. Miller, Ph.D.*, Columbia University, New York, New York.
28. *Denise Monack, Ph.D.*, Stanford University, Stanford, California.
29. *Suzanne Noble, Ph.D.*, University of California- San Francisco, San Francisco, California.
30. *Kelli Palmer, Ph.D.*, University of Texas, Dallas, Texas.
31. *Lina Quesada-Ocampo, Ph.D.*, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina.
32. *Gemma Reguera, Ph.D.*, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan.
33. *David Reif, Ph.D.*, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina.
34. *Elena Rustchenko, Ph.D.*, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, New York.
35. *Noelle Selin, Ph.D.*, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
36. *Anna Selmecki, Ph.D.*, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota.
37. *Christine Smart, Ph.D.*, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York.
38. *Pedro Tarafa, Ph.D.*, University of Puerto Rico, Mayagüez, Puerto Rico.
39. *Katrina Waters, Ph.D.*, Department of Energy, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
40. *David Weis, Ph.D.*, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia.

D. Interested and Available Nominees

The following are the names, addresses, and professional affiliations of current nominees being considered for membership on the FIFRA SAP (numbered for convenience only). Selected biographical data for each nominee is available in the docket identified under **ADDRESSES** and through the FIFRA SAP website at <https://www.epa.gov/sap>. The Agency, at this time, anticipates selecting new members to fill upcoming vacancies occurring on the Panel.

1. *Erin S. Baker, Ph.D.*, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina.
2. *Dana Boyd Barr, Ph.D.*, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia.
3. *Scott M. Belcher, Ph.D.*, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina.
4. *Jose Cerrato, Ph.D.*, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico.
5. *Deborah A. Cory-Slechta, Ph.D.*, University of Rochester Medical School, Rochester, New York.
6. *Christina Cuomo, Ph.D.*, Broad Institute, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
7. *Maurizio Del Poeta, MD*, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, New York.
8. *Upal Ghosh, Ph.D.*, University of Maryland Baltimore County, Baltimore, Maryland.

9. *Claudia Gunsch, Ph.D.*, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina.
10. *Nishad Jayasundara, Ph.D.*, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina.
11. *Jakub Kostal, Ph.D.*, George Washington University, Washington, District of Columbia.
12. *Raina M. Maier, Ph.D.*, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona.
13. *Stefano Monti, Ph.D.*, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts.
14. *Ingrid Padilla, Ph.D.*, University of Puerto Rico, Mayagüez, Puerto Rico.
15. *Beate R Ritz, MD, Ph.D.*, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California.
16. *Zeev Rosenzweig, Ph.D.*, University of Maryland Baltimore County, Baltimore, Maryland.
17. *Tara L Sabo-Attwood, Ph.D.*, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida.
18. *Maria Reyes Sierra-Alvarez, Ph.D.*, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona.
19. *Elsie M. Sunderland, Ph.D.*, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
20. *Justin Teeguarden, Ph.D.*, Department of Energy, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
21. *Lisa Truong, Ph.D., MBA*, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon.
22. *Paul Westerhoff, Ph.D.*, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona.
23. *Timothy R. Zacharewski, Ph.D.*, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan.
24. *Hao Zhu, Ph.D.*, Rutgers University, Camden, New Jersey.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 136 *et seq.*; 21 U.S.C. 301 *et seq.*; 5 U.S.C. Appendix

Dated: July 22, 2022.

Michal Freedhoff,

Assistant Administrator, Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention.

[FR Doc. 2022–16155 Filed 7–27–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[OMB 3060–0526; FR ID 98395]

Information Collection Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission Under Delegated Authority

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, and as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, the Federal

Communications Commission (FCC or the Commission) invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection.

Comments are requested concerning: whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and ways to further reduce the information collection burden on small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees. The FCC may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the PRA that does not display a valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number.

DATES: Written PRA comments should be submitted on or before September 26, 2022. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all PRA comments to Nicole Ongele, FCC, via email PRA@fcc.gov and to nicole.ongele@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information about the information collection, contact Nicole Ongele, (202) 418–2991.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060–0526.

Title: Section 69.123, Density Pricing Zone Plans, Expanded Interconnection with Local Telephone Company Facilities.

Form Number: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit.

Number of Respondents and Responses: 13 respondents; 13 responses.

Estimated Time per Response: 48 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement.

Obligation to Respond: Required to obtain or retain benefits. Statutory authority for this information collection

is contained in 47 U.S.C. 151, 154(i), 154(j), 201–205, 303(r), and 403.

Total Annual Burden: 624 hours.

Total Annual Cost: \$12,090.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: No impact(s).

Nature and Extent of Confidentiality: No information of a confidential nature is being sought. However, respondents may request materials or information submitted to the Commission be withheld from public inspection under 47 CFR 0.459 of the Commission's rules.

Needs and Uses: The Commission requires Tier 1 local exchange carriers (LECs) to provide expanded opportunities for third party interconnection with their interstate special access facilities. The LECs are permitted to establish a number of rate zones within study areas in which expanded interconnection are operational. In a previous rulemaking, Fifth Report and Order, CC Docket No. 96–262, the Commission allowed price cap LECs to define the scope and number of zones within a study area. These LECs must file and obtain approval of their pricing plans which will be used by FCC staff to ensure that the rates are just, reasonable and nondiscriminatory.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene Dortch,

Secretary, Office of the Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2022–16160 Filed 7–27–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. FDA–2022–N–1592]

Food Safety Modernization Act Third-Party Certification Program User Fee Rate for Fiscal Year 2023

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing the fiscal year (FY) 2023 annual fee rate for recognized accreditation bodies and accredited certification bodies, and the initial and renewal fee rate for accreditation bodies applying to be recognized in the third-party certification program that is authorized by the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act), as amended by the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act (FSMA). We are also announcing the fee rate for certification bodies that