

Dated: September 29, 2015.

Alma Ripps,

Chief, Office of Policy.

[FR Doc. 2015–25065 Filed 10–1–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–EE–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

[RR04084000, XXXR4081X1,
RN.20350010.REG0000]

Colorado River Basin Salinity Control Advisory Council Notice of Public Meeting

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation,
Interior.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Colorado River Basin Salinity Control Advisory Council (Council) was established by the Colorado River Basin Salinity Control Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93–320) (Act) to receive reports and advise Federal agencies on implementing the Act. In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, the Bureau of Reclamation announces that the Council will meet as detailed below. The meeting of the Council is open to the public.

DATES: The Council will convene the meeting on Wednesday, October 28, 2015, at 1:00 p.m. and adjourn at approximately 5:00 p.m. The Council will reconvene the meeting on Thursday, October 29, 2015, at 8:30 a.m. and adjourn the meeting at approximately 11:00 a.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Embassy Suites—Paloma Village Hotel, 3110 East Skyline Drive, Tucson, Arizona. Send written comments to Mr. Kib Jacobson, Bureau of Reclamation, Upper Colorado Regional Office, 125 South State Street, Room 8100, Salt Lake City, Utah 84138–1147; telephone (801) 524–3753; facsimile (801) 524–3847; email at: kjacobson@usbr.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kib Jacobson, telephone (801) 524–3753; facsimile (801) 524–3847; email at: kjacobson@usbr.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Any member of the public may file written statements with the Council before, during, or up to 30 days after the meeting either in person or by mail. To the extent that time permits, the Council chairman will allow public presentation of oral comments at the meeting. To allow full consideration of information by Council members, written notice must be provided at least 5 days prior to the meeting. Any written comments

received prior to the meeting will be provided to Council members at the meeting.

The purpose of the meeting is to discuss the accomplishments of Federal agencies and make recommendations on future activities to control salinity. Council members will be briefed on the status of salinity control activities and receive input for drafting the Council's annual report. The Bureau of Reclamation, Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and United States Geological Survey of the Department of the Interior; the Natural Resources Conservation Service of the Department of Agriculture; and the Environmental Protection Agency will each present a progress report and a schedule of activities on salinity control in the Colorado River Basin. The Council will discuss salinity control activities, the contents of the reports, and the Basin States Program created by Public Law 110–246, which amended the Act.

Public Disclosure

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, please be advised that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: September 1, 2015.

Brent Rhees,

Regional Director, Upper Colorado Region.

[FR Doc. 2015–24645 Filed 10–1–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4332–90–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–1047 (Second Review)]

Ironing Tables and Certain Parts Thereof From China

Determination

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, that revocation of the antidumping duty order on ironing tables and certain parts thereof from

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1675(c)), instituted this review on May 1, 2015 (80 FR 24968) and determined on August 4, 2015 that it would conduct an expedited review (80 FR 50027, August 18, 2015).

The Commission made this determination pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determination in this review on September 28, 2015. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4568 (September 2015), entitled *Ironing Tables and Certain Parts Thereof from China: Investigation No. 731–TA–1047 (Second Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 28, 2015.

William R. Bishop,

*Supervisory Hearings and Information
Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2015–25061 Filed 10–1–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—R Consortium, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on September 15, 2015, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), R Consortium, Inc. (“R Consortium”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties to the venture and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties to the venture are: TIBCO Software Inc., Palo Alto, CA; Oracle Corporation, Burlington, MA; R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, AUSTRIA; Google, Mountain View, CA; Mango Solutions, Chippenham, Wiltshire, UNITED KINGDOM; Alteryx Inc., Irvine, CA; RStudio Inc., Boston,

MA; Hewlett-Packard Company, Palo Alto, CA; Ketchum Trading LLC, Chicago, IL; and Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA.

The general areas of R Consortium's planned activity are to: (a) Advance the worldwide promotion of and support for the R open source language and environment as the preferred language for statistical computing and graphics (the "Environment"); (b) establish, maintain, seek support for, and develop infrastructure projects and technical and infrastructure collaboration initiatives related to the Environment, and such other initiatives as may be appropriate to support, enable and promote the Environment; (c) encourage and increase user adoption, involvement with, and contribution to, the Environment; (d) facilitate communication and collaboration among users and developers of the Environment, the R Consortium and the R Foundation; (e) support and maintain policies set by the Board of Directors; and (f) undertake such other activities as may from time to time be appropriate to further the purpose and achieve the goals set forth above.

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2015-25063 Filed 10-1-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—The Open Group, L.L.C.

Notice is hereby given that, on September 9, 2015, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), The Open Group, L.L.C. ("TOG") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Specifically, Actica Consulting Ltd., Surrey, UNITED KINGDOM; Adept Technology Pvt. Ltd., Chennai, INDIA; Agency for Public Management and eGovernment, Oslo, NORWAY; Alliant Techsystems Operations LLC, Clearwater, FL; Archi Tacts, Inc., Coppell, TX; ARISE Consulting (SuZhou) Pte. Ltd., Shanghai, PEOPLE'S

REPUBLIC OF CHINA; ATE Enterprises, Ltd., High Wycombe, UNITED KINGDOM; Cephas Consulting Corp., Tustin, CA; DAR Solutions L.L.C., Rockford, IL; EA-Xperts, Mannheim, GERMANY; GooBiz, Cergy, FRANCE; HCL Technologies, Ltd., Noida, INDIA; Incepture S.a.r.l., Rabat, MOROCCO; Information Systems Audit and Control Association, Inc., Rolling Meadows, IL; New Zealand Department of Internal Affairs, Wellington, NEW ZEALAND; Optimal Business Growth Ltd., Poole, UNITED KINGDOM; Palm View Consulting, Whitlock, BELGIUM; Shware Systems, Port Richey, FL; Software Engineering Competence Center, Giza, EGYPT; and University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg, LUXEMBOURG, have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, Aoyama Gakuin University, Tokyo, JAPAN; Architecting the Enterprise, High Wycombe, UNITED KINGDOM; Atos International SAS, Bezons, FRANCE; CPP Investment Board, Toronto, CANADA; Eflow, Inc., Shibuya-ku, JAPAN; Ericsson AB, Stockholm, SWEDEN; Estrat TI S.A. de C.V., Mexico City, MEXICO; Keio University, Kanagawa, JAPAN; Kutta Technologies, Inc., Phoenix, AZ; Nanfang Media Group, Guangzhou, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; QinetiQ NA, Stafford, VA; Silosmashers, Inc., Fairfax, VA; Sony Computer Science Laboratories, Tokyo, JAPAN; Strategic Communications, Louisville, KY; Symphony Ltd., Setagaya-ku, JAPAN; The Marlo Group, Southgate, AUSTRALIA; and Universite Laval CeRTAE, Quebec, CANADA, have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and TOG intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On April 21, 1997, TOG filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on June 13, 1997 (62 FR 32371).

The last notification was filed with the Department on May 7, 2015. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on June 4, 2015 (80 FR 31921).

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2015-25056 Filed 10-1-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1140-0020]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Firearms Transaction Record, Part I, Over-the-Counter

AGENCY: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 30-day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice (DOJ), Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF), will submit the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** 80 FR 44999, on July 28, 2015, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for an additional 30 days until November 2, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Carolyn King, Firearms Industry Programs Branch at FederalRegisterNoticeATFF4473@atf.gov. Written comments and/or suggestions can also be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington DC 20503 or send email to OIRA_submissions@omb.eop.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the