

**(n) Related Information**

(1) Refer to Mandatory Continuing Airworthiness Information (MCAI) CAA AD G–2021–0008, dated September 8, 2021, for related information. This MCAI may be found in the AD docket on the internet at <https://www.regulations.gov> by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA–2022–0508.

(2) For more information about this AD, contact Todd Thompson, Aerospace Engineer, Large Aircraft Section, International Validation Branch, FAA, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA 98198; telephone 206–231–3228; email [Todd.Thompson@faa.gov](mailto:Todd.Thompson@faa.gov).

(3) For service information identified in this AD, contact BAE Systems (Operations) Limited, Customer Information Department, Prestwick International Airport, Ayrshire, KA9 2RW, Scotland, United Kingdom; telephone +44 1292 675207; fax +44 1292 675704; email [RApublications@baesystems.com](mailto:RApublications@baesystems.com); internet <https://www.baesystems.com/Businesses/RegionalAircraft/index.htm>. You may view this service information at the FAA, Airworthiness Products Section, Operational Safety Branch, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 206–231–3195.

Issued on April 22, 2022.

**Gaetano A. Sciortino,**

*Deputy Director for Strategic Initiatives, Compliance & Airworthiness Division, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 2022–09418 Filed 5–5–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–13–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR****Employment and Training Administration****20 CFR Part 641**

[Docket No. ETA–2022–0002]

RIN 1205–AC04

**Senior Community Service Employment Program Conforming Changes to the Supporting Older Americans Act of 2020—Updated Guidance on Priority of Service, Durational Limits, and State Plan Submissions**

**AGENCY:** Employment and Training Administration, Labor.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; withdrawal.

**SUMMARY:** On February 14, 2022, the Department of Labor (Department) concurrently published both a direct final rule (DFR) and proposed rule putting forth guidance on priority service, durational limits, and State Plan submissions regarding a State's Senior Community Service Employment Program, or SCSEP. Because the

Department did not receive any significant adverse comments that were within the scope of the rulemaking, the Department is withdrawing the proposed rule and is implementing the DFR.

**DATES:** As of May 6, 2022, the proposed rule published at 87 FR 8218 on February 14, 2022, is withdrawn.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Steven Rietzke, Chief, Division of National Programs, Tools and Technical Assistance, Office of Workforce Investment, at 202–693–3980 (this is not a toll-free number).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In the proposed rule, the Department stated that, if no significant adverse comments were received by March 16, 2022 (the end of the public comment period), the Department would publish a timely withdrawal in the **Federal Register** informing the public that the proposed rule was being withdrawn and the DFR would become effective. As the Department received no significant adverse comments within the scope of the rulemaking prior to the close of the comment period, the Department is withdrawing the proposed rule and implementing the DFR, which took effect April 15, 2022, a notice of which has been published in the **Federal Register** concurrent with this withdrawal.

The Department received seven comments on this rulemaking. Several of these comments were supportive of the provisions this rulemaking proposed to implement. While other comments could be characterized as negative or adverse, none of those comments were significant or within the scope of this rulemaking. One commenter was opposed to the time limit; however, that time limit is set forth in the Supporting Older Americans Act of 2020, and is, therefore, a statutory requirement beyond the purview of the rulemaking. The remaining comments were outside the scope of the rulemaking. The comments are publicly available as part of the rulemaking docket at <https://www.regulations.gov/docket/ETA-2022-0002/comments>.

The Department has determined that none of the negative or adverse comments are significant and within the scope of the rulemaking. Therefore, the proposed rule published at 87 FR 8186 on February 14, 2022, is withdrawn.

**Angela Hanks,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Employment and Training, Labor.*

[FR Doc. 2022–09492 Filed 5–5–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY****Coast Guard****33 CFR Part 100**

[Docket Number USCG–2022–0340]

RIN 1625–AA08

**Special Local Regulation; Ohio River, Louisville, KY**

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, Homeland Security (DHS).

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is proposing to establish a special local regulation for certain waters of the Ohio River. This action is necessary to provide for the safety of life on these navigable waters near Louisville, KY, during a triathlon on July 24, 2022. This proposed rulemaking would prohibit persons and vessels from being in the special local regulation unless authorized by the Captain of the Port (COTP) Ohio Valley or a designated representative. We invite your comments on this proposed rulemaking.

**DATES:** Comments and related material must be received by the Coast Guard on or before June 6, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments identified by docket number USCG–2022–0340 using the Federal Decision Making Portal at <https://www.regulations.gov>. See the “Public Participation and Request for Comments” portion of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for further instructions on submitting comments.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions about this proposed rulemaking, call or email MST3 Bryan Crane, U.S. Coast Guard; telephone 502–779–5336, email [bryan.m.crane@uscg.mil](mailto:bryan.m.crane@uscg.mil).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****I. Table of Abbreviations**

CFR Code of Federal Regulations  
DHS Department of Homeland Security  
FR Federal Register  
NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking  
§ Section  
U.S.C. United States Code

**II. Background, Purpose, and Legal Basis**

On April 21, 2022, Team Magic Inc. notified the Coast Guard that it will be conducting a triathlon from 6 a.m. though 10 a.m. on July 24, 2022. The swim will be held between Mile Markers 602 and 603 on the Ohio River

near Louisville, KY. The swim will consist of roughly 700 participants.

The purpose of this rulemaking would be to ensure the safety of vessels and the navigable waters during the scheduled event. The Coast Guard is proposing this rulemaking under authority in 46 U.S.C. 70034 (previously 33 U.S.C. 1231).

### III. Discussion of Proposed Rule

The COTP is proposing to establish a special local regulation from 6 a.m. to 10 a.m. on July 24, 2022. The special local regulation would cover all navigable waters of the Ohio River from mile markers 602 to 603. The duration of the special local regulation is intended to ensure the safety of vessels and these navigable waters before, during, and after the scheduled triathlon. No vessel or person would be permitted to enter the special local regulation without obtaining permission from the COTP or a designated representative. The regulatory text we are proposing appears at the end of this document.

### IV. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this proposed rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and Executive orders, and we discuss First Amendment rights of protestors.

#### A. Regulatory Planning and Review

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits. This NPRM has not been designated a “significant regulatory action,” under Executive Order 12866. Accordingly, the NPRM has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

This regulatory action determination is based on the size, location, and duration of the special local regulation. This special local regulation would restrict transit on a one-mile stretch of the Ohio River for 4 hours on one day. Moreover, the Coast Guard would issue Broadcast Notice to Mariners (BNMs), Local Notices to Mariners (LNM)s, and Marine Safety Information Bulletins (MSIBs) about this special local regulation so that waterway users may plan according for this restriction on transit, and the rule would allow vessels to request permission to enter the zone.

#### B. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended,

requires Federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

While some owners or operators of vessels intending to transit the special local regulation may be small entities, for the reasons stated in section IV.A above, this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on any vessel owner or operator.

If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this proposed rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment (see **ADDRESSES**) explaining why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this rule would economically affect it.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this proposed rule. If the proposed rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please call or email the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this proposed rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

#### C. Collection of Information

This proposed rule would not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

#### D. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132 (Federalism), if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the National Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this proposed rule under that order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in Executive Order 13132.

Also, this proposed rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175 (Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments) because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. If you believe this proposed rule has implications for federalism or Indian tribes, please call or email the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

#### E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this proposed rule would not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the potential effects of this proposed rule elsewhere in this preamble.

#### F. Environment

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Department of Homeland Security Directive 023–01, Rev. 1, associated implementing instructions, and Environmental Planning COMDTINST 5090.1 (series), which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have made a preliminary determination that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This proposed rule involves a special local regulation lasting 4 hours that would prohibit entry between mile marker 602 to 603 on the Ohio River. Normally such actions are categorically excluded from further review under paragraph L61 of Appendix A, Table 1 of DHS Instruction Manual 023–01–001–01, Rev. 1. A preliminary Memorandum for the Record supporting this determination is available in the docket. For instructions on locating the docket, see the **ADDRESSES** section of this preamble. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this proposed rule.

#### G. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protestors.

Protesters are asked to call or email the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places, or vessels.

#### V. Public Participation and Request for Comments

We view public participation as essential to effective rulemaking, and will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. Your comment can help shape the outcome of this rulemaking. If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this rulemaking, indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and provide a reason for each suggestion or recommendation.

**Submitting comments.** We encourage you to submit comments through the Federal Decision Making Portal at <https://www.regulations.gov>. To do so, go to <https://www.regulations.gov>, type USCG–2022–0340 in the search box and click “Search.” Next, look for this document in the Search Results column, and click on it. Then click on the Comment option. If you cannot submit your material by using <https://www.regulations.gov>, call or email the person in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this proposed rule for alternate instructions.

**Viewing material in docket.** To view documents mentioned in this proposed rule as being available in the docket, find the docket as described in the previous paragraph, and then select “Supporting & Related Material” in the Document Type column. Public comments will also be placed in our online docket and can be viewed by following instructions on the <https://www.regulations.gov> Frequently Asked Questions web page. We review all comments received, but we will only post comments that address the topic of the proposed rule. We may choose not to post off-topic, inappropriate, or duplicate comments that we receive.

**Personal information.** We accept anonymous comments. Comments we post to <https://www.regulations.gov> will include any personal information you have provided. For more about privacy and submissions to the docket in response to this document, see DHS’s eRulemaking System of Records notice (85 FR 14226, March 11, 2020).

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 100

Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard is proposing to amend 33 CFR part 100 as follows:

#### PART 100—SAFETY OF LIFE ON NAVIGABLE WATERS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 100 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 46 U.S.C. 70041; 33 CFR 1.05–1.

■ 2. Add § 100.T08–0340 to read as follows:

**§ 100.T08–0340 Tri Louisville, Ohio River, Louisville, KY.**

(a) *Regulated area.* The regulations in this section apply to the following area: All waters of Ohio River, from mile marker 602 to 603 extending the entire width of the river.

(b) *Definitions.* As used in this section—

*Designated representative* means a Coast Guard Patrol Commander, including a Coast Guard coxswain, petty officer, or other officer operating a Coast Guard vessel and a Federal, State, and local officer designated by or assisting the Captain of the Port Sector Ohio Valley (COTP) in the enforcement of the regulations in this section.

*Participant* means all persons and vessels registered with the event sponsor as a participants in the race.

(c) *Regulations.* (1) All non-participants are prohibited from entering, transiting through, anchoring in, or remaining within the regulated area described in paragraph (a) of this section unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Ohio Valley or their designated representative.

(2) To seek permission to enter, contact the COTP or the COTP’s representative by Sector Ohio Valley command center at 502–779–5422. Those in the regulated area must comply with all lawful orders or directions given to them by the COTP or the designated representative.

(3) The COTP will provide notice of the regulated area through advanced notice via broadcast notice to mariners and by on-scene designated representatives.

(d) *Enforcement period.* This section will be enforced from 6 a.m. through 10 a.m. on July 24, 2022.

Dated: April 29, 2022.

**A.M. Beach,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Ohio Valley.*

[FR Doc. 2022–09698 Filed 5–5–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110–04–P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### Patent and Trademark Office

#### 37 CFR Part 1

[Docket No. PTO–P–2021–0061]

RIN 0651–AD59

#### Establishing Permanent Electronic Filing for Patent Term Extension Applications

**AGENCY:** United States Patent and Trademark Office, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO or Office) proposes to amend the Rules of Practice in Patent Cases to require that patent term extension (PTE) applications, interim PTE applications, and any related submissions to the USPTO be submitted electronically via the USPTO patent electronic filing system (EFS–Web or Patent Center). The proposed rule changes would reduce the administrative burden on PTE applicants. They also would further advance the USPTO’s information technology (IT) strategy to achieve complete beginning-to-end electronic processing of patent-related submissions, thereby improving administrative efficiency by facilitating electronic file management, optimizing workflow processes, and reducing processing errors.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by July 5, 2022 to ensure consideration.

**ADDRESSES:** For reasons of Government efficiency, comments must be submitted through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at [www.regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov). To submit comments via the portal, enter docket number PTO–P–2021–0061 on the homepage and click “Search.” The site will provide a search results page listing all documents associated with this docket. Find a reference to this document and click on the “Comment Now!” icon, complete the required fields, and enter or attach your comments. Attachments to electronic comments will be accepted in Adobe® portable document format (PDF) or Microsoft Word® format. Because comments will be made available for public inspection, information that the submitter does not desire to make public, such as an address or phone number, should not be included in the comments.

Visit the Federal eRulemaking Portal for additional instructions on providing comments via the portal. If electronic submission of, or access to, comments is