

Reading Room, 1E-190, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585 between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday-Friday, except Federal holidays. Minutes will also be available at the Department of Energy's Environmental Information Center and Reading Room at 115 Memorial Drive, Barkley Centre, Paducah, Kentucky between 8 a.m. and 5 p.m., on Monday through Friday or by writing to David Dollins, Department of Energy, Paducah Site Office, Post Office Box 1410, MS-103, Paducah, Kentucky 42001 or by calling him at (270) 441-6819.

Issued at Washington, DC, on November 23, 2005.

Carol Matthews,

Acting Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. E5-6763 Filed 12-1-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6669-9]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202-564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 1, 2005 (70 FR 16815).

Draft EISs

EIS No. 20050325, ERP No. D-AFS-D65032-WV, Programmatic—Monongahela National Forest Plan Revision, Proposes to Revise Land and Resource Management Plan, Barbour, Grant, Greenbrier, Nicholas, Pendleton, Pocahontas, Preston, Randolph, Tucker, Webster Counties, WV.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the potential for impacts to air and water quality, and habitat.

Rating EC1.

EIS No. 20050378, ERP No. D-COE-C39018-NJ, Liberty State Park Ecosystem Restoration Project, Hudson Raritan Estuary Study, To Address the Adverse Impacts Associated with Past Filling Activities, Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, Jersey City, Hudson County, NJ.

Summary: EPA does not object to the implementation of the preferred alternative.

Rating LO.

EIS No. 20050386, ERP No. D-NOA-L39063-AK, Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management Approval of Amendments to the State of Alaska's Coastal Management Program, Implementation, Funding, AK.

Summary: EPA is concerned about the potential negative impacts to biological, cultural and subsistence resources, and subsistence users in coastal Alaska communities due to the limited range of alternatives analyzed, lack of cumulative effects analysis, environmental justice concerns and lack of documentation of effective government to government consultation with affected Alaska Native tribes.

Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20050397, ERP No. D-BIA-L65495-ID, PROGRAMMATIC—Coeur d'Alene Tribe Integrated Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Coeur d'Alene Reservation and Aboriginal Territory, ID.

Summary: While EPA has no objection to the proposed action, we did request clarification on how predicted harvest levels/habitat acreage compared to historic ranges/sizes and on non-native species.

Rating LO.

EIS No. 20050404, ERP No. D-NPS-F65076-OH, First Ladies National Historic Site General Management Plan, Implementation, Canton, OH.

Summary: EPA has no objections to the preferred alternative.

Rating LO.

EIS No. 20050416, ERP No. D-NOA-G90016-TX, Programmatic—Texas National Estuarine Research Reserve and Management Plan, Mission-Aransas Estuary, Site Designation, Federal Approval, TX.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the preferred alternative.

Rating LO.

EIS No. 20050395, ERP No. DS-HUD-K80045-CA, Stillwater Business Park, New and Revised Information, Development of Business Park, Annexation AN1-01, Shastec Redevelopment Project Area, Airport Land Use Plan Amendment, Pre-Zone, General Plan Amendment GPA-2-01, Rezone RZ-1-01, Funding and U.S. Army COE 404 Permit, City of Redding, Shasta County, CA.

Summary: EPA has environmental concerns about alternatives, off-site mitigation, cumulative impacts to habitat/hydrology, and induced growth impacts.

Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20050422, ERP No. DS-COE-G36072-AR, Fourche Bayou Basin Project, 1,750 Acre Bottomland Acquisition with Nature Appreciation Facilities, Development, Funding, City of Little Rock, Pulaski County, AR.

Summary: EPA strongly supports the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers plans to proceed with purchase of the 1,750 acres of bottomland hardwoods and has no objections to the preferred alternative.

Rating LO.

Final EISs

EIS No. 20050336, ERP No. F-FAA-D40328-VA, Washington Dulles International Airport Project, Acquisition of Land, Construction and Operation, IAD 2004 Airport Layout Plan (ALP), Dulles, VA.

Summary: EPA continues to be concerned about mitigation proposed for wetland and stream impacts. In addition, EPA expressed concern about air toxic modeling.

EIS No. 20050384, ERP No. F-COE-D39029-DC, Washington Aqueduct's Project, Proposed Water Treatment Residuals Management Process, NPDES Permit, Dalecarlia and McMillan Water Treatment Plants, Potomac River, Washington, DC.

Summary: EPA believes that the Final EIS adequately considers the potential impacts of the preferred and other alternatives and has no objections to its implementation.

EIS No. 20050430, ERP No. F-COE-D36075-PA, The Town of Bloomsburg, Columbia County, Pennsylvania Flood Damage Reduction Project, Implementation, Integrated Feasibility Report, Susquehanna River and Fishing Creek, Town of Bloomsburg, Columbia County, PA.

Summary: EPA has no objection to the proposed action.

Dated: November 29, 2005.

Robert W. Hargrove,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E5-6761 Filed 12-1-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6669-8]

Environmental Impacts Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 or <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/>.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed 11/21/2005 through 11/25/2005

Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 20050497, Third Final EIS (Tiering), FHW, MO, Interstate 70 Corridor Improvements, Section of Independent Utility #7, a 40-Mile Portion of the I-70 Corridor from just West of Route 19 (milepost 174) to Lake St. Louis Boulevard (milepost 214) Montgomery, Warren, St. Charles Counties, MO. Wait Period Ends: 01/03/2006. Contact: Peggy Casey 573-636-7104.

EIS No. 20050498, Draft EIS, BLM, WY, Seminoe Road Natural Gas Development Project, Proposed Coalbed Natural Gas Development and Operation, Carbon County, WY. Comment Period Ends: 01/31/2006. Contact: David Simons 307-328-4328.

EIS No. 20050499, Final EIS, AFS, MO, Mark Twain National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Revise to the 1986 Land and Resource Management Plan, several counties, MO. Wait Period Ends: 01/03/2006. Contact: Laura Watts 573-341-7471.

EIS No. 20050500, Draft EIS, AFS, ID, Newsome Creek Watershed Rehabilitation, Stream Restoration and Improvement and Decommissioning of Roads, Red River Ranger District, Nez Perce National Forest, Idaho County, ID. Comment Period Ends: 01/17/2006. Contact: Stephanie Bransford 208-842-2113.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 20050350, Draft EIS, COE, CA, Encinitas/Solana Beach Shoreline Protection Project, To Protect Public Safety and Reduce Storm-Related Damages to Coastal Structures, Cities of Encinitas and Solana Beach, San Diego County, CA. Comment Period Ends: 01/17/2006. Contact: Shannon Dellaquila 213-452-3846. Revision to FR Notice Published 08/26/2005. Comment Period Extended from 10/11/2005 to 01/17/2006.

Dated: November 29, 2005.

Robert W. Hargrove,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 05-23557 Filed 12-1-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Draft Air Quality Criteria for Lead

[E-Docket ID No. ORD-2004-0018; FRL-8004-3]

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of public comment period on a first external review draft.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is announcing a public comment period for the draft document titled, "Air Quality Criteria for Lead; First External Review Draft" (EPA/600/R-05/144). The document was prepared by the National Center for Environmental Assessment within EPA's Office of Research and Development.

EPA is releasing this draft document solely for the purpose of seeking public comment. It does not represent and should not be construed to represent any Agency policy, viewpoint, or determination. EPA will consider any public comments submitted in accordance with this notice when revising the document.

DATES: The public comment period begins on or about December 1, 2005, and ends February 15, 2006. Technical comments should be in writing and must be received by EPA by February 15, 2006. Comments may be submitted electronically via EPA's E-Docket, by mail, by facsimile, or by hand delivery/courier. Please follow the detailed instructions as provided in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this notice.

ADDRESSES: The draft "Air Quality Criteria for Lead; First External Review Draft" is available primarily via the Internet on the National Center for Environmental Assessment's home page under the Recent Additions and Publications menus at <http://www.epa.gov/ncea>. A limited number of CD-ROM or paper copies will be available. Contact Ms. Diane Ray by phone (919-541-3637), fax (919-541-1818), or e-mail (ray.diane@epa.gov) to request either of these, and please provide your name, your mailing address, and the document title, "Air Quality Criteria for Lead; First External Review Draft," (EPA/600/R-05/144) to facilitate processing of your request.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information on the public comment period, contact the Office of Environmental Information Docket; telephone: 202-566-1752; facsimile: 202-566-1753; or e-mail: ORD.Docket@epa.gov.

For technical information, contact Lori White, Ph.D., NCEA; telephone: 919-541-3146; facsimile: 919-541-1818; or e-mail: white.lori@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Information about the Project/Document

Section 108(a) of the Clean Air Act directs the Administrator to identify certain pollutants which "may reasonably be anticipated to endanger public health and welfare" and to issue air quality criteria for them. These air quality criteria are to "accurately reflect the latest scientific knowledge useful in indicating the kind and extent of all identifiable effects on public health or welfare which may be expected from the presence of [a] pollutant in the ambient air * * *." Under section 109 of the Act, EPA is then to establish National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for each pollutant for which EPA has issued criteria. Section 109(d) of the Act requires subsequent periodic review and, if appropriate, revision of existing air quality criteria to reflect advances in scientific knowledge on the effects of the pollutant on public health and welfare. EPA is also to revise the NAAQS, if appropriate, based on the revised criteria.

Lead is one of six "criteria" pollutants for which EPA has established air quality criteria and NAAQS. On November 9, 2004 (69 FR 64926), EPA formally initiated its current review of the criteria and NAAQS for lead, requesting the submission of recent scientific information on specified topics. One of the next steps in this process was to prepare a project work plan for revision of the existing "Air Quality Criteria for Lead," EPA-600/8-83/028aF-dF (published in June 1986) and an associated supplement (EPA-600/8-89/049F) published in 1990. Accordingly, a draft of EPA's "Project Work Plan for Revised Air Quality Criteria for Lead" (NCEA-R-1465) was released on January 7, 2005 for public comment (70 FR 1439) and was discussed by the Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee (CASAC) via a publicly accessible March 28, 2005, teleconference consultation (70 FR 11629). On July 15, 2005 (70 FR 41007), several workshops were announced to discuss, with invited recognized scientific experts, initial draft materials that dealt with various lead-related issues being addressed in the draft AQCD for lead. These workshops were held August 4-5, 16-18, and 17-19, 2005.

After the end of the comment period on the Air Quality Criteria for Lead, First External Review Draft, EPA will