as specified in Executive Order 13175. Thus, Executive Order 13175 does not apply to this rule. In the spirit of Executive Order 13175, and consistent with EPA policy to promote communications between EPA and tribal governments, EPA specifically solicits additional comment on this proposed rule from tribal officials.

## F. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) generally requires an agency to conduct a regulatory flexibility analysis of any rule subject to notice and comment rulemaking requirements unless the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises, and small governmental jurisdictions.

This proposed rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities because SIP approvals under section 110 and subchapter I, part D of the Clean Air Act do not create any new requirements but simply act on requirements that the State is already imposing. Therefore, because the Federal SIP approval does not create any new requirements, I certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Moreover, due to the nature of the Federal-State relationship under the Clean Air Act, preparation of flexibility analysis would constitute Federal inquiry into the economic reasonableness of state action. The Clean Air Act forbids EPA to base its actions concerning SIPs on such grounds. *Union Electric Co.* v. *U.S. EPA*, 427 U.S. 246, 255–66 (1976); 42 U.S.C. 7410(a)(2).

# G. Unfunded Mandates

Under section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 ("Unfunded Mandates Act"), signed into law on March 22, 1995, EPA must prepare a budgetary impact statement to accompany any proposed or final rule that includes a Federal mandate that may result in estimated costs to State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate; or to private sector, of \$100 million or more. Under section 205, EPA must select the most cost-effective and least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule and is consistent with statutory requirements. Section 203 requires EPA to establish a plan for informing and advising any small governments that may be significantly or uniquely impacted by the rule.

EPA has determined that the proposed action does not include a Federal mandate that may result in estimated costs of \$100 million or more to either State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector. This proposed Federal action acts on pre-existing requirements under State or local law, and imposes no new requirements. Accordingly, no additional costs to State, local, or tribal governments, or to the private sector, result from this action.

## H. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

Section 12 of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) of 1995 requires Federal agencies to evaluate existing technical standards when developing a new regulation. To comply with NTTAA, EPA must consider and use "voluntary consensus standards" (VCS) if available and applicable when developing programs and policies unless doing so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical.

EPA believes that VCS are inapplicable to today's proposed action because it does not require the public to perform activities conducive to the use of VCS.

## List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: April 26, 2002.

# Wayne Nastri,

Regional Administrator, Region IX. [FR Doc. 02–11825 Filed 5–10–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

# 48 CFR Parts 208 and 210 [DFARS Case 2002–D003]

Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Competition Requirements for Purchases From a Required Source

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense (DoD). **ACTION:** Notice of public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Director of Defense Procurement is sponsoring a public meeting to discuss the interim rule published at 67 FR 20687 on April 26, 2002. The rule amended the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to implement

Section 811 of the Fiscal Year 2002 National Defense Authorization Act. Section 811 requires DoD to conduct market research before purchasing a product listed in the Federal Prison Industries (FPI) catalog, to determine whether the FPI product is comparable in price, quality, and time of delivery to products available from the private sector. A listing of possible discussion topics can be found on the Defense Procurement Web site at http://www.acq.osd.mil/dp.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on June 3, 2002, from 1 p.m. to 4 p.m., local time.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held in Room C–43, Crystal Mall 4, 1931 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Susan L. Schneider, Defense Acquisition Regulations Directorate, at (703) 602–0326 or susan.schneider@osd.mil.

#### Michele P. Peterson,

Executive Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council.

[FR Doc. 02–11899 Filed 5–10–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–08–U

# DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## Research and Special Programs Administration

## 49 CFR Part 175

[Docket No. RSPA-02-11654 (HM-228)] RIN 2137-AD18

## Hazardous Materials: Revision of Requirements for Carriage by Aircraft; Extension of Comment Period

**AGENCY:** Research and Special Programs Administration (RSPA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Advance notice of proposed rulemaking (ANPRM); extension of comment period.

summary: On February 26, 2002, RSPA published an advance notice of proposed rulemaking to consider changes to the requirements in the Hazardous Materials Regulations (HMR) on the transportation of hazardous materials by aircraft. These changes would modify or clarify requirements to promote safer transportation practices; promote compliance and enforcement; eliminate unnecessary regulatory requirements; convert certain exemptions into regulations of general applicability; finalize outstanding petitions for rulemaking; facilitate