

- Budget report for the completed budget period.
- Narrative analysis of the budget report, explaining differences between budgeted and actual activities and costs by funding source.
 - Progress towards performance goals and any supporting data and methodology.
 - Analysis of sub-application progress and performance measures.
 - Discussion of any problems observed or experienced and recommended solutions.

F. Federal Financial Reports

Federal Financial Reports (FFRs) must be submitted semi-annually. The reports are cumulative and must be submitted on CNCS's Web-based grants management system, eGrants, no later than 30 days after the close of each reporting period.

G. Final Reports

In addition to submission of required semi-annual reports, the award recipient completing an agreement period will be required to submit a final report that is cumulative over the entire award period and consistent with the close-out requirements of CNCS's Office of Grants Management. The final report is due 90 days after the end of the agreement.

In lieu of the last semi-annual FFR, a final FFR must also be submitted. The final FFR is due 90 days after the end of the agreement.

H. Other Data-Collection Requirements

CNCS will require Social Innovation Fund grantees to develop final, detailed plans for selecting their subgrantees and for the evaluation of subgrantees. Final, detailed plans will need to be approved by CNCS.

The subgrant selection plan will include the following:

- The estimated number or range of subgrant awards that will be made;
- The estimated range of subgrant award amounts;
- A description of:
 - How key subgrant eligibility criteria required by this *Notice* will be determined (particularly the level of subgrantee evidence);
 - The proposed review and selection process; and

- Who will review grant applications and how the process will ensure appropriate conflict of interest policies are in place.

The evaluation plans will address key questions, such as the following:

- What are the specific questions the evaluation(s) intends to answer?
- For grantees proposing an impact study, what type of research design (e.g., randomized control trial, quasi-experimental) do you hope to conduct? Why is this evaluation design appropriate for the subgrantees' stage of development, and what useful information do you hope to gain?
- What is the timeline and estimated budget for the evaluation?
- Describe who will conduct the evaluations and the process you will employ to maintain independence and ensure high quality reports.

Award recipients must also:

- Identify and document effective practices to addressing critical community challenges in order to share those lessons broadly.
- Meet as necessary with the cognizant program officer, or other staff or consultants.

VI. Agency Contacts

This *Notice* is available at <http://www.nationalservice.gov/about/serveamerica/innovation.asp>. The TTY number is 202-606-3472. For further information or for a printed copy of this *Notice*, call (202) 606-6745. Or send an e-mail to sifapplication@cns.gov.

VII. Other Information

A. CNCS will host technical assistance calls and/or workshops to answer questions from potential applicants about this funding opportunity, including submitting the application through eGrants, CNCS's Web-based application system. Applicants are strongly encouraged to participate in these sessions. The first call will be held on February XXX at 1 p.m. Eastern Time. Call-in information for this technical assistance call and additional technical assistance calls will be available on CNCS's Web site at: <http://www.nationalservice.gov/about/serveamerica/innovation.asp>.

B. For additional information on the Edward M. Kennedy Serve America Act, go to: http://www.nationalservice.gov/pdf/09_0331_recovery_summary.pdf.

C. Public Burden Statement: The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 requires CNCS to inform all potential persons who are to respond to this collection of information that such persons are not required to respond unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. (See 5 CFR 1320.5(b)(2)(i)). This collection is approved under OMB Control #: 3045-0129 (CNCS Universal Application, Expiration Date: 11/30/2011).

Dated: December 22, 2010.

Paul Carttar,

Director, Social Innovation Fund.

[FR Doc. 2010-32789 Filed 12-28-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6050-28-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[Transmittal No. 10-62]

36(b)(1) Arms Sales Notification

AGENCY: Department of Defense, Defense Security Cooperation Agency.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Defense is publishing the unclassified text of a section 36(b)(1) arms sales notification. This is published to fulfill the requirements of section 155 of Public Law 104-164 dated 21 July 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. B. English, DSCA/DBO/CFM, (703) 601-3740.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following is a copy of a letter to the Speaker of the House of Representatives, Transmittal 10-62 with attached transmittal, policy justification, and Sensitivity of Technology.

Dated: December 23, 2010.

Morgan F. Park,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

BILLING CODE 5001-6-P



DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY
201 12TH STREET SOUTH, STE 203
ARLINGTON VA 22202-6408

The Honorable Nancy Pelosi
Speaker
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

DEC 22 2010

Dear Madam Speaker:

Pursuant to the reporting requirements of Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended, we are forwarding corrected letters, concerning the Department of the Army's proposed Letter(s) of Offer and Acceptance to India for defense articles and services. On December 21, 2010 we notified this sale with an estimated value of \$1.4 billion. Subsequently, we discovered an error in the transmittals enclosed with the letters addressed to the Speaker, U.S. House of Representatives and to the Chairman, Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate. The enclosed revised transmittals supersede the transmittals dated December 21, 2010, which contained incorrect quantities of the items to be purchased. We regret the error.

Sincerely,

Richard A. Genaille, Jr.
Deputy Director

Enclosures:

1. Transmittal
2. Policy Justification
3. Sensitivity of Technology



Transmittal No. 10-62

Notice of Proposed Issuance of Letter of Offer
Pursuant to Section 36(b)(1)
of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended

- (i) Prospective Purchaser: India
- (ii) Total Estimated Value:
- | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Major Defense Equipment* | \$.698 billion |
| Other | \$.702 billion |
| TOTAL | \$1.400 billion |
- (iii) Description and Quantity or Quantities of Articles or Services under Consideration for Purchase: 50 T700-GE-701D engines, 12 AN/APG-78 Fire Control Radars, 12 AN/APR-48A Radar Frequency Interferometers, 812 AGM-114L-3 HELLFIRE LONGBOW missiles, 542 AGM-114R-3 HELLFIRE II missiles, 245 STINGER Block I-92H missiles, and 23 Modernized Target Acquisition Designation Sight/Pilot Night Vision Sensors, rockets, training and dummy missiles, 30mm ammunition, transponders, simulators, global positioning system/inertial navigation systems, communication equipment, spare and repair parts; tools and test equipment, support equipment, repair and return support, personnel training and training equipment; publications and technical documentation, U.S. Government and contractor engineering and logistics support services; and other related elements of logistics support to be provided in conjunction with a proposed direct commercial sale of 22 AH-64D Block III APACHE Helicopters.
- (iv) Military Department: Army (UAH, OAD, ADI)
- (v) Prior Related Cases, if any: None
- (vi) Sales Commission, Fee, etc., Paid, Offered, or Agreed to be Paid: None
- (vii) Sensitivity of Technology Contained in the Defense Article or Defense Services Proposed to be Sold: See Attached Annex
- (viii) Date Report Delivered to Congress: DEC 22 2010

* as defined in Section 47(6) of the Arms Export Control Act.

POLICY JUSTIFICATION

India – Support for Direct Commercial Sale of AH-64D Block III APACHE Helicopters

The Government of India has requested proposals from several foreign suppliers, including the United States, to provide the next generation attack helicopter for the Indian Air Force. In this competition, the Government of India has yet to select the Boeing-United States Army proposal. This notification is being made in advance so that, in the event that the Boeing- U.S. Army proposal is selected, the United States might move as quickly as possible to implement the sale. If the Government of India selects the Boeing-U.S. Army proposal, the Government of India will request a possible sale of 50 T700-GE-701D engines, 12 AN/APG-78 Fire Control Radars, 12 AN/APR-48A Radar Frequency Interferometers, 812 AGM-114L-3 HELLFIRE LONGBOW missiles, 542 AGM-114R-3 HELLFIRE II missiles, 245 STINGER Block I-92H missiles, and 23 Modernized Target Target Acquisition Designation Sight/Pilot Night Vision Sensors, rockets, training and dummy missiles, 30mm ammunition, transponders, simulators, global positioning system/inertial navigation systems, communication equipment, spare and repair parts; tools and test equipment, support equipment, repair and return support, personnel training and training equipment; publications and technical documentation, U.S. Government and contractor engineering and logistics support services; and other related elements of logistics support to be provided in conjunction with a proposed direct commercial sale of 22 AH-64D Block III APACHE Helicopters. The estimated cost is \$1.4 billion.

This proposed sale will contribute to the foreign policy and national security of the United States by helping to strengthen the U.S.-India strategic relationship and to improve the security of an important partner which continues to be an important force for political stability, peace, and economic progress in South Asia.

The proposed sale in support of AH-64D helicopters will improve India's capability to strengthen its homeland defense and deter regional threats. This support for the AH-64D will provide an incremental increase in India's defensive capability to counter ground-armored threats and modernize its armed forces. India will have no difficulty absorbing this helicopter support into its armed forces.

The proposed sale of this equipment and support will not alter the basic military balance in the region.

The prime contractors will be Lockheed Martin Corporation in Orlando, Florida; General Electric Company, in Cincinnati Ohio; Lockheed Martin Mission Systems and Sensor in Owego, New York; Longbow Limited Liability Corporation in Orlando, Florida; and Raytheon Company in Tucson, Arizona. There are no known offset agreements proposed in connection with this potential sale.

Implementation of this proposed sale will require the assignment of one U.S. Government and seven contractor representatives to India for one week to conduct a detailed discussion of the various aspects of the hybrid program with Government of India representatives.

There will be no adverse impact on U.S. defense readiness as a result of this proposed sale.

Transmittal No. 10-62
Notice of Proposed Issuance of Letter of Offer
Pursuant to Section 36(b)(1)
of the Arms Export Control Act (U)

Annex
Item No. vii

(vii) Sensitivity of Technology:

1. The AN/APG-78 Fire Control Radar (FCR) is an active, low-probability of intercept, millimeter-wave radar, combined with a passive Radar Frequency Interferometer (RFI) mounted on top of the helicopter mast. The FCR Ground Targeting Mode detects, locates, classifies and prioritizes stationary or moving armored vehicles, tanks and mobile air defense systems as well as hovering helicopters, helicopters, and fixed wing aircraft in normal flight. The RFI detects threat radar emissions and determines the type of radar and mode of operation. The FCR data and RFI data are fused for maximum synergism. If desired, the radar data can be used to refer targets to the regular electro-optical Target Acquisition and Designation Sight (TADS), Modernized Target Acquisition and Designation Sight (MTADS), permitting additional visual/infrared imagery and control of weapons, including the semi active laser version of the HELLFIRE. Critical system information is stored in the FCR in the form of mission executable code, target detection, classification algorithms and coded threat parametrics. This information is provided in a form that cannot be extracted by the foreign user via anti-tamper provisions built into the system. The content of these items is classified Secret. The RFI is a passive radar detection and direction finding system.

2. The Modernized Target Acquisition and Designation Sight/Modernized Pilot Night Vision Sensor (M-TADS/M-PNVS) provides second generation day, night, limited adverse weather target information, as well as night navigation capabilities. The M-PNVS provides second generation thermal imaging that permits nap-of-the-earth flight to, from, and within the battle area, while M-TADS provides the co-pilot gunner with improved search, detection, recognition, and designation by means of Direct View Optics (DVO), I² television, second generation Forward Looking Infrared (FLIR) sighting systems that may be used singularly or in combinations. Hardware and releasable technical manuals are Unclassified.

3. The AN/APR-48A Radar Frequency Interferometer (RFI) is a passive radar detection and direction finding system. It utilizes a detachable User Data Module (UDM) on the RFI processor, which contains the Radar Frequency threat library. The UDM, which is a hardware assemblage item, is classified Confidential when programmed with threat parametrics, threat priorities and/or techniques. Releasable technical manuals are Unclassified.

4. The STINGER Block I-92H Missile system hardware, software, and documentation contain sensitive technology and are classified Confidential.

5. The STINGER Captive Flight Trainer (CFT) is a STINGER missile guidance assembly in a launch tube. The CFT provides operator training in target acquisition, tracking, engagement, loading/unloading and sustainment training at the unit. The hardware is classified Confidential. Releasable technical manuals are Unclassified.

6. If a technologically advanced adversary were to obtain knowledge of the specific hardware and software elements, the information could be used to develop countermeasures which might reduce weapon system effectiveness or be used in the development of a system with similar or advanced capabilities.

[FR Doc. 2010-32755 Filed 12-28-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-C

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Meeting of the Board of Regents of the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences

AGENCY: Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (USU), DoD.

ACTION: Notice of quarterly meeting.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (5 U.S.C., Appendix, as amended) and the Sunshine in the Government Act of 1976 (5 U.S.C. 552b, as amended), this notice announces the following meeting of the Board of Regents of the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences.

Name of Committee: Board of Regents of the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences.

Date of Meeting: Tuesday, February 1, 2011.

8 a.m. to 10 a.m. (Open Session).

10 a.m. to 11 a.m. (Closed Session).

Location: U.S. Army Medical Museum, Building 1046, 2310 Stanley Road, San Antonio, Texas 78208.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: *Purpose of the Meeting:* Meetings of the Board of Regents assure that USU operates in the best traditions of academia. An outside Board is necessary for institutional accreditation.

Agenda: The actions that will take place include the approval of minutes from the Board of Regents Meeting held November 9, 2010; recommendations regarding the approval of faculty appointments and promotions in the School of Medicine, the Graduate School of Nursing, and the Postgraduate Dental College; and recommendations regarding the awarding of master's and doctoral degrees in the biomedical sciences and public health. The University President will also present a report. These actions are necessary for the University to pursue its mission, which is to provide outstanding health care practitioners and scientists to the uniformed services.

Meeting Accessibility: Pursuant to Federal statute and regulations (5 U.S.C. 552b, as amended, and 41 CFR 102-3.140 through 102-3.165) and the availability of space, most of the meeting is open to the public. Seating is on a first-come basis. The closed portion of this meeting is authorized by 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(6) as the subject matter involves personal and private observations.

Written Statements: Interested persons may submit a written statement for consideration by the Board of Regents. Individuals submitting a written statement must submit their statement to the Designated Federal Officer at the address listed below. If such statement is not received at least 10 calendar days prior to the meeting, it may not be provided to or considered by the Board of Regents until its next open meeting. The Designated Federal Officer will review all timely submissions with the Board of Regents Chairman and ensure such submissions are provided to Board of Regents Members before the meeting. After reviewing the written comments, submitters may be invited to orally present their issues during the February 2011 meeting or at a future meeting.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Janet S. Taylor, Designated Federal Officer, 4301 Jones Bridge Road, Bethesda, Maryland 20814; telephone 301-295-3066.

Ms. Taylor can also provide base access procedures.

Dated: December 23, 2010.

Morgan F. Park,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 2010-32736 Filed 12-28-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

Meeting of the Independent Panel To Review the Judge Advocate Requirements of the Department of the Navy; Correction

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of open meetings; correction.

SUMMARY: The Independent Panel to Review the Judge Advocate Requirements of the Department of the Navy (DoN) (hereinafter referred to as the Panel) published a document in the **Federal Register** of December 17, 2010, concerning an open meeting. The document contained an incorrect time for the Panel meeting.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Frank A. Putzu, Designated Federal Official, Department of the Navy, Office of the General Counsel, Naval Sea Systems Command, Office of Counsel, 1333 Isaac Hull Avenue, SE., Washington Navy Yard, Building 197, Room 4W-3153, Washington, DC 20376, via Telephone: 202-781-3097; Fax: 202-781-4628; or E-mail: frank.putzu@navy.mil.

Correction

In the **Federal Register** of December 17, 2010, in FR Doc. 2010-31797, on page 78979, in the second column, correct the **DATES** caption to read:

DATES: The meeting will be held on Friday, January 7th, 2011, from 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Dated: December 21, 2010.

D. J. Werner,

Lieutenant Commander, Office of the Judge Advocate General, U.S. Navy, Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 2010-32758 Filed 12-28-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

Notice of Performance Review Board Membership

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DoD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 4314(c)(4), the Department of the Navy (DON) announces the appointment of members to the DON's numerous Senior Executive Service (SES) Pay Pools (PP)/ Performance Review Boards (PRB). The purpose of the PP/PRB is to provide fair and impartial review of the annual SES performance appraisal prepared by the senior executive's immediate and second level supervisor; to make recommendations to appointing officials regarding acceptance or modification of the performance rating; and to make recommendations for performance bonuses and basic pay increases. Composition of the specific PP/PRB will be determined on an ad hoc basis from among individuals listed below:

Adams, Patricia A. Ms.
Allard, Terry T. Dr.
Ardrey, Ellen Ms.
Bird, John M. VADM
Branch, Elliott B. Mr.
Brennan, Anne M. Ms.
Cali, Robert T. Mr.
Campbell, Joseph F. RADM
Chudoba, Phillip Mr.
Clark, Mark A. Mr.
Clookie, Mark D. Mr.
Commons, Gladys HON.
Cook, Charles E. III Mr.
Craig, Scott T. RADM
Easter, Steffanie B. Ms.
Eccles, Thomas J. RDML
Garcia, Juan M. HON.
Gibbs, Robert C. Mr.
Goodhart, John C. Mr.
Grosklags, Paul RDML
Harrell, Margaret R. Ms.
Hogue, Robert D. Mr.