

for a carve-out for P&S GFCIs from any general exclusion order. P&S argued that Leviton deliberately avoided naming P&S as a respondent or accusing P&S's products, and that any exclusion order ought not reach P&S's products. The Commission rejected P&S's argument, and issued a general exclusion order, but invited P&S to "avail itself of other Commission procedures to obtain a ruling as to whether its products are subject to the general exclusion order." Comm'n Op. 91-92 (Apr. 27, 2012).

On August 29, 2012, Leviton filed a complaint for enforcement proceedings under Commission rule 210.75(b). Among Leviton's allegations was that Menard violated the cease and desist order by selling P&S GFCIs. *See* Enforcement Compl. ¶¶ 64-67. On November 1, 2012, the Commission instituted the enforcement proceeding sought by Leviton. 77 FR 66080 (Nov. 1, 2012). On November 2, 2012, P&S moved to intervene as a respondent, and on November 27, 2012, the ALJ substantially granted that motion. Order No. 71 at 4-5 (Nov. 27, 2012) (granting motion to intervene, but limiting P&S's participation to issues of infringement and remedy). Leviton subsequently entered a Settlement and License Agreement with P&S, and Menard and P&S were terminated from the enforcement proceeding. Order No. 76 (Feb. 4, 2013), *not reviewed*, Notice (Mar. 1, 2013).

On November 20, 2013, P&S filed a request with the Commission for an advisory opinion pursuant to Commission rule 210.79, with regard to certain redesigned P&S products. On December 2, 2013, Leviton opposed. On December 16, 2013, P&S moved for leave to file a reply, which P&S appended to its motion. The Commission has determined to grant P&S's motion for leave to file the reply.

Upon consideration of this matter, the Commission has determined to institute an advisory opinion proceeding under Commission rule 210.79 and has issued an order concerning the scope of that proceeding. The Commission has referred P&S's request to the Chief Administrative Law Judge to designate a presiding administrative law judge for the proceedings. The following entities are named as parties to the proceeding: (1) Complainant Leviton; (2) respondent P&S; and (3) the Office of Unfair Import Investigations.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: February 4, 2014.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2014-02729 Filed 2-7-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Meeting of the Judicial Conference Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure

AGENCY: Judicial Conference of the United States Advisory Committee on Rules of Evidence.

ACTION: Notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: The Advisory Committee on Rules of Evidence will hold a one-day meeting. The meeting will be open to public observation but not participation.

DATES: April 4, 2014.

TIME: 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: University of Maine School of Law, 246 Deering Avenue, Portland, Maine 04102.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jonathan C. Rose, Secretary and Chief Rules Officer, Rules Committee Support Office, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, DC 20544, telephone (202) 502-1820.

Dated: February 4, 2014.

Jonathan C. Rose,

Secretary and Chief Rules Officer.

[FR Doc. 2014-02730 Filed 2-7-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 2210-55-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

On January 29, 2014 the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Central District of California in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. Mitchell Rubber Products, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 14-cv-00708-ABC-MAN.

The Consent Decree resolves claims under Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9607 related to releases and threatened releases of hazardous substances at the Puente Valley Operable Unit ("PVOU") of the San Gabriel Valley Superfund Site, Area 4, Los Angeles County, California (the

"Site"). The Consent Decree resolves a claim against Mitchell Rubber Products, Inc., ("Mitchell"), and recovers \$434,000 in response costs. The Consent Decree contains a covenant not to sue for past and certain future costs and response work at the Site under Sections 106 and 107 of CERCLA and Section 7003 of RCRA.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. Mitchell Rubber Products, Inc.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-11-2-354/34. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By e-mail ..	pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Under section 7003(d) of RCRA, a commenter may request an opportunity for a public meeting in the affected area.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/ConsentDecrees.html>. We will provide a paper copy of the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to:

Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$8.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Maureen Katz,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2014-02824 Filed 2-7-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

On January 31, 2014, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed a Consent

Decree with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. 3M Company, et al.*, Civil Action No. 3:14-cv-00032—WHR.

The United States filed this lawsuit under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA). The United States' complaint requests recovery of costs that the United States incurred responding to releases of hazardous substances at the Lammers Barrel Superfund Site (the "Site") in BeaverCreek, Ohio. The complaint also seeks injunctive relief, specifically, performance of the remedial action for Operable Unit 1 at the Site selected by the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"). Under the terms of the Consent Decree, the Defendants have agreed to (1) perform the remedial action selected by EPA for Operable Unit 1, at an estimate cost of \$3.4 million; (2) implement institutional controls; (3) reimburse the United States \$1,496,689.04 for past response costs; (4) reimburse the United States for future response costs.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. 3M Company et al.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-11-3-07706. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments:	Send them to:
By e-mail ..	pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov .
By mail	Assistant Attorney General U.S. DOJ—ENRD P.O. Box 7611 Washington, D.C. 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the proposed Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. We will provide a paper copy of the proposed Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to:

Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$89.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury. For a paper copy

without the signature pages and Appendices, the cost is \$24.25.

Maureen Katz,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2014-02831 Filed 2-7-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Justice Programs

[OMB #1121-NEW]

Agency Information Collection

**Activities: Proposed collection;
Comment Requested; New Collection:
Census of Adult Probation Supervising
Agencies, 2014**

ACTION: 60-Day notice.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs, will be submitting the following information collection to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for "sixty days" until April 11, 2014. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

If you have comments especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Lauren Glaze, Statistician, Bureau of Justice Statistics, 810 7th St., NW., Washington, DC 20531 (*email* Lauren.Glaze@usdoj.gov; *phone* (202) 305-9628).

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who

are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g. permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* New Collection. While the Bureau of Justice Statistics conducted a census of probation and parole agencies in 1991, the 2014 Census of Adult Probation Supervising Agencies is now a standalone collection. This collection's scope is narrower and only includes adult probation agencies. The scope of the 1991 census was broader and included both adult probation and parole agencies.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* 2014 Census of Adult Probation Supervising Agencies.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection:*

(a) *Form number:* CAPSA-AIF is the Agency Information Form (AIF) for public agencies, CAPSA-CIF is the Company Information Form (CIF) for private probation companies, CAPSA-1A is the questionnaire for public probation agencies, and CAPSA-1B is the questionnaire for private probation companies. Corrections Statistics Program, Bureau of Justice Statistics, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* *Primary:* State or local government. *Other:* Federal government or private companies. The primary goals of the work under this clearance are to: 1) enhance and validate a national roster of probation agencies that supervise adults on probation for a felony (or those that supervise felons and misdemeanants) and private companies that directly supervise adult probationers; and 2) collect information from those agencies to report national and state-level statistics that provide a clear understanding of how adult probation in the United States is currently organized, the supervision policies and practices agencies have established to administer adult probation, the various types of functions adult probation agencies perform, and the different types of individuals supervised by adult probation agencies. The Bureau of Justice Statistics will use this information in published reports and for the U.S. Congress, Executive Office of the President, practitioners, researchers, students, the media, and others