

electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Agency:* DOL–OWCP.

*Title of Collection:* Authorization for Release of Medical Information for Black Lung Benefits.

*OMB Control Number:* 1240–0034.

*Affected Public:* Individuals or Households.

*Total Estimated Number of Respondents:* 900.

*Total Estimated Number of Responses:* 900.

*Total Estimated Annual Burden Hours:* 75.

*Total Estimated Annual Other Costs Burden:* \$0.

Dated: September 6, 2012.

**Michel Smyth,**

*Departmental Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2012–22631 Filed 9–13–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510–CK–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–74,940]

#### **New Process Gear, a Division of Magna Powertrain, Including On-Site Leased Workers From ABM Janitorial Service Northeast, Inc., and IS One, Inc., East Syracuse, NY; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (“Act”), 19 U.S.C. 2273, the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on January 7, 2011, applicable to workers of New Process Gear, a division of Magna Powertrain, East Syracuse, New York. The workers produce automotive components. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on January 26, 2011 (75 FR 77669). The notice was amended on June 21, 2012 to include on-site leased workers from ABM Janitorial Service Northeast, Inc. The amended notice was published in the **Federal Register** on July 16, 2012 (77FR 41807).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The company reports that workers leased from IS One, Inc. were employed on-site at the East Syracuse, New York location of New Process Gear, a division of Magna Powertrain. The Department has

determined that these workers were sufficiently under the control of New Process Gear, a division of Magna Powertrain to be considered leased workers.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include workers leased from IS One, Inc. working on-site at the East Syracuse, New York location of New Process Gear, a division of Magna Powertrain.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–74,940 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of New Process Gear, a division of Magna Powertrain, including on-site leased workers from ABM Janitorial Service Northeast, Inc., and IS One, Inc., East Syracuse, New York, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after December 17, 2010, through January 7, 2013, and all workers in the group threatened with total or partial separation from employment on the date of certification through two years from the date of certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of Title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 31st day of August 2012.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 2012–22650 Filed 9–13–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

#### **Notice of Continuation of Certification**

[TA–W–80,308]

Roseburg Forest Products, Composite Panel Division, Including On-Site Leased Workers of Robert Half, Orangeburg, SC

[TA–W–80,308A]

Roseburg Forest Products, Composite Panel Division, Including On-Site Leased Workers of Robert Half, Russellville, SC

On August 12, 2011, the Department of Labor (Department) issued a certification regarding workers’ eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of Roseburg Forest Products, Composite Panel Division, Orangeburg, South Carolina (TA–W–80,308) and Russellville, South Carolina (TA–W–80,308A). The Department’s Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on September 2, 2011 (76 FR 54796).

The certification was based on the Department’s findings that aggregate industry imports of articles like or directly competitive with the articles

produced by Roseburg Forest Products, Composite Panel Division, Orangeburg, South Carolina and Russellville, South Carolina had contributed importantly to subject worker group separations.

Subsequent to the issuance of the certification, the Department received information that suggested that the aggregate industry import data on which the certification determination relied may have included related articles that may not be either like or directly competitive with either particleboard or laminated wood panels.

On July 17, 2012, the Department issued a Notice of Investigation Regarding Termination of Certification of workers and former workers of Roseburg Forest Products, Composite Panel Division, Orangeburg, South Carolina and Russellville, South Carolina. The Department’s Notice of Investigation Regarding Termination of Certification was published in the **Federal Register** on July 30, 2012 (77 FR 44683), and the Department conducted what is referred to herein as the “immediate investigation.”

During the immediate investigation, Roseburg Forest Products (subject firm) confirmed that the subject facilities produced particleboard and/or laminated wood panels, and provided additional information regarding the subject facilities’ operations related to particleboard and/or laminated wood panel production and their respective relationships to the subject firm’s customers of particleboard and/or laminated wood panels.

Taking into consideration the new information provided by the subject firm, the Department reviewed previously-submitted aggregate industry import data and the previously-conducted aggregate import analysis. The Department then excluded import data unrelated to particleboard and/or laminated wood panels (and like or directly competitive articles) and conducted another aggregate industry import analysis for the same time period but using the revised aggregate import database.

The Department’s analysis of this database revealed that the import levels of the subject articles and like or directly competitive articles did not increase during the relevant period. Therefore, aggregate data did not provide a basis for certifying the subject worker groups under Section 222 of the Act, 19 U.S.C., 2272, as described in the determination issued on August 12, 2011.

After determining that the basis for certification as described in the determination was not valid, the Department continued the immediate